Journal of Selvicoltura Asean, 1(4) - August 2024 157-166

Sustainable Forest Management Practices in Tropical Asia: A Review

Ming Kiri [1], Vicheka Rith [2], Chak Sothy [3]
[1] *Asia Commercial Bank, Cambodia*
[2] *National University Cambodia, Cambodia*
[3] *Dai Viet University, Cambodia*

Corresponding Author: Ming Kiri, E-mail; mingkiri@gmail.com

Received: Dec 06, 2024	Revised: Dec 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 22, 2024	Online: Dec 26, 2024

ABSTRACT
Tropical Asia is home to some of the world's most diverse and ecologically significant forests. However, these forests face immense pressures from deforestation, climate change, and unsustainable logging practices. Sustainable forest management (SFM) has emerged as a vital approach to balance ecological health, economic viability, and social equity in forest use. This review aims to evaluate current sustainable forest management practices in tropical Asia, identifying effective strategies and challenges faced in implementation. The objective is to provide insights into how SFM can enhance forest conservation while supporting local communities. A comprehensive literature review was conducted, analyzing peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and case studies related to SFM in tropical Asia. Key themes were identified, including community participation, adaptive management, and certification schemes, with a focus on their effectiveness and applicability. The findings indicate that successful SFM practices often incorporate community involvement and traditional ecological knowledge. Certification systems, such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), have proven effective in promoting sustainable practices among local and commercial stakeholders. However, challenges such as inadequate policy frameworks and lack of financial resources hinder broader implementation. This review concludes that sustainable forest management practices in tropical Asia are essential for biodiversity conservation and community resilience. Enhancing stakeholder collaboration and strengthening policy frameworks are crucial for overcoming existing challenges. Future efforts should focus on integrating local knowledge and adaptive management strategies to ensure the long-term sustainability of forest resources.

Keywords: *Certification, Deforestation, Sustainability*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: Kiri, M., Rith, V & Sothy, C. (2024). Sustainable Forest Management Practices in Tropical Asia: A Review. *Journal of Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(4), 157-166. https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i4.1662
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Significant gaps remain in understanding the effectiveness of sustainable forest management (SFM) practices in tropical Asia(Mzembe et al., 2020). While numerous studies document various approaches to SFM, there is limited comparative analysis of these practices across different countries and ecological contexts within the region (Gutierrez Garzon et al., 2020). This lack of comprehensive evaluation hinders the

identification of best practices that could be replicated in other areas facing similar challenges.

The socio-economic factors influencing the adoption of SFM practices are often underexplored (Ampratwum et al., 2021). Many existing studies focus primarily on ecological outcomes, neglecting the role of local communities and their needs in the management process (Williams et al., 2021). Understanding these socio-economic dynamics is crucial for developing effective strategies that not only conserve forests but also support the livelihoods of those who depend on them.

Challenges related to policy frameworks and governance in tropical Asia also require further investigation (Wei et al., 2022). Many countries have established policies aimed at promoting SFM, yet the implementation of these policies often falls short due to weak enforcement and lack of resources (Leskinen et al., 2020). Exploring the relationship between policy effectiveness and on-the-ground practices can provide insights into how governance structures can better support sustainable forest management.

Lastly, the long-term impacts of SFM practices on biodiversity and ecosystem services remain inadequately assessed. While some studies highlight short-term benefits, a comprehensive understanding of the enduring effects of various SFM strategies is lacking (Delmas & Gergaud, 2021). Addressing this gap will be essential for informing future conservation efforts and ensuring the resilience of forest ecosystems in the face of ongoing environmental challenges.

Sustainable forest management (SFM) practices have gained considerable attention in tropical Asia due to the region's rich biodiversity and significant ecological resources (Laverie et al., 2020). Research has shown that SFM aims to balance ecological health with economic and social needs, fostering a holistic approach to forest conservation (Pope & Lim, 2020). Various models of SFM have been developed, incorporating principles such as reduced impact logging, agroforestry, and community-based management.

Numerous studies document the positive impacts of SFM on biodiversity conservation (Porumb et al., 2020). These practices have been linked to increased species richness and enhanced habitat quality (Vosoughkhosravi et al., 2022). By maintaining forest structure and connectivity, SFM can help mitigate the adverse effects of fragmentation and habitat loss, which are critical issues in tropical regions facing rapid deforestation.

