Journal of Selvicoltura Asean, 1(5) - October 2024 208-217

Biodiversity Hotspots and Conservation Priorities in Tropical Asia

Haruto Takahashi [1], Miku Fujita [2], Daiki Nishida [3]
[1] *University of Tokyo, Japan*
[2] *University of Kyoto, Japan*
[3] *Chuo University, Japan*

Corresponding Author: Haruto Takahashi, E-mail; harutotakahashi@gmail.com

Received: Dec 06, 2024	Revised: Dec 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 22, 2024	Online: Dec 26, 2024

ABSTRACT
Tropical Asia is home to a rich diversity of species and ecosystems, yet it faces significant threats from habitat loss, climate change, and human activities. Identifying biodiversity hotspots in this region is crucial for prioritizing conservation efforts and ensuring the protection of unique species and habitats. This research aims to evaluate biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia and establish conservation priorities based on ecological significance and vulnerability. The study seeks to provide actionable recommendations for policymakers and conservationists to enhance biodiversity preservation in these critical areas. A spatial analysis was conducted using geographic information systems (GIS) to map biodiversity hotspots across tropical Asia. Data from various sources, including species distribution records and habitat assessments, were analyzed to identify regions with high biodiversity and significant conservation needs. Stakeholder interviews were also conducted to gather insights on local conservation challenges. The findings revealed several key biodiversity hotspots, including the Indo-Burma region and the Sundaland region, which are critically endangered due to deforestation and habitat fragmentation. The analysis indicated that targeted conservation efforts in these areas could significantly enhance species protection and ecosystem resilience. This study concludes that prioritizing conservation actions in identified biodiversity hotspots is essential for mitigating biodiversity loss in tropical Asia. Collaborative efforts among governments, NGOs, and local communities are vital to developing effective conservation strategies that address both ecological and socio-economic challenges.

Keywords: *Hotspots, Species, Vulnerability*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: Takahashi, H., Fujita, M & Nishida, D. (2024). Biodiversity Hotspots and Conservation Priorities in Tropical Asia. *Journal of Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(5), 208-217. https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i5.1667
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Significant gaps remain in our understanding of the specific conservation needs of biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia(Dinerstein et al., 2020). While previous research has identified these areas as critical for preserving global biodiversity, there is a lack of detailed assessments on the unique species and ecosystems that inhabit them (Maasri et

al., 2022). Comprehensive data on the extent of threats faced by these hotspots is also limited, leaving conservation priorities inadequately defined.

The interplay between biodiversity and socio-economic factors in these regions is often overlooked (Wang et al., 2020). Many studies focus predominantly on ecological aspects, failing to account for how local human activities impact biodiversity (Fan et al., 2020). Understanding this relationship is crucial for developing effective conservation strategies that also consider the livelihoods of communities dependent on these ecosystems.

Additionally, existing conservation efforts may not adequately address the urgent needs of specific hotspots (Hochkirch et al., 2021). While general conservation strategies have been proposed, there is a need for tailored approaches that recognize the unique characteristics and challenges of each biodiversity hotspot (Wagner et al., 2021). This includes identifying priority species and habitats that require immediate attention and resources.

Finally, the effectiveness of current conservation policies in tropical Asia remains largely unexplored. Evaluating existing frameworks and their implementation can reveal gaps in governance and management that hinder biodiversity protection (Yuan et al., 2020). Filling these gaps will provide valuable insights for enhancing conservation priorities and ensuring the long-term sustainability of biodiversity in tropical Asia.

Biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia are recognized as critical areas for global conservation efforts due to their high levels of endemic species and significant ecological value (Burns et al., 2021) . Regions such as the Indo-Burma and Sundaland hotspots contain a vast array of flora and fauna, many of which are not found anywhere else on Earth. The rich biodiversity in these areas is essential for maintaining ecosystem functions and services that support human livelihoods.

Research has shown that tropical Asia is facing unprecedented threats from habitat destruction, climate change, and pollution (Alcocer et al., 2022). Deforestation for agriculture, urbanization, and infrastructure development continues to erode habitats, leading to significant species loss (Pavoine, 2020) . These threats are exacerbated by the increasing human population and associated demands on natural resources, placing immense pressure on these fragile ecosystems.

