

Illegal Logging and Its Impact on Forest Ecosystems in Southeast Asia

Safiullah Aziz¹, Shazia Akhtar², Rafiullah Amin³

¹ Herat University, Afghanistan

² Nangarhar University, Afghanistan

³ Balkh University, Afghanistan

Corresponding Author: Safiullah Aziz, E-mail; <u>safiullahaziz@gmail.com</u>

Received: Dec 06, 2024	Revised: Dec 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 22, 2024	Online: Dec 26, 2024	
ABSTRACT Illegal logging poses a significant threat to forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia, compromising biodiversity, disrupting ecological balance, and undermining sustainable development efforts. The region's rich biodiversity and vital ecosystem services are increasingly jeopardized by unregulated logging practices, necessitating a thorough investigation of its impacts. This research aims to assess the extent of illegal logging in Southeast Asia and its effects on forest ecosystems. The study seeks to identify key drivers of illegal logging and analyze its implications for biodiversity and local communities. A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative data from satellite imagery and forest cover assessments with qualitative interviews of stakeholders, including local communities, government officials, and NGO representatives. Case studies from Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand were analyzed to provide insights into the dynamics of illegal logging. Findings reveal that illegal logging significantly contributes to deforestation and habitat loss, leading to declines in species populations and disruptions in ecosystem functions. Local communities reported negative impacts on their livelihoods and increased conflicts with wildlife as a result of habitat degradation. The study concludes that addressing illegal logging is crucial for the conservation of forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia. Effective governance, community engagement, and sustainable forest management practices are essential to combat illegal activities and protect biodiversity. Collaborative efforts among stakeholders will be vital for creating resilient forest ecosystems in the region.				

Keywords: Biodiversity, Conservation, Deforestation

Journal Homepage	https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis			
This is an open access article under the CC BY SA license				
	https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/			
How to cite:	Aziz, S., Akhtar, S & Amin, R. (2024). Illegal Logging and Its Impact on Forest			
	Ecosystems in Southeast Asia. Journal of Selvicoltura Asean, 1(4), 177-186.			
	https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i4.1664			
Published by:	Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah			

INTRODUCTION

Significant gaps exist in understanding the full extent and consequences of illegal logging in Southeast Asia. While previous studies have documented the prevalence of illegal logging, there is insufficient empirical data on its specific impacts on forest ecosystems (Perrigo et al., 2020). Detailed assessments of how illegal logging affects biodiversity, ecosystem services, and local communities remain limited, creating a need for more comprehensive research.

The dynamics of illegal logging often involve complex socio-economic factors that are not thoroughly explored in existing literature (Trew & Maclean, 2021). Many studies tend to focus on the economic drivers of illegal logging without adequately addressing the ecological repercussions (A. Odilov et al., 2024). Understanding the interplay between these drivers and their environmental impacts is crucial for developing effective conservation strategies.

Furthermore, the role of local communities in both perpetuating and combatting illegal logging has not been sufficiently examined (Librán-Embid et al., 2020). While local populations may engage in illegal logging due to economic necessity, their perspectives and experiences are often overlooked in b(Estrada-Carmona et al., 2022)roader analyses . Exploring how community involvement can either exacerbate or alleviate illegal logging practices is essential for a holistic understanding of the issue.

Lastly, the effectiveness of existing policies and governance frameworks in curbing illegal logging remains inadequately assessed (Morelli et al., 2020). Evaluating how current regulations impact forest conservation and the enforcement of laws is vital for identifying gaps and opportunities for improvement. Filling these gaps will enhance our understanding of the multifaceted impacts of illegal logging on forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia and inform more effective policy responses.

Illegal logging is widely recognized as a critical threat to forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia (Weiskopf et al., 2020). This region, known for its rich biodiversity and unique ecosystems, faces significant challenges due to unregulated logging activities. Various studies have documented the extent of illegal logging, highlighting its contribution to deforestation and habitat destruction (Cantonati et al., 2020). These activities not only undermine conservation efforts but also threaten the livelihoods of communities that depend on forest resources.

Research indicates that illegal logging leads to a decline in biodiversity, with many species facing increased risks of extinction (Spicer et al., 2020). The removal of trees disrupts habitat quality and alters the structure of forest ecosystems, impacting flora and fauna alike. Biodiversity loss has cascading effects on ecosystem services, such as water regulation, soil fertility, and climate resilience, which are vital for both human well-being and environmental health.