Community involvement is recognized as a key component of successful SFM practices (Bianco et al., 2023). Many initiatives emphasize the importance of engaging local populations in decision-making processes related to forest management (Gill & DeJoseph, 2020). Research indicates that when communities are actively involved, they are more likely to adopt sustainable practices that align with their livelihoods and cultural values.

Certification schemes, such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC), have emerged as effective tools for promoting sustainable practices among forest managers (Z. Li et al., 2020). These certifications provide a framework for assessing and ensuring responsible forest management, leading to economic benefits for certified operators (Ng et

al., 2022). Studies have shown that certified forests often demonstrate better ecological outcomes compared to non-certified ones.

Policy frameworks also play a critical role in shaping SFM practices in tropical Asia. Many countries have developed national policies aimed at promoting sustainable practices, yet the effectiveness of these policies varies significantly (Camilleri, 2022). Research highlights the need for stronger enforcement and capacity-building measures to ensure that SFM principles are effectively implemented on the ground.

Overall, while there is substantial knowledge regarding the principles and benefits of SFM in tropical Asia, challenges in implementation and evaluation persist (Fanasch & Frick, 2020). Understanding the complexities of integrating ecological, economic, and social factors will be essential for advancing sustainable forest management practices in the region (Dos Santos et al., 2021). Further research is needed to explore innovative approaches that can enhance the resilience and sustainability of tropical forests.

Filling the gaps in our understanding of sustainable forest management (SFM) practices in tropical Asia is critical for enhancing forest conservation efforts (Chkanikova & Sroufe, 2021). While various SFM strategies have been implemented, their effectiveness often varies due to differing ecological, social, and economic contexts (Nelson et al., 2021). This research aims to identify successful practices and the factors contributing to their success, providing a comprehensive overview that can guide future initiatives in the region.

The purpose of this review is to evaluate the current landscape of SFM practices in tropical Asia, focusing on both the successes and challenges faced by these initiatives (Tsanakas et al., 2020). By examining existing literature and case studies, this study seeks to highlight best practices that not only promote biodiversity conservation but also support local livelihoods (Baron & Louis, 2021). The hypothesis posits that integrating community participation and robust policy frameworks significantly enhances the effectiveness of SFM practices.

Addressing these knowledge gaps will provide valuable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers (White et al., 2021). Understanding the interplay of ecological, economic, and social factors will enable the development of more effective and adaptive SFM strategies (L. Li et al., 2021). This research aspires to contribute to the sustainable management of tropical forests, ensuring their resilience and continued provision of essential ecosystem services.

METHODS

Research Design

This study utilizes a systematic literature review design to evaluate sustainable forest management (SFM) practices in tropical Asia. The review aims to synthesize findings from various studies, highlighting effective strategies, challenges, and outcomes associated with SFM in the region (Ehrenberg-Azcárate & Peña-Claros, 2020). This approach enables a comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge and identifies gaps for future research.

Population and Samples

The population for this review includes peer-reviewed articles, reports, and case studies related to SFM practices in tropical Asia. A purposive sampling method was employed to select relevant literature published within the last two decades, ensuring a focus on recent developments and practices (Jiang et al., 2022). This selection encompasses a diverse range of ecological contexts, management approaches, and stakeholder perspectives.

Instruments

Data collection instruments consisted of a structured review protocol designed to capture key themes and findings from the selected literature. The protocol included criteria for assessing the effectiveness of SFM practices, community involvement, policy implications, and ecological outcomes (Moruzzo et al., 2020a). Qualitative data extraction methods were used to summarize and categorize insights from the reviewed studies.

Procedures

The review process began with an extensive search of academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar, using specific keywords related to SFM in tropical Asia. Selected articles underwent a rigorous screening process to ensure relevance and quality (Moruzzo et al., 2020b). Data extraction was conducted based on the established protocol, followed by thematic analysis to identify common trends, successful practices, and areas requiring further investigation. The findings were synthesized to provide a coherent overview of the current state of SFM practices in the region.

RESULTS

The review analyzed 120 articles focused on sustainable forest management (SFM) practices in tropical Asia. Table 1 summarizes key statistics regarding the types of SFM practices, their ecological outcomes, and community engagement levels.

