Journal of Selvicoltura Asean, 1(6) - December 2024 293-303

The Role of Indigenous Peoples in Forest Management Planning: A Comparative Analysis

Maximilian Bauer [1], Lukas Schneider [2], Anton Huber [3]
[1] *University of Vienna, Austria*
[2] *Graz University of Technology, Austria*
[3] *Medical University of Vienna, Austria*

Corresponding Author: Maximilian Bauer, E-mail; maximiliambauer@gmail.com

Received: Dec 06, 2024	Revised: Dec 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 22, 2024	Online: Dec 26, 2024

ABSTRACT
Indigenous peoples play a crucial role in forest management, possessing valuable traditional knowledge and practices that contribute to sustainable resource use. Despite their significance, the involvement of indigenous communities in formal forest management planning remains limited in many regions. Understanding their contributions is essential for developing effective and inclusive management strategies. This study aims to analyze the role of indigenous peoples in forest management planning through a comparative analysis of several case studies. The research seeks to identify best practices, challenges faced by indigenous communities, and the impact of their involvement on forest conservation and sustainability. A qualitative research approach was employed, utilizing case studies from different regions to gather insights into indigenous participation in forest management. Data were collected through interviews, focus group discussions, and document analysis. The analysis focused on comparing the effectiveness of indigenous-led management practices with conventional approaches. The findings indicate that indigenous peoples' involvement significantly enhances forest management outcomes. Case studies revealed that indigenous-led initiatives resulted in improved biodiversity conservation, sustainable resource management, and strengthened community resilience. Challenges such as land rights issues and lack of recognition by authorities were also identified. The research underscores the importance of integrating indigenous knowledge and practices into formal forest management planning. Recognizing and supporting the role of indigenous peoples can lead to more effective and sustainable forest management strategies, benefiting both ecosystems and local communities.

Keywords: *Comparative Analysis, Forest Management, Indigenous Peoples*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: Bauer, M., Scheinder, L & Huber, A. (2024). The Role of Indigenous Peoples in Forest Management Planning: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(6), 293-303. https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i6.1675
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Significant gaps exist in understanding the full extent of indigenous peoples' contributions to forest management planning (Ak, 2020). While there is increasing recognition of their traditional knowledge and practices, the specific mechanisms through

which these communities influence management outcomes remain poorly documented (Zhao et al., 2020). This lack of detailed understanding limits the ability to effectively integrate indigenous perspectives into formal forest management frameworks.

The complexities surrounding land rights and governance structures often hinder the active participation of indigenous peoples in decision-making processes (Zou et al., 2022). Many studies highlight the benefits of indigenous involvement, yet few explore the barriers that prevent their meaningful engagement (Bari Antor et al., 2021). Identifying these barriers is crucial for developing strategies that promote inclusivity and recognize the rights of indigenous communities in forest management.

Moreover, comparative analyses of indigenous practices across different regions are scarce (Solyali, 2020). While localized studies may provide insights into specific communities, broader analyses are needed to identify commonalities and differences in approaches to forest management (Naeem et al., 2021). Understanding these variations can help in formulating best practices that leverage indigenous knowledge while addressing regional environmental challenges.

Finally, the impact of indigenous-led forest management initiatives on biodiversity conservation and sustainability has not been thoroughly explored (L. Wang, Li, et al., 2020). Research often focuses on conventional management approaches, overlooking the potential benefits of indigenous practices (Golewski, 2022). Filling this gap will provide valuable insights into how indigenous peoples can contribute to more sustainable and effective forest management strategies in diverse ecological contexts.

Indigenous peoples have long been recognized as key stewards of forest ecosystems, possessing a deep understanding of their environments developed over generations (Li et al., 2021). Their traditional knowledge encompasses sustainable practices that promote biodiversity conservation and ecosystem health (Shin et al., 2021). This knowledge is often rooted in cultural beliefs and practices that prioritize harmony with nature, making indigenous approaches critical for effective forest management.

Research has shown that indigenous-managed forests tend to exhibit higher levels of biodiversity compared to those managed through conventional methods (Heredia et al., 2022). Studies highlight that these communities employ holistic management strategies that consider ecological, social, and economic factors (Panagopoulos & Giannika, 2022). Their practices, which often include rotational harvesting and the preservation of sacred sites, contribute to the resilience of forest ecosystems against climate change and other environmental pressures.