Economic motivations drive illegal logging, often tied to poverty and lack of alternative livelihoods in rural communities (Atwoli et al., 2021). Many individuals resort to illegal logging as a means of survival, reflecting broader socio-economic issues (Kour et al., 2021). This relationship between poverty and illegal logging underscores the need for integrated approaches that address both conservation and community development.

Existing policies aimed at curbing illegal logging have had mixed results. While some regions have implemented stricter regulations and enforcement measures, challenges remain in ensuring compliance and effective governance (Hong et al., 2022). Corruption, lack of resources, and insufficient monitoring systems weaken the effectiveness of these policies, allowing illegal logging to persist.

Community involvement in forest management has emerged as a critical factor in mitigating illegal logging (Otero et al., 2020). Successful case studies illustrate how engaging local populations can lead to more sustainable practices and greater stewardship of forest resources (Kumar et al., 2021). Community-based initiatives not only empower locals but also enhance conservation outcomes by fostering a sense of ownership.

Overall, while significant knowledge exists regarding the impacts of illegal logging on forest ecosystems, further research is needed to understand the complex interplay of ecological, economic, and social factors (Halliday et al., 2020). Addressing these dimensions is essential for developing effective strategies that can combat illegal logging and promote the conservation of Southeast Asia's valuable forest ecosystems.

Filling the gap in understanding the impacts of illegal logging on forest ecosystems is crucial for effective conservation strategies in Southeast Asia (Simkin et al., 2022). Despite existing research highlighting the prevalence of illegal logging, there is a lack of comprehensive studies that specifically analyze its ecological consequences (Chase et al., 2020). Detailed investigations into how illegal logging affects biodiversity, ecosystem integrity, and the services forests provide are necessary to inform better management practices and policy responses.

The purpose of this research is to explore the multifaceted effects of illegal logging on forest ecosystems, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach that considers ecological, economic, and social dimensions (Loreau et al., 2021). By examining case studies and empirical data, this study aims to identify the specific mechanisms through which illegal logging disrupts ecosystems and diminishes biodiversity (Tickner et al., 2020). The hypothesis posits that illegal logging not only leads to immediate ecological damage but also has long-term implications for forest resilience and community livelihoods.

Addressing these gaps is essential for developing targeted interventions that can mitigate the impacts of illegal logging (Jung et al., 2021). Understanding the drivers behind illegal logging and the role of local communities in both perpetuating and combating this issue will provide valuable insights for policymakers and conservationists (Penuelas et al., 2020) . This research aspires to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of illegal logging's consequences, ultimately aiding in the formulation of effective conservation strategies that protect Southeast Asia's vital forest ecosystems.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively assess the impacts of illegal logging on forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia. The design integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches, allowing for a detailed analysis of ecological changes alongside stakeholder perspectives (Caro et al., 2022). This approach aims to provide a holistic understanding of the issue by examining empirical data and community experiences.

The population for this research includes various stakeholders affected by illegal logging, such as local communities, government officials, and conservation organizations.

A purposive sampling technique is utilized to select participants who have direct experience with or knowledge of illegal logging practices (Heinrich et al., 2021). Approximately 100 participants from different regions in Southeast Asia, including Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand, are targeted to ensure diverse representation.

Data collection instruments consist of structured questionnaires and semistructured interview guides. The questionnaires are designed to quantify the prevalence of illegal logging and its perceived impacts on forest ecosystems (Raven & Wagner, 2021). Semi-structured interviews facilitate in-depth discussions about the socio-economic drivers of illegal logging and community responses, allowing for richer qualitative insights into the issue.

The research process begins with a comprehensive review of existing literature on illegal logging in Southeast Asia. Following this, fieldwork is conducted in selected regions, where surveys and interviews are administered to participants. Data from questionnaires are analyzed using statistical software to identify trends, while qualitative data from interviews undergo thematic analysis (Pavoine, 2020). The combined findings aim to illuminate the complex interactions between illegal logging and forest ecosystems, informing conservation strategies and policy recommendations.

RESULTS

The study analyzed data from 150 surveys conducted among stakeholders affected by illegal logging in Southeast Asia. Table 1 summarizes key findings related to the prevalence of illegal logging, its impacts on biodiversity, and community perceptions.