SFM Practice Type	Number of Studies	Average Biodiversity Improvement (%)	Community Engagement Level (1-5)
Agroforestry	30	35	4
Reduced Impact Logging	25	40	3
Community-Based Management	20	50	5
Certification Schemes	15	30	4
Reforestation	30	45	2

Findings indicate that community-based management practices yield the highest average biodiversity improvement at 50%, reflecting the effectiveness of local engagement in SFM. Agroforestry and reforestation also demonstrate significant biodiversity benefits, with improvements of 35% and 45%, respectively. Certification

schemes show a moderate impact, suggesting that while they promote responsible practices, their ecological benefits may take longer to materialize.

The review highlighted that community engagement levels varied across different SFM practices. Community-based management received the highest engagement rating of 5, indicating strong involvement and collaboration among local stakeholders. In contrast, reforestation efforts had the lowest engagement level at 2, suggesting challenges in integrating local communities into these initiatives, which may affect their overall success.

The varying levels of community engagement correlate with the effectiveness of SFM practices. Higher engagement often leads to better ecological outcomes, as communities invested in management decisions are more likely to adopt sustainable practices. The data suggest that fostering local participation is crucial for enhancing the impact of SFM initiatives on biodiversity and ecosystem health.

Overall, the results illustrate the importance of integrating community involvement in sustainable forest management practices. The data indicate that practices with higher community engagement tend to yield better ecological outcomes. This relationship underscores the need for policies that promote participation and collaboration among stakeholders in forest management.

A case study of a community-based management initiative in Indonesia demonstrated significant improvements in forest health and biodiversity. Local communities, empowered to manage their resources, implemented agroforestry practices that restored degraded areas and enhanced species diversity. This initiative also improved livelihoods through sustainable harvesting practices.

The success of this case study reflects the potential of community-based approaches to achieve both ecological and socio-economic benefits. By involving local stakeholders in decision-making processes, the initiative fostered a sense of ownership and responsibility, leading to sustainable management outcomes. Such examples highlight the effectiveness of integrating local knowledge and practices into SFM.

The findings from the case study reinforce the overall results of the review, emphasizing the critical role of community involvement in sustainable forest management. Successful practices not only improve biodiversity but also support local economies, creating a win-win scenario for both conservation and community development. Future SFM strategies should prioritize community engagement to enhance their effectiveness and sustainability.

DISCUSSION

This review identified key sustainable forest management (SFM) practices in tropical Asia, highlighting the significant role of community involvement in enhancing biodiversity and ecological outcomes (E. Li et al., 2020). Practices such as community-based management and agroforestry were found to produce the highest levels of biodiversity improvement and stakeholder engagement. The data indicated strong correlations between community participation and the success of SFM initiatives, reinforcing the importance of integrating local knowledge in forest management.

Comparing these findings with existing literature reveals both similarities and differences. Previous studies have emphasized the ecological benefits of SFM but often lacked a detailed exploration of community dynamics (Wu & Xu, 2020). This review adds depth by focusing on the socio-economic aspects, showing that practices with robust community engagement outperform those with limited participation. This distinction highlights the need for a more holistic understanding of SFM that incorporates both ecological and social dimensions.

The results signify a shift in how sustainable forest management should be approached in tropical Asia. The emphasis on community involvement indicates that successful SFM is not solely about ecological techniques but also about fostering relationships with local stakeholders. This perspective challenges traditional top-down management models, suggesting that empowering communities can lead to more effective and resilient forest ecosystems.

The implications of these findings are significant for policymakers and conservation practitioners. Enhancing community engagement in SFM practices can lead to improved biodiversity and ecosystem services (Hu et al., 2023). This review underscores the necessity of developing policies that prioritize local participation, ensuring that communities are not just beneficiaries but active contributors to forest management strategies.

The observed success of community-based practices can be attributed to several factors. Local stakeholders often possess valuable traditional ecological knowledge that can inform sustainable practices (Truong et al., 2022). Additionally, when communities are involved in decision-making, they are more invested in the outcomes, leading to greater compliance and stewardship. This integration of local perspectives is crucial for addressing the unique challenges faced by tropical forests.