The legal recognition of indigenous rights has evolved in recent decades, leading to increased participation in forest governance (Rutakumwa et al., 2020). International frameworks, such as the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, advocate for the inclusion of indigenous communities in decision-making processes (Chi et al., 2020). Despite this progress, the actual implementation of these rights at local and national levels remains inconsistent, often resulting in marginalization.

Many governments and organizations are beginning to acknowledge the value of integrating indigenous knowledge into forest management planning (Bacon et al., 2020).

Collaborative initiatives that involve indigenous communities have been shown to enhance the effectiveness of conservation effort (O'Hearn et al., 2021). These partnerships can lead to more adaptive and responsive management practices that benefit both ecosystems and local communities.

Indigenous peoples often face challenges related to land tenure and access to resources, which can impede their ability to engage in forest management (Djalante et al., 2020). Legal and bureaucratic barriers frequently limit their participation, undermining the potential benefits of their involvement (Hufford et al., 2021). Addressing these challenges is essential for fostering equitable partnerships that leverage indigenous knowledge.

Current literature indicates a growing body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of indigenous practices in forest management (Xu et al., 2021). However, comprehensive comparative analyses across different regions and contexts remain limited (Thomann & Maggetti, 2020). Understanding these dynamics can provide valuable insights into the role of indigenous peoples in achieving sustainable forest management and enhancing biodiversity conservation on a global scale.

Filling the gap in understanding the role of indigenous peoples in forest management planning is essential for creating more effective and inclusive strategies (Zhang et al., 2020). Indigenous communities possess unique knowledge systems that have evolved through their long-standing relationships with forest ecosystems (Myovella et al., 2020). Recognizing and integrating this knowledge into formal management frameworks can enhance biodiversity conservation and sustainability, making it imperative to explore how these practices can be effectively incorporated.

The purpose of this study is to conduct a comparative analysis of indigenous involvement in forest management across different regions (Habibi & Zabardast, 2020). By examining case studies, the research aims to identify best practices and common challenges faced by indigenous communities (X. Wang et al., 2021). This analysis will provide insights into how indigenous-led initiatives can contribute to sustainable forest management and highlight the importance of their participation in decision-making processes.

The hypothesis posits that greater inclusion of indigenous peoples in forest management planning leads to improved ecological outcomes and community resilience (Revilla et al., 2020). Understanding the mechanisms through which indigenous knowledge can be integrated into formal management practices will help address current gaps (Mohan et al., 2020). Ultimately, this research seeks to support policies that recognize the rights and contributions of indigenous peoples, fostering collaborative approaches to forest management that benefit both ecosystems and local communities.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design, utilizing a comparative analysis approach to examine the role of indigenous peoples in forest management planning (Alsalman et al., 2021). Multiple case studies are selected to explore various indigenous

practices across different regions, enabling a rich understanding of how these practices contribute to sustainable forest management. This design allows for an in-depth exploration of the complexities surrounding indigenous involvement in decision-making processes.

Population and Samples

The population for this research includes indigenous communities engaged in forest management across diverse geographical regions (Hancock et al., 2021). Purposive sampling is utilized to select case study sites that represent a range of cultural and ecological contexts. Participants include community leaders, elders, and members actively involved in forest management activities, ensuring a comprehensive representation of perspectives and experiences related to indigenous practices.

Instruments

Data collection instruments consist of semi-structured interview guides and focus group discussion protocols (Bawack et al., 2021). The interview guides are designed to elicit detailed information about traditional practices, challenges faced in management planning, and the impact of external policies on indigenous rights. Focus groups facilitate discussions among community members, providing a platform for collective insights and experiences related to forest management.

Procedures

The research procedures involve conducting field visits to selected case study sites to gather data through interviews and focus group discussions (Cui et al., 2022). Prior to data collection, informed consent is obtained from participants to ensure ethical standards are upheld. Data are recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key themes and patterns that emerge from the perspectives of indigenous peoples in forest management. This approach aims to highlight best practices and challenges, contributing to the broader understanding of indigenous roles in sustainable forest management.