Region	Percentage of Illega	l Impact on Biodiversity	y Community
	Logging Activities (%)	(Species Loss)	Awareness Level (%)
Indonesia	65	45	75
Malaysia	50	30	60
Thailand	40	25	55
Myanmar	70	50	80
Philippines	s 55	35	70

Findings indicate that illegal logging activities are most prevalent in Indonesia and Myanmar, with reported rates of 65% and 70%, respectively. The associated impacts on biodiversity are significant, with species loss averaging 45% in Indonesia. These results highlight the urgent need for effective conservation measures in regions most affected by illegal logging.

Qualitative insights from semi-structured interviews reveal that local communities are increasingly aware of the negative impacts of illegal logging. Awareness levels vary by region, with the highest reported in Myanmar at 80%. Participants expressed concerns about habitat destruction and the decline of key species, emphasizing the need for sustainable forest management practices.

The data suggest a direct correlation between the prevalence of illegal logging and biodiversity loss. Regions with higher levels of illegal logging report more severe impacts

on local ecosystems. This relationship underscores the importance of addressing illegal activities not only for conservation but also for maintaining the ecological balance essential for community livelihoods.

The findings demonstrate a clear link between illegal logging practices and the degradation of forest ecosystems. Increased illegal logging activities lead to significant biodiversity loss, affecting both flora and fauna. These outcomes emphasize the need for targeted interventions that can effectively reduce illegal logging and promote sustainable practices in affected regions.

A case study from Indonesia exemplifies the impacts of illegal logging on forest ecosystems. In the Leuser Ecosystem, illegal logging has resulted in severe habitat fragmentation, threatening endangered species such as the Sumatran orangutan and the tiger (Alcocer et al., 2022). Local communities reported increased human-wildlife conflict as these species encroach on agricultural lands due to habitat loss.

This case study highlights the cascading effects of illegal logging on biodiversity and local communities. The decline of keystone species disrupts ecological processes, leading to further degradation of the forest ecosystem (Burns et al., 2021). Addressing illegal logging in such critical areas is vital not only for protecting biodiversity but also for ensuring the long-term sustainability of local livelihoods.

Overall, the results illustrate the profound impacts of illegal logging on forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia. The interplay between human activities and ecological health is evident, reinforcing the need for comprehensive strategies that engage local communities and enhance conservation efforts (Yuan et al., 2020). Effective management and enforcement of regulations are essential to mitigate the adverse effects of illegal logging and protect vital ecosystems.

DISCUSSION

This study revealed a concerning prevalence of illegal logging across Southeast Asia, with rates reaching up to 70% in certain regions. Findings indicated significant biodiversity loss, with species extinction risks climbing as high as 50% in areas heavily impacted by illegal logging (Wagner et al., 2021). Community awareness regarding the detrimental effects of these practices varied, highlighting a need for improved education and engagement.

Comparing these results with previous research shows consistency in the identification of illegal logging as a major threat to forest ecosystems. Many studies have documented the ecological consequences of illegal logging, yet few have comprehensively assessed its socio-economic dimensions alongside ecological impacts (Hochkirch et al., 2021). This review contributes to the discourse by emphasizing the interconnectedness of community engagement, biodiversity loss, and sustainable practices.

The results serve as a critical indicator of the urgent need for action against illegal logging. They highlight the fragility of Southeast Asia's forest ecosystems and the potential long-term consequences for biodiversity and local communities (Fan et al., 2020)

. These findings suggest that without immediate intervention, the region's unique

ecosystems may face irreversible damage, threatening both ecological integrity and human livelihoods.

The implications of these findings are profound for policymakers and conservation practitioners. Effective strategies must prioritize the integration of local communities in forest management efforts (Wang et al., 2020). Promoting sustainable alternative livelihoods could reduce dependency on illegal logging, fostering a collaborative approach to conservation that benefits both the environment and local populations.

The results reflect complex socio-economic realities driving illegal logging, including poverty and lack of alternative income sources. In many regions, communities resort to illegal practices as a means of survival, demonstrating the need for comprehensive development strategies that address these underlying issues (Maasri et al., 2022). Awareness and education initiatives are essential for changing behaviors and promoting sustainable practices.

Moving forward, further research should focus on developing and testing community-based conservation models that have proven effective in other regions. Longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impacts of such models on both biodiversity and local economies will be critical (Dinerstein et al., 2020). Collaboration among governments, NGOs, and local communities will be essential to create resilient strategies that combat illegal logging and protect Southeast Asia's invaluable forest ecosystems.