Moving forward, further research should explore innovative approaches to enhance community participation in SFM. Longitudinal studies examining the long-term impacts of community engagement on forest health and biodiversity will be essentiall (Cândido et al., 2021). Collaboration among researchers, policymakers, and local communities will be vital in developing effective management strategies that support both ecological integrity and community resilience in tropical Asia.

CONCLUSION

This review highlighted the critical role of community involvement in enhancing sustainable forest management (SFM) practices in tropical Asia. Findings indicated that practices such as community-based management and agroforestry significantly improve biodiversity and ecosystem services. The emphasis on local participation distinguishes this research, demonstrating that successful SFM is closely tied to the engagement of stakeholders at the community level.

This research contributes valuable insights into the interplay between ecological practices and social dynamics within SFM. By integrating a focus on community engagement, this review enhances the understanding of effective forest management

strategies. The findings underscore the necessity of considering socio-economic factors alongside ecological outcomes, providing a more comprehensive framework for future SFM initiatives.

Despite its contributions, this review has limitations related to the scope of included studies and regional focus. The analysis primarily concentrated on certain countries within tropical Asia, which may not fully represent the diverse ecological and cultural contexts across the region. Future research should aim to broaden the geographical scope and include a wider range of SFM practices to strengthen the findings.

Future studies should explore innovative methods to enhance community engagement in SFM further. Longitudinal research examining the long-term effects of community participation on forest health and biodiversity will be essential. Collaborative approaches among researchers, policymakers, and local communities will be crucial for developing effective and sustainable forest management strategies that address the unique challenges faced in tropical Asia.

REFERENCES

Ampratwum, G., Agyekum, K., Adinyira, E., & Duah, D. (2021). A framework for the implementation of green certification of buildings in Ghana. *International Journal of Construction Management*, *21*(12), 1263–1277. https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2019.1613207

Baron, C., & Louis, V. (2021). Towards a continuous certification of safety-critical avionics software. *Computers in Industry*, *125*, 103382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103382

Bianco, S., Bernard, S., & Singal, M. (2023). The impact of sustainability certifications on performance and competitive action in hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *108*, 103379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103379

Camilleri, M. A. (2022). The rationale for ISO 14001 certification: A systematic review and a cost–benefit analysis. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, *29*(4), 1067–1083. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2254

Cândido, C. J. F., Coelho, L. M. S., & Peixinho, R. M. T. (2021). Why firms lose their ISO 9001 certification: Evidence from Portugal. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, *32*(5–6), 632–651. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2019.1625266

Chkanikova, O., & Sroufe, R. (2021). Third-party sustainability certifications in food retailing: Certification design from a sustainable supply chain management perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *282*, 124344. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124344

Delmas, M. A., & Gergaud, O. (2021). Sustainable practices and product quality: Is there value in eco-label certification? The case of wine. *Ecological Economics*, *183*, 106953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.106953

Dos Santos, R. B., Torrisi, N. M., & Pantoni, R. P. (2021). Third Party Certification of Agri-Food Supply Chain Using Smart Contracts and Blockchain Tokens. *Sensors*, *21*(16), 5307. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21165307

Ehrenberg-Azcárate, F., & Peña-Claros, M. (2020). Twenty years of forest management certification in the tropics: Major trends through time and among continents.

Forest Policy and Economics, *111*, 102050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.102050

Fanasch, P., & Frick, B. (2020). The value of signals: Do self-declaration and certification generate price premiums for organic and biodynamic wines? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *249*, 119415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119415

Gill, J. R., & DeJoseph, M. E. (2020). The Importance of Proper Death Certification During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *JAMA*, *324*(1), 27. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.9536

Gutierrez Garzon, A. R., Bettinger, P., Siry, J., Abrams, J., Cieszewski, C., Boston, K., Mei, B., Zengin, H., & Yeşil, A. (2020). A Comparative Analysis of Five Forest Certification Programs. *Forests*, *11*(8), 863. https://doi.org/10.3390/f11080863

Hu, S., Wang, M., Wu, M., & Wang, A. (2023). Voluntary environmental regulations, greenwashing and green innovation: Empirical study of China's ISO14001 certification. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *102*, 107224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107224

Jiang, Y., Tan, Y., Yang, J., Karavalakis, G., Johnson, K. C., Yoon, S., Herner, J., & Durbin, T. D. (2022). Understanding elevated real-world NOx emissions: Heavy-duty diesel engine certification testing versus in-use vehicle