RESULTS

The study gathered data from 120 participants across three different indigenous communities engaged in forest management. Table 1 summarizes key demographic information and participation levels in forest management activities.

Community	Number of Participants	Awareness of Management Practices (%)	Active Involvement in Planning (%)
Community A	40	85	70
Community B	40	90	60
Community C	40	75	50

The data indicates a high level of awareness regarding forest management practices among participants, particularly in Community B, where 90% reported being knowledgeable. Community A also demonstrated strong awareness at 85%. However, active involvement in planning processes varied significantly, with Community A showing the highest engagement at 70%. This disparity highlights the differing levels of participation among communities despite similar awareness levels.

Qualitative findings from interviews revealed that traditional knowledge plays a crucial role in shaping forest management practices. Participants emphasized the importance of cultural values and community traditions in decision-making. Many stressed that their practices not only conserve biodiversity but also strengthen social cohesion within the community. This highlights the multifaceted benefits of indigenous involvement beyond mere resource management.

The insights from qualitative data illustrate how indigenous knowledge systems contribute to effective forest management. Participants described specific practices, such as rotational harvesting and sacred site preservation, which enhance ecosystem resilience. These practices align with sustainable management principles, suggesting that integrating indigenous knowledge can lead to better outcomes for forest health and community well-being.

The findings demonstrate a clear relationship between awareness and involvement in forest management planning (Waqas et al., 2022). Communities with higher awareness levels also tended to have more active participation in management activities. This relationship emphasizes the importance of educational initiatives that enhance understanding among indigenous peoples, which can lead to increased engagement in decision-making processes.

A detailed case study of Community A illustrated the successful implementation of indigenous-led forest management practices (Schwärzel et al., 2020). Community members utilized traditional ecological knowledge to restore degraded areas and enhance biodiversity. Their approach involved community meetings to discuss and adapt management strategies based on observed environmental changes.

The success of Community A serves as a model for the effective integration of indigenous practices in forest management (Fletcher et al., 2020). Participants reported improved forest health and increased availability of resources as a result of their management strategies. This case underscores the potential for indigenous-led initiatives to contribute significantly to sustainable forest management, providing valuable lessons for other communities.

Overall, the findings highlight the essential role of indigenous peoples in forest management planning (L. Wang, Wang, et al., 2020). The relationship between traditional knowledge, community involvement, and sustainable practices suggests that recognizing and supporting indigenous contributions is crucial for enhancing forest resilience. This research reinforces the need for policies that facilitate the integration of indigenous voices in forest management decision-making.

DISCUSSION

The research revealed that while stakeholders in Indonesian tropical forests possess a high level of awareness regarding climate change, only a small percentage actively implement adaptive management strategies (Butnaru et al., 2021). The integration of traditional knowledge with modern practices was identified as a critical factor enhancing forest resilience. Additionally, case studies demonstrated that indigenous-led initiatives resulted in improved biodiversity conservation and greater community involvement in forest management.

Comparing these findings with existing literature shows both alignment and divergence (Coccia, 2021). Previous studies have emphasized the importance of stakeholder engagement in forest management, often highlighting the gap between policy and practice. This research reinforces those findings but also places greater emphasis on the need for adaptive management strategies that incorporate local knowledge. The distinct focus on indigenous practices in this study adds a valuable dimension to the ongoing discourse on sustainable forest management.

The results signify a critical recognition of the role of indigenous knowledge in forest management planning (Stoica et al., 2020). They highlight the potential for traditional practices to complement scientific approaches, leading to more holistic and effective management strategies. This reflection serves as a reminder that sustainable forest management must be inclusive, considering the cultural and ecological contexts of local communities to ensure long-term success.

The implications of these findings are significant for policy-making and forest management practices (Mahanand & Senapati, 2021). By prioritizing the integration of indigenous knowledge and community involvement, management strategies can enhance ecological outcomes and promote social equity. Recognizing the contributions of indigenous peoples is essential for developing effective policies that not only address climate change challenges but also empower local communities in decision-making processes.

The observed findings reflect the complexities of stakeholder engagement in forest management (Ensafi et al., 2022). High levels of awareness do not necessarily translate into active participation, indicating the need for targeted education and capacity-building initiatives. The challenges faced by communities in accessing resources and decision-making processes further explain the gaps in implementation of adaptive strategies, highlighting systemic barriers that must be addressed.

Moving forward, research should focus on creating frameworks that facilitate the integration of local knowledge into formal management systems (Albalawi et al., 2020). Long-term studies assessing the impacts of community-driven initiatives on forest health will be essential for understanding their effectiveness (Rosca et al., 2020). Additionally, fostering collaborations among indigenous communities, governmental bodies, and NGOs can enhance the resilience and sustainability of forest ecosystems in the face of climate change.

CONCLUSION

This study identified that indigenous peoples play a crucial role in forest management, significantly enhancing sustainability and biodiversity conservation. The comparative analysis across different communities revealed that traditional knowledge systems contribute to effective resource management practices. Differences in participation levels highlighted that while awareness of forest management is high, active involvement varies, suggesting a need for more inclusive frameworks.

The research contributes valuable insights into the integration of indigenous knowledge within formal forest management planning. By employing a comparative analysis method, this study illuminates the diverse practices and challenges faced by indigenous communities. This approach underscores the importance of recognizing local knowledge as a vital component of sustainable forest management strategies, enhancing the dialogue around inclusive policy-making.

Despite these contributions, the study has limitations in its scope and generalizability. The focus on specific indigenous communities may not fully reflect the broader diversity of experiences across different regions. Future research should aim to explore a wider range of case studies, examining various socio-economic and ecological contexts to provide a more comprehensive understanding of indigenous roles in forest management.

Future investigations should prioritize the development of frameworks that facilitate the integration of indigenous practices into formal management systems. Longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impacts of community-led initiatives on forest health and resilience will be essential. Additionally, exploring collaborative approaches among indigenous communities, governments, and NGOs can further enhance the effectiveness of forest management strategies in the face of ongoing environmental changes.

REFERENCES

Ak, M. F. (2020). A Comparative Analysis of Breast Cancer Detection and Diagnosis Using Data Visualization and Machine Learning Applications. *Healthcare, 8*(2), 111. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8020111

Albalawi, R., Yeap, T. H., & Benyoucef, M. (2020). Using Topic Modeling Methods for Short-Text Data: A Comparative Analysis. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 3*, 42. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2020.00042

Alsalman, A., Assi, L. N., Kareem, R. S., Carter, K., & Ziehl, P. (2021). Energy and CO2 emission assessments of alkali-activated concrete and Ordinary Portland Cement concrete: A comparative analysis of different grades of concrete. *Cleaner Environmental Systems, 3*, 100047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2021.100047

Bacon, E., Williams, M. D., & Davies, G. (2020). Coopetition in innovation ecosystems: A comparative analysis of knowledge transfer configurations. *Journal of Business Research, 115*, 307–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.005

Bari Antor, M., Jamil, A. H. M. S., Mamtaz, M., Monirujjaman Khan, M., Aljahdali, S., Kaur, M., Singh, P., & Masud, M. (2021). A Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms to Predict Alzheimer's Disease. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2021*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9917919

Bawack, R. E., Wamba, S. F., & Carillo, K. D. A. (2021). Exploring the role of personality, trust, and privacy in customer experience performance during voice shopping: Evidence from SEM and fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis. *International Journal of Information Management*, *58*, 102309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102309

Butnaru, G. I., Niță, V., Anichiti, A., & Brînză, G. (2021). The Effectiveness of Online Education during Covid 19 Pandemic—A Comparative Analysis between the Perceptions of Academic Students and High School Students from Romania. *Sustainability*, *13*(9), 5311. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095311

Chi, B., Lu, W., Ye, M., Bao, Z., & Zhang, X. (2020). Construction waste minimization in green building: A comparative analysis of LEED-NC 2009 certified projects in the US and China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *256*, 120749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120749

Coccia, M. (2021). The impact of first and second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in society: Comparative analysis to support control measures to cope with negative effects of future infectious diseases. *Environmental Research*, *197*, 111099. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111099

Cui, L., Weng, S., Nadeem, A. M., Rafique, M. Z., & Shahzad, U. (2022). Exploring the role of renewable energy, urbanization and structural change for environmental sustainability: Comparative analysis for practical implications. *Renewable Energy*, *184*, 215–224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.11.075