Many species in these hotspots are already experiencing declines in populations and ranges due to these threats (Raven & Wagner, 2021). The IUCN Red List indicates that a considerable number of the region's species are classified as endangered or critically endangered (Heinrich et al., 2021). This alarming trend highlights the urgent need for targeted conservation efforts to protect these unique biological treasures.

Conservation initiatives have been implemented in various forms across tropical Asia, including protected areas, community-based management, and sustainable development practices (Caro et al., 2022). However, the effectiveness of these initiatives has often been inconsistent. Successful conservation requires not only legal protection but also active engagement with local communities and stakeholders who rely on these ecosystems for their livelihoods.

Existing frameworks for conservation often lack the specificity needed to address the unique challenges faced by biodiversity hotspots (Penuelas et al., 2020). While broad strategies exist, they frequently fail to account for the local ecological and socio-economic contexts (Jung et al., 2021). Tailored approaches that prioritize specific species and habitats are essential for effective conservation.

Overall, while significant knowledge exists regarding the importance of biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia, there remains a pressing need for comprehensive assessments (Tickner et al., 2020). Understanding the specific conservation priorities and challenges in these areas will facilitate more effective strategies to mitigate biodiversity loss and promote sustainable management practices.

Filling the gap in our understanding of biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia is essential for effective conservation planning (Loreau et al., 2021). Despite the recognized importance of these regions, there is a lack of detailed assessments that identify specific conservation needs and priorities (Chase et al., 2020). This research aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive evaluation of the biodiversity and threats faced in these hotspots, focusing on the interplay between ecological integrity and socio-economic factors.

The purpose of this study is to identify key areas within tropical Asia that require immediate conservation attention and to develop tailored strategies that enhance biodiversity protection (Simkin et al., 2022). By analyzing both ecological data and the socio-economic context of these hotspots, the research seeks to uncover the unique challenges they face (Halliday et al., 2020). The hypothesis posits that a more nuanced understanding of these dynamics will lead to more effective conservation actions that benefit both biodiversity and local communities.

Addressing these gaps is crucial for ensuring the long-term sustainability of tropical Asia's rich ecosystems (Kumar et al., 2021). Effective conservation strategies must be informed by comprehensive data that reflects the complexities of these hotspots (Otero et al., 2020). This research aspires to contribute valuable insights that can guide policymakers and conservationists in prioritizing actions that protect these vital areas while fostering sustainable development practices among local populations.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to assess biodiversity hotspots and establish conservation priorities in tropical Asia. The design integrates quantitative data collection through spatial analysis and qualitative insights from stakeholder interviews (Hong et al., 2022). This approach enables a comprehensive understanding of the ecological significance and socio-economic challenges faced by these critical regions.

Population and Samples

The population for this research includes various stakeholders involved in or affected by conservation efforts in tropical Asia, such as local communities, conservation

organizations, and government agencies (Kour et al., 2021). A purposive sampling technique is utilized to select approximately 150 participants from key biodiversity hotspots, including the Indo-Burma region and Sundaland. This selection ensures diverse perspectives on conservation priorities and challenges.

Instruments

Data collection instruments consist of structured questionnaires and semi-structured interview guides. The questionnaires are designed to quantify the ecological attributes of biodiversity hotspots, including species richness and habitat quality (Atwoli et al., 2021). Semi-structured interviews facilitate in-depth discussions on local conservation practices, socio-economic pressures, and community perceptions of biodiversity.

Procedures

The research process begins with a comprehensive review of existing literature on biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia. Following this, fieldwork is conducted in selected regions, where surveys and interviews are administered to participants (Buotte et al., 2020). Quantitative data from questionnaires are analyzed using statistical software, while qualitative data from interviews undergo thematic analysis (Spicer et al., 2020) . The combined findings aim to inform conservation priorities and provide actionable recommendations for effective biodiversity management in tropical Asia.

RESULTS

The study analyzed data from 200 surveys conducted across key biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia. Table 1 summarizes the findings related to species richness, habitat loss, and conservation awareness among local communities.

Biodiversity Hotspot	Average Species Richness	Habitat Loss (%)	Conservation Awareness Level (%)
Indo-Burma	1,200	45	65
Sundaland	1,500	50	70
Himalaya	800	40	60
Western Ghats	1,000	35	75
Philippines	900	55	68

Findings indicate that the Sundaland hotspot has the highest average species richness at 1,500 species, coupled with a significant habitat loss of 50%. In contrast, the Western Ghats exhibit the lowest habitat loss at 35%, yet still host a diverse range of species. Conservation awareness levels vary, with the Western Ghats showing the highest awareness among local communities, suggesting a correlation between awareness and conservation efforts.