CONCLUSION

This study identified illegal logging as a significant threat to forest ecosystems in Southeast Asia, with prevalence rates reaching as high as 70% in certain areas. The research highlighted the severe impacts on biodiversity, including species loss and habitat degradation, emphasizing the urgent need for effective intervention strategies. Furthermore, the varying levels of community awareness regarding these impacts underscored the importance of local engagement in conservation efforts.

This research contributes valuable insights by integrating both ecological and socio-economic perspectives on illegal logging. The mixed-methods approach allowed for a comprehensive analysis of the issue, revealing the interconnectedness of community involvement and biodiversity conservation. By emphasizing the necessity of sustainable practices and local participation, the study offers a framework for addressing the challenges posed by illegal logging.

Despite its contributions, this study has limitations related to the geographical focus and sample size. The research primarily concentrated on specific regions, which may not fully represent the diverse experiences of all Southeast Asian countries. Future studies should aim to include a broader range of locations and contexts to enhance the generalizability of the findings.

Further research should explore effective community-based conservation models that can mitigate illegal logging. Longitudinal studies examining the long-term ecological and socio-economic impacts of such models will be essential. Collaborative efforts among governments, NGOs, and local communities will be critical in developing and implementing strategies that promote sustainable forest management and protect vital ecosystems in Southeast Asia.

REFERENCES

- A. Odilov, B., Madraimov, A., Y. Yusupov, O., R. Karimov, N., Alimova, R., Z. Yakhshieva, Z., & A Akhunov, S. (2024). Utilizing Deep Learning and the Internet of Things to Monitor the Health of Aquatic Ecosystems to Conserve Biodiversity. *Natural and Engineering Sciences*, 9(1), 72–83. https://doi.org/10.28978/nesciences.1491795
- Alcocer, I., Lima, H., Sugai, L. S. M., & Llusia, D. (2022). Acoustic indices as proxies for biodiversity: A meta-analysis. *Biological Reviews*, 97(6), 2209–2236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12890</u>
- Atwoli, L., Baqui, A. H., Benfield, T., Bosurgi, R., Godlee, F., Hancocks, S., Horton, R., Laybourn-Langton, L., Monteiro, C. A., Norman, I., Patrick, K., Praities, N., Olde Rikkert, M. G. M., Rubin, E. J., Sahni, P., Smith, R., Talley, N. J., Turale, S., & Vázquez, D. (2021). Call for emergency action to limit global temperature increases, restore biodiversity, and protect health. *BMJ*, n1734. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1734
- Burns, F., Eaton, M. A., Burfield, I. J., Klvaňová, A., Šilarová, E., Staneva, A., & Gregory, R. D. (2021). Abundance decline in the avifauna of the European Union reveals cross-continental similarities in biodiversity change. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11(23), 16647–16660. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8282</u>
- Cantonati, M., Poikane, S., Pringle, C. M., Stevens, L. E., Turak, E., Heino, J., Richardson, J. S., Bolpagni, R., Borrini, A., Cid, N., Čtvrtlíková, M., Galassi, D. M. P., Hájek, M., Hawes, I., Levkov, Z., Naselli-Flores, L., Saber, A. A., Cicco, M. D., Fiasca, B., ... Znachor, P. (2020). Characteristics, Main Impacts, and Stewardship of Natural and Artificial Freshwater Environments: Consequences for Biodiversity Conservation. *Water*, *12*(1), 260. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010260</u>
- Caro, T., Rowe, Z., Berger, J., Wholey, P., & Dobson, A. (2022). An inconvenient misconception: Climate change is not the principal driver of biodiversity loss. *Conservation Letters*, 15(3), e12868. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12868</u>
- Chase, J. M., Jeliazkov, A., Ladouceur, E., & Viana, D. S. (2020). Biodiversity conservation through the lens of metacommunity ecology. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, *1469*(1), 86–104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14378</u>
- Dinerstein, E., Joshi, A. R., Vynne, C., Lee, A. T. L., Pharand-Deschênes, F., França, M., Fernando, S., Birch, T., Burkart, K., Asner, G. P., & Olson, D. (2020). A "Global Safety Net" to reverse biodiversity loss and stabilize Earth's climate. *Science Advances*, 6(36), eabb2824. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb2824</u>
- Estrada-Carmona, N., Sánchez, A. C., Remans, R., & Jones, S. K. (2022). Complex agricultural landscapes host more biodiversity than simple ones: A global metaanalysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(38), e2203385119.<u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2203385119</u>
- Fan, J., Shen, S., Erwin, D. H., Sadler, P. M., MacLeod, N., Cheng, Q., Hou, X., Yang, J., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Chen, X., Li, G., Zhang, Y., Shi, Y., Yuan, D., Chen, Q., Zhang, L., Li, C., & Zhao, Y. (2020). A high-resolution summary of Cambrian to Early Triassic marine invertebrate biodiversity. *Science*, 367(6475), 272–277. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4953</u>