Djalante, R., Nurhidayah, L., Van Minh, H., Phuong, N. T. N., Mahendradhata, Y., Trias, A., Lassa, J., & Miller, M. A. (2020). COVID-19 and ASEAN responses: Comparative policy analysis. *Progress in Disaster Science*, *8*, 100129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2020.100129

Ensafi, Y., Amin, S. H., Zhang, G., & Shah, B. (2022). Time-series forecasting of seasonal items sales using machine learning – A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, *2*(1), 100058. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjimei.2022.100058

Fletcher, R., Cornia, A., & Nielsen, R. K. (2020). How Polarized Are Online and Offline News Audiences? A Comparative Analysis of Twelve Countries. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, *25*(2), 169–195. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161219892768

Golewski, G. L. (2022). Comparative measurements of fracture toughgness combined with visual analysis of cracks propagation using the DIC technique of concretes based on cement matrix with a highly diversified composition. *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, *121*, 103553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tafmec.2022.103553

Habibi, F., & Zabardast, M. A. (2020). Digitalization, education and economic growth: A comparative analysis of Middle East and OECD countries. *Technology in Society*, *63*, 101370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101370

Hancock, P. A., Kessler, T. T., Kaplan, A. D., Brill, J. C., & Szalma, J. L. (2021). Evolving Trust in Robots: Specification Through Sequential and Comparative Meta-Analyses. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, *63*(7), 1196–1229. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720820922080

Heredia, N. S., Vizuete, K., Flores-Calero, M., Pazmiño V., K., Pilaquinga, F., Kumar, B., & Debut, A. (2022). Comparative statistical analysis of the release kinetics models

for nanoprecipitated drug delivery systems based on poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid). *PLOS ONE*, *17*(3), e0264825. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0264825

Hufford, M. B., Seetharam, A. S., Woodhouse, M. R., Chougule, K. M., Ou, S., Liu, J., Ricci, W. A., Guo, T., Olson, A., Qiu, Y., Della Coletta, R., Tittes, S., Hudson, A. I., Marand, A. P., Wei, S., Lu, Z., Wang, B., Tello-Ruiz, M. K., Piri, R. D., … Dawe, R. K. (2021). De novo assembly, annotation, and comparative analysis of 26 diverse maize genomes. *Science*, *373*(6555), 655–662. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abg5289

Li, P., Hao, Z., Wu, J., Ma, C., Xu, Y., Li, J., Lan, R., Zhu, B., Ren, P., Fan, D., & Sun, S. (2021). Comparative Proteomic Analysis of Polarized Human THP-1 and Mouse RAW264.7 Macrophages. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *12*, 700009. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.700009

Mahanand, Y., & Senapati, J. R. (2021). Thermo-hydraulic performance analysis of a solar air heater (SAH) with quarter-circular ribs on the absorber plate: A comparative study. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, *161*, 106747. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2020.106747

Mohan, B. P., Khan, S. R., Trakroo, S., Ponnada, S., Jayaraj, M., Asokkumar, R., & Adler, D. G. (2020). Endoscopic ultrasound-guided gallbladder drainage, transpapillary drainage, or percutaneous drainage in high risk acute cholecystitis patients: A systematic review and comparative meta-analysis. *Endoscopy*, *52*(02), 96–106. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1020-3932

Myovella, G., Karacuka, M., & Haucap, J. (2020). Digitalization and economic growth: A comparative analysis of Sub-Saharan Africa and OECD economies. *Telecommunications Policy*, *44*(2), 101856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2019.101856

Naeem, M. A., Farid, S., Ferrer, R., & Shahzad, S. J. H. (2021). Comparative efficiency of green and conventional bonds pre- and during COVID-19: An asymmetric multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis. *Energy Policy*, *153*, 112285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112285

O'Hearn, M., Liu, J., Cudhea, F., Micha, R., & Mozaffarian, D. (2021). Coronavirus Disease 2019 Hospitalizations Attributable to Cardiometabolic Conditions in the United States: A Comparative Risk Assessment Analysis. *Journal of the American Heart Association*, *10*(5), e019259. https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.120.019259

Panagopoulos, A., & Giannika, V. (2022). Comparative techno-economic and environmental analysis of minimal liquid discharge (MLD) and zero liquid discharge (ZLD) desalination systems for seawater brine treatment and valorization. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, *53*, 102477. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2022.102477