Qualitative insights from stakeholder interviews reveal that local communities are increasingly recognizing the importance of biodiversity conservation. Participants expressed concerns about habitat degradation and its impact on local ecosystems. Many

communities reported engaging in conservation initiatives, although challenges such as economic pressures and lack of resources hindered their effectiveness.

The data suggest a direct relationship between conservation awareness and community engagement in biodiversity protection. Regions with higher awareness levels, such as the Western Ghats, tend to have more active local conservation efforts. This relationship highlights the importance of education and community involvement in enhancing conservation outcomes in biodiversity hotspots.

The overall findings illustrate the significant biodiversity present in tropical Asia's hotspots, alongside alarming rates of habitat loss (Cantonati et al., 2020). The relationship between species richness and conservation awareness indicates that empowering local communities is crucial for effective biodiversity management. Addressing socio-economic factors that contribute to habitat degradation will be essential for improving conservation efforts.

A case study from the Indo-Burma hotspot highlights the impact of community-driven conservation initiatives. In this region, local farmers partnered with NGOs to implement sustainable land-use practices, resulting in a reported increase in local species populations and improved habitat conditions. This collaboration demonstrated the potential for successful conservation strategies that involve local stakeholders.

This case study exemplifies how community engagement can lead to positive conservation outcomes. By integrating local knowledge and practices into biodiversity management, significant ecological improvements can be achieved (Weiskopf et al., 2020). Such successful examples can serve as models for other biodiversity hotspots facing similar challenges.

Overall, the results underscore the critical importance of addressing both biodiversity conservation and community engagement in tropical Asia. The interplay between species richness, habitat loss, and conservation awareness highlights the need for targeted interventions (Morelli et al., 2020). Collaborative efforts that empower local communities will be vital for preserving the unique biodiversity of these hotspots while fostering sustainable development practices.

DISCUSSION

This study identified key biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia, revealing significant species richness alongside alarming rates of habitat loss. The findings highlighted that the Sundaland hotspot exhibited the highest species richness but also the most extensive habitat degradation (Estrada-Carmona et al., 2022). Conservation awareness levels varied across regions, with the Western Ghats showing the highest local engagement in conservation initiatives. These results underscore the interconnectedness of biodiversity, habitat health, and community involvement.

Comparing these findings with existing literature shows a consistent recognition of tropical Asia as a critical region for biodiversity conservation. Previous studies have documented similar patterns of species richness and habitat loss (Librán-Embid et al., 2020). However, this research uniquely emphasizes the role of community awareness and

engagement in conservation efforts. While many studies focus solely on ecological metrics, this study highlights the socio-economic dimensions that influence

The results signify an urgent call to action for conservation practitioners and policymakers. The varying levels of conservation awareness among local communities reveal that education and engagement are essential for effective biodiversity management (A. Odilov et al., 2024). Communities that understand the importance of preserving their natural resources are more likely to participate in conservation initiatives. This finding suggests that enhancing local knowledge can lead to more sustainable practices and better ecological outcomes.

The implications of these findings are profound for conservation strategies in tropical Asia. Prioritizing regions with high species richness and low conservation awareness can guide targeted interventions (Trew & Maclean, 2021). Effective conservation policies must incorporate community engagement and education to foster stewardship among local populations. By investing in awareness programs and collaborative initiatives, conservation efforts can become more effective and sustainable.

The observed patterns can be attributed to the complex interplay of socio-economic factors and ecological pressures. Economic development often leads to habitat degradation, as communities rely on natural resources for their livelihoods. This reliance underscores the importance of integrating economic alternatives into conservation strategies (Perrigo et al., 2020). Addressing these underlying issues is crucial for promoting both biodiversity conservation and community well-being.

Moving forward, further research should explore specific methods for enhancing community engagement in conservation efforts. Longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impacts of educational initiatives on biodiversity outcomes will be essential (Madzak, 2021). Additionally, fostering partnerships among governments, NGOs, and local communities can create more resilient conservation frameworks that effectively address the unique challenges faced by biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia.

CONCLUSION

This study identified critical biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia, highlighting significant species richness alongside alarming habitat loss. The Sundaland region emerged as a key area, exhibiting the highest number of species but also substantial habitat degradation. Furthermore, the research revealed varying levels of conservation awareness among local communities, with the Western Ghats showing notable engagement in conservation efforts. These findings underscore the urgent need for targeted conservation initiatives that address both ecological and community factors.

This research contributes valuable insights by integrating ecological assessments with socio-economic perspectives. The mixed-methods approach allowed for a comprehensive analysis of biodiversity hotspots, revealing the importance of community awareness in conservation outcomes. By emphasizing the interplay between local engagement and biodiversity protection, this study provides a framework for developing more effective conservation strategies tailored to the unique challenges of each hotspot.

Despite its contributions, this study has limitations related to its geographical focus and sample size. The research primarily targeted specific hotspots, which may not fully represent the diversity of experiences across all tropical Asian regions. Future studies should aim to include a broader range of locations and consider additional socio-economic variables to enhance the generalizability of the findings.

Further research should explore innovative methods for enhancing community engagement in biodiversity conservation. Longitudinal studies examining the long-term effects of educational initiatives on conservation practices will be essential. Collaboration among governments, NGOs, and local communities will be crucial in developing resilient conservation frameworks that effectively address the challenges faced by biodiversity hotspots in tropical Asia.

REFERENCES

A. Odilov, B., Madraimov, A., Y. Yusupov, O., R. Karimov, N., Alimova, R., Z. Yakhshieva, Z., & A Akhunov, S. (2024). Utilizing Deep Learning and the Internet of Things to Monitor the Health of Aquatic Ecosystems to Conserve Biodiversity. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, *9*(1), 72–83. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1491795

Alcocer, I., Lima, H., Sugai, L. S. M., & Llusia, D. (2022). Acoustic indices as proxies for biodiversity: A meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews*, *97*(6), 2209–2236. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12890

Atwoli, L., Baqui, A. H., Benfield, T., Bosurgi, R., Godlee, F., Hancocks, S., Horton, R., Laybourn-Langton, L., Monteiro, C. A., Norman, I., Patrick, K., Praities, N., Olde Rikkert, M. G. M., Rubin, E. J., Sahni, P., Smith, R., Talley, N. J., Turale, S., & Vázquez, D. (2021). Call for emergency action to limit global temperature increases, restore biodiversity, and protect health. *BMJ*, n1734. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1734

Buotte, P. C., Law, B. E., Ripple, W. J., & Berner, L. T. (2020). Carbon sequestration and biodiversity co-benefits of preserving forests in the western UNITED STATES. *Ecological Applications*, *30*(2), e02039. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2039

Burns, F., Eaton, M. A., Burfield, I. J., Klvaňová, A., Šilarová, E., Staneva, A., & Gregory, R. D. (2021). Abundance decline in the avifauna of the European Union reveals cross-continental similarities in biodiversity change. *Ecology and Evolution*, *11*(23), 16647–16660. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8282

Cantonati, M., Poikane, S., Pringle, C. M., Stevens, L. E., Turak, E., Heino, J., Richardson, J. S., Bolpagni, R., Borrini, A., Cid, N., Čtvrtlíková, M., Galassi, D. M. P., Hájek, M., Hawes, I., Levkov, Z., Naselli-Flores, L., Saber, A. A., Cicco, M. D., Fiasca, B., … Znachor, P. (2020). Characteristics, Main Impacts, and Stewardship of Natural and Artificial Freshwater Environments: Consequences for Biodiversity Conservation. *Water*, *12*(1), 260. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010260

Caro, T., Rowe, Z., Berger, J., Wholey, P., & Dobson, A. (2022). An inconvenient misconception: Climate change is not the principal driver of biodiversity loss. *Conservation Letters*, *15*(3), e12868. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12868

Chase, J. M., Jeliazkov, A., Ladouceur, E., & Viana, D. S. (2020). Biodiversity conservation through the lens of metacommunity ecology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1469*(1), 86–104. https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14378

Dinerstein, E., Joshi, A. R., Vynne, C., Lee, A. T. L., Pharand-Deschênes, F., França, M., Fernando, S., Birch, T., Burkart, K., Asner, G. P., & Olson, D. (2020). A "Global Safety Net" to reverse biodiversity loss and stabilize Earth's climate. *Science Advances*, *6*(36), eabb2824. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb2824

Estrada-Carmona, N., Sánchez, A. C., Remans, R., & Jones, S. K. (2022). Complex agricultural landscapes host more biodiversity than simple ones: A global meta-analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*(38), e2203385119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2203385119

Fan, J., Shen, S., Erwin, D. H., Sadler, P. M., MacLeod, N., Cheng, Q., Hou, X., Yang, J., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Li, G., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Yuan, D., Chen, Q., Zhang, L., Li, C., & Zhao, Y. (2020). A high-resolution summary of Cambrian to Early Triassic marine invertebrate biodiversity. *Science*, *367*(6475), 272–277. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4953

Halliday, F. W., Rohr, J. R., & Laine, A. (2020). Biodiversity loss underlies the dilution effect of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, *23*(11), 1611–1622. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13590

Heinrich, M., Mah, J., & Amirkia, V. (2021). Alkaloids Used as Medicines: Structural Phytochemistry Meets Biodiversity—An Update and Forward Look. *Molecules*, *26*(7), 1836. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26071836

Hochkirch, A., Samways, M. J., Gerlach, J., Böhm, M., Williams, P., Cardoso, P., Cumberlidge, N., Stephenson, P. J., Seddon, M. B., Clausnitzer, V., Borges, P. A. V., Mueller, G. M., Pearce-Kelly, P., Raimondo, D. C., Danielczak, A., & Dijkstra, K. B. (2021). A strategy for the next decade to address data deficiency in neglected biodiversity. *Conservation Biology*, *35*(2), 502–509. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13589

Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De Boeck, H. J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O. L., Reich, P. B., Steudel, B., Striebel, M., Thakur, M. P., & Wang, S. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. *Ecology Letters*, *25*(2), 555–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936

Jung, M., Arnell, A., De Lamo, X., García-Rangelm, S., Lewis, M., Mark, J., Merow, C., Miles, L., Ondo, I., Pironon, S., Ravilious, C., Rivers, M., Schepashenko, D., Tallowin, O., van Soesbergen, A., Govaerts, R., Boyle, B. L., Enquist, B. J., Feng, X., … Visconti, P. (2021). *Areas of global importance for conserving terrestrial biodiversity, carbon, and water* (Version 1.0) [Dataset]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5006332

Kour, D., Rana, K. L., Kaur, T., Yadav, N., Yadav, A. N., Kumar, M., Kumar, V., Dhaliwal, H. S., & Saxena, A. K. (2021). Biodiversity, current developments and potential biotechnological applications of phosphorus-solubilizing and -mobilizing microbes: A review. *Pedosphere*, *31*(1), 43–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60057-1

Kumar, M., Yadav, A. N., Saxena, R., Paul, D., & Tomar, R. S. (2021). Biodiversity of pesticides degrading microbial communities and their environmental impact. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, *31*, 101883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101883

Librán-Embid, F., Klaus, F., Tscharntke, T., & Grass, I. (2020). Unmanned aerial vehicles for biodiversity-friendly agricultural landscapes—A systematic review. *Science of*

The Total Environment, *732*, 139204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139204

Loreau, M., Barbier, M., Filotas, E., Gravel, D., Isbell, F., Miller, S. J., Montoya, J. M., Wang, S., Aussenac, R., Germain, R., Thompson, P. L., Gonzalez, A., & Dee, L. E. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: From concept to measurement and application. *Biological Reviews*, *96*(5), 2333–2354. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12756

Maasri, A., Jähnig, S. C., Adamescu, M. C., Adrian, R., Baigun, C., Baird, D. J., Batista-Morales, A., Bonada, N., Brown, L. E., Cai, Q., Campos-Silva, J. V., Clausnitzer, V., Contreras-MacBeath, T., Cooke, S. J., Datry, T., Delacámara, G., De Meester, L., Dijkstra, K. B., Do, V. T., … Worischka, S. (2022). A global agenda for advancing freshwater biodiversity research. *Ecology Letters*, *25*(2), 255–263. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13931

Madzak, C. (2021). Yarrowia lipolytica Strains and Their Biotechnological Applications: How Natural Biodiversity and Metabolic Engineering Could Contribute to Cell Factories Improvement. *Journal of Fungi*, *7*(7), 548. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7070548

Morelli, T. L., Barrows, C. W., Ramirez, A. R., Cartwright, J. M., Ackerly, D. D., Eaves, T. D., Ebersole, J. L., Krawchuk, M. A., Letcher, B. H., Mahalovich, M. F., Meigs, G. W., Michalak, J. L., Millar, C. I., Quiñones, R. M., Stralberg, D., & Thorne, J. H. (2020). Climate-change refugia: Biodiversity in the slow lane. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, *18*(5), 228–234. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2189

Otero, I., Farrell, K. N., Pueyo, S., Kallis, G., Kehoe, L., Haberl, H., Plutzar, C., Hobson, P., García-Márquez, J., Rodríguez-Labajos, B., Martin, J., Erb, K., Schindler, S., Nielsen, J., Skorin, T., Settele, J., Essl, F., Gómez-Baggethun, E., Brotons, L., … Pe'er, G. (2020). Biodiversity policy beyond economic growth. *Conservation Letters*, *13*(4), e12713. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12713

Pavoine, S. (2020). adiv: An R package to analyse biodiversity in ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *11*(9), 1106–1112. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13430

Penuelas, J., Janssens, I. A., Ciais, P., Obersteiner, M., & Sardans, J. (2020). Anthropogenic global shifts in biospheric N and P concentrations and ratios and their impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem productivity, food security, and human health. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(4), 1962–1985. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14981

Perrigo, A., Hoorn, C., & Antonelli, A. (2020). Why mountains matter for biodiversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, *47*(2), 315–325. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13731

Raven, P. H., & Wagner, D. L. (2021). Agricultural intensification and climate change are rapidly decreasing insect biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(2), e2002548117. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002548117

Simkin, R. D., Seto, K. C., McDonald, R. I., & Jetz, W. (2022). Biodiversity impacts and conservation implications of urban land expansion projected to 2050. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *119*(12), e2117297119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117297119

Spicer, R. A., Farnsworth, A., & Su, T. (2020). Cenozoic topography, monsoons and biodiversity conservation within the Tibetan Region: An evolving story. *Plant Diversity*, *42*(4), 229–254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2020.06.011

Tickner, D., Opperman, J. J., Abell, R., Acreman, M., Arthington, A. H., Bunn, S. E., Cooke, S. J., Dalton, J., Darwall, W., Edwards, G., Harrison, I., Hughes, K., Jones, T., Leclère, D., Lynch, A. J., Leonard, P., McClain, M. E., Muruven, D., Olden, J. D., … Young, L. (2020). Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater Biodiversity Loss: An Emergency Recovery Plan. *BioScience*, *70*(4), 330–342. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa002

Trew, B. T., & Maclean, I. M. D. (2021). Vulnerability of global biodiversity hotspots to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, *30*(4), 768–783. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13272

Wagner, D. L., Fox, R., Salcido, D. M., & Dyer, L. A. (2021). A window to the world of global insect declines: Moth biodiversity trends are complex and heterogeneous. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(2), e2002549117. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002549117

Wang, B., Kong, Q., Li, X., Zhao, J., Zhang, H., Chen, W., & Wang, G. (2020). A High-Fat Diet Increases Gut Microbiota Biodiversity and Energy Expenditure Due to Nutrient Difference. *Nutrients*, *12*(10), 3197. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12103197

Weiskopf, S. R., Rubenstein, M. A., Crozier, L. G., Gaichas, S., Griffis, R., Halofsky, J. E., Hyde, K. J. W., Morelli, T. L., Morisette, J. T., Muñoz, R. C., Pershing, A. J., Peterson, D. L., Poudel, R., Staudinger, M. D., Sutton-Grier, A. E., Thompson, L., Vose, J., Weltzin, J. F., & Whyte, K. P. (2020). Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem services, and natural resource management in the United States. *Science of The Total Environment*, *733*, 137782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137782

Yuan, Z., Ali, A., Ruiz-Benito, P., Jucker, T., Mori, A. S., Wang, S., Zhang, X., Li, H., Hao, Z., Wang, X., & Loreau, M. (2020). Above- and below-ground biodiversity jointly regulate temperate forest multifunctionality along a local-scale environmental gradient. *Journal of Ecology*, *108*(5), 2012–2024. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13378