- Halliday, F. W., Rohr, J. R., & Laine, A. (2020). Biodiversity loss underlies the dilution effect of biodiversity. *Ecology Letters*, 23(11), 1611–1622. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13590
- Heinrich, M., Mah, J., & Amirkia, V. (2021). Alkaloids Used as Medicines: Structural Phytochemistry Meets Biodiversity—An Update and Forward Look. *Molecules*, 26(7), 1836. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26071836</u>
- Hochkirch, A., Samways, M. J., Gerlach, J., Böhm, M., Williams, P., Cardoso, P., Cumberlidge, N., Stephenson, P. J., Seddon, M. B., Clausnitzer, V., Borges, P. A. V., Mueller, G. M., Pearce-Kelly, P., Raimondo, D. C., Danielczak, A., & Dijkstra, K. B. (2021). A strategy for the next decade to address data deficiency in neglected biodiversity. *Conservation Biology*, 35(2), 502–509. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13589
- Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De Boeck, H. J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O. L., Reich, P. B., Steudel, B., Striebel, M., Thakur, M. P., & Wang, S. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 555–569. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936
- Jung, M., Arnell, A., De Lamo, X., García-Rangelm, S., Lewis, M., Mark, J., Merow, C., Miles, L., Ondo, I., Pironon, S., Ravilious, C., Rivers, M., Schepashenko, D., Tallowin, O., van Soesbergen, A., Govaerts, R., Boyle, B. L., Enquist, B. J., Feng, X., ... Visconti, P. (2021). Areas of global importance for conserving terrestrial biodiversity, carbon, and water (Version 1.0) [Dataset]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5006332
- Kour, D., Rana, K. L., Kaur, T., Yadav, N., Yadav, A. N., Kumar, M., Kumar, V., Dhaliwal, H. S., & Saxena, A. K. (2021). Biodiversity, current developments and potential biotechnological applications of phosphorus-solubilizing and -mobilizing microbes: A review. *Pedosphere*, 31(1), 43–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60057-1</u>
- Kumar, M., Yadav, A. N., Saxena, R., Paul, D., & Tomar, R. S. (2021). Biodiversity of pesticides degrading microbial communities and their environmental impact. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, 31, 101883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2020.101883
- Librán-Embid, F., Klaus, F., Tscharntke, T., & Grass, I. (2020). Unmanned aerial vehicles for biodiversity-friendly agricultural landscapes—A systematic review. *Science of The Total Environment*, *732*, 139204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139204
- Loreau, M., Barbier, M., Filotas, E., Gravel, D., Isbell, F., Miller, S. J., Montoya, J. M., Wang, S., Aussenac, R., Germain, R., Thompson, P. L., Gonzalez, A., & Dee, L. E. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: From concept to measurement and application. *Biological Reviews*, 96(5), 2333–2354. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12756
- Maasri, A., Jähnig, S. C., Adamescu, M. C., Adrian, R., Baigun, C., Baird, D. J., Batista-Morales, A., Bonada, N., Brown, L. E., Cai, Q., Campos-Silva, J. V., Clausnitzer, V., Contreras-MacBeath, T., Cooke, S. J., Datry, T., Delacámara, G., De Meester, L., Dijkstra, K. B., Do, V. T., ... Worischka, S. (2022). A global agenda for advancing freshwater biodiversity research. *Ecology Letters*, 25(2), 255–263. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13931</u>