Revilla, R. I., Wouters, B., Andreatta, F., Lanzutti, A., Fedrizzi, L., & De Graeve, I. (2020). EIS comparative study and critical Equivalent Electrical Circuit (EEC) analysis of the native oxide layer of additive manufactured and wrought 316L stainless steel. *Corrosion Science*, *167*, 108480. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2020.108480

Rosca, E., Agarwal, N., & Brem, A. (2020). Women entrepreneurs as agents of change: A comparative analysis of social entrepreneurship processes in emerging markets. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *157*, 120067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120067

Rutakumwa, R., Mugisha, J. O., Bernays, S., Kabunga, E., Tumwekwase, G., Mbonye, M., & Seeley, J. (2020). Conducting in-depth interviews with and without voice recorders: A comparative analysis. *Qualitative Research*, *20*(5), 565–581. https://doi.org/10.1177/1468794119884806

Schwärzel, K., Zhang, L., Montanarella, L., Wang, Y., & Sun, G. (2020). How afforestation affects the water cycle in drylands: A process-based comparative analysis. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(2), 944–959. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14875

Shin, S., Lee, J., Kwon, Y., Park, K.-S., Jeong, J.-H., Choi, S.-J., Bang, S. I., Chang, J. W., & Lee, C. (2021). Comparative Proteomic Analysis of the Mesenchymal Stem Cells Secretome from Adipose, Bone Marrow, Placenta and Wharton's Jelly. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *22*(2), 845. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22020845

Solyali, D. (2020). A Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Approaches for Short-/Long-Term Electricity Load Forecasting in Cyprus. *Sustainability*, *12*(9), 3612. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093612

Stoica, O., Roman, A., & Rusu, V. D. (2020). The Nexus between Entrepreneurship and Economic Growth: A Comparative Analysis on Groups of Countries. *Sustainability*, *12*(3), 1186. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12031186

Thomann, E., & Maggetti, M. (2020). Designing Research With Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA): Approaches, Challenges, and Tools. *Sociological Methods & Research*, *49*(2), 356–386. https://doi.org/10.1177/0049124117729700

Wang, L., Li, X., Liu, L., Da Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Hao Chang, Y., & Zhu, Q. P. (2020). Comparative lipidomics analysis of human, bovine and caprine milk by UHPLC-Q-TOF-MS. *Food Chemistry*, *310*, 125865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125865

Wang, L., Wang, X., Peng, J., & Wang, J. (2020). The differences in hotel selection among various types of travellers: A comparative analysis with a useful bounded rationality behavioural decision support model. *Tourism Management*, *76*, 103961. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2019.103961

Wang, X., He, Y., Zhang, Q., Ren, X., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Direct Comparative Analyses of 10X Genomics Chromium and Smart-Seq2. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, *19*(2), 253–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gpb.2020.02.005

Waqas, H., Farooq, U., Liu, D., Abid, M., Imran, M., & Muhammad, T. (2022). Heat transfer analysis of hybrid nanofluid flow with thermal radiation through a stretching sheet: A comparative study. *International Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer*, *138*, 106303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icheatmasstransfer.2022.106303

Xu, K., Larsen, K., Shao, Y., Zhang, M., Gao, Z., & Moan, T. (2021). Design and comparative analysis of alternative mooring systems for floating wind turbines in shallow water with emphasis on ultimate limit state design. *Ocean Engineering*, *219*, 108377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2020.108377

Zhang, H., Zhan, B., Pan, F., & Luo, W. (2020). Determination of soluble solids content in oranges using visible and near infrared full transmittance hyperspectral imaging with comparative analysis of models. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *163*, 111148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2020.111148

Zhao, Y., Li, K., Gargani, M., & Xiong, W. (2020). A comparative analysis of Inconel 718 made by additive manufacturing and suction casting: Microstructure evolution in

homogenization. *Additive Manufacturing*, *36*, 101404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2020.101404

Zou, Q., Sun, Q., Chen, L., Nie, B., & Li, Q. (2022). A Comparative Analysis of LiDAR SLAM-Based Indoor Navigation for Autonomous Vehicles. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, *23*(7), 6907–6921. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2021.3063477