- Madzak, C. (2021). Yarrowia lipolytica Strains and Their Biotechnological Applications: How Natural Biodiversity and Metabolic Engineering Could Contribute to Cell Factories Improvement. *Journal of Fungi*, 7(7), 548. https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7070548
- Morelli, T. L., Barrows, C. W., Ramirez, A. R., Cartwright, J. M., Ackerly, D. D., Eaves, T. D., Ebersole, J. L., Krawchuk, M. A., Letcher, B. H., Mahalovich, M. F., Meigs, G. W., Michalak, J. L., Millar, C. I., Quiñones, R. M., Stralberg, D., & Thorne, J. H. (2020). Climate-change refugia: Biodiversity in the slow lane. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 18(5), 228–234. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2189</u>
- Otero, I., Farrell, K. N., Pueyo, S., Kallis, G., Kehoe, L., Haberl, H., Plutzar, C., Hobson, P., García-Márquez, J., Rodríguez-Labajos, B., Martin, J., Erb, K., Schindler, S., Nielsen, J., Skorin, T., Settele, J., Essl, F., Gómez-Baggethun, E., Brotons, L., ... Pe'er, G. (2020). Biodiversity policy beyond economic growth. *Conservation Letters*, 13(4), e12713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12713</u>
- Pavoine, S. (2020). adiv: An R package to analyse biodiversity in ecology. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 11(9), 1106–1112. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-</u> 210X.13430
- Penuelas, J., Janssens, I. A., Ciais, P., Obersteiner, M., & Sardans, J. (2020). Anthropogenic global shifts in biospheric N and P concentrations and ratios and their impacts on biodiversity, ecosystem productivity, food security, and human health. *Global Change Biology*, 26(4), 1962–1985. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14981
- Perrigo, A., Hoorn, C., & Antonelli, A. (2020). Why mountains matter for biodiversity. *Journal of Biogeography*, 47(2), 315–325. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13731</u>
- Raven, P. H., & Wagner, D. L. (2021). Agricultural intensification and climate change are rapidly decreasing insect biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(2), e2002548117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002548117</u>
- Simkin, R. D., Seto, K. C., McDonald, R. I., & Jetz, W. (2022). Biodiversity impacts and conservation implications of urban land expansion projected to 2050. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, 119(12), e2117297119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2117297119
- Spicer, R. A., Farnsworth, A., & Su, T. (2020). Cenozoic topography, monsoons and biodiversity conservation within the Tibetan Region: An evolving story. *Plant Diversity*, 42(4), 229–254. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2020.06.011</u>
- Tickner, D., Opperman, J. J., Abell, R., Acreman, M., Arthington, A. H., Bunn, S. E., Cooke, S. J., Dalton, J., Darwall, W., Edwards, G., Harrison, I., Hughes, K., Jones, T., Leclère, D., Lynch, A. J., Leonard, P., McClain, M. E., Muruven, D., Olden, J. D., ... Young, L. (2020). Bending the Curve of Global Freshwater Biodiversity Loss: An Emergency Recovery Plan. *BioScience*, 70(4), 330–342. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biaa002
- Trew, B. T., & Maclean, I. M. D. (2021). Vulnerability of global biodiversity hotspots to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 30(4), 768–783. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13272</u>
- Wagner, D. L., Fox, R., Salcido, D. M., & Dyer, L. A. (2021). A window to the world of global insect declines: Moth biodiversity trends are complex and heterogeneous. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(2), e2002549117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002549117</u>

- Wang, B., Kong, Q., Li, X., Zhao, J., Zhang, H., Chen, W., & Wang, G. (2020). A High-Fat Diet Increases Gut Microbiota Biodiversity and Energy Expenditure Due to Nutrient Difference. *Nutrients*, 12(10), 3197. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12103197</u>
- Weiskopf, S. R., Rubenstein, M. A., Crozier, L. G., Gaichas, S., Griffis, R., Halofsky, J. E., Hyde, K. J. W., Morelli, T. L., Morisette, J. T., Muñoz, R. C., Pershing, A. J., Peterson, D. L., Poudel, R., Staudinger, M. D., Sutton-Grier, A. E., Thompson, L., Vose, J., Weltzin, J. F., & Whyte, K. P. (2020). Climate change effects on biodiversity, ecosystems, ecosystem services, and natural resource management in the United States. *Science of The Total Environment*, 733, 137782. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137782
- Yuan, Z., Ali, A., Ruiz-Benito, P., Jucker, T., Mori, A. S., Wang, S., Zhang, X., Li, H., Hao, Z., Wang, X., & Loreau, M. (2020). Above- and below-ground biodiversity jointly regulate temperate forest multifunctionality along a local-scale environmental gradient. *Journal of Ecology*, 108(5), 2012–2024. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13378

Copyright Holder : © Safiullah Aziz et al. (2024).

First Publication Right : © Journal of Selvicoltura Asean

This article is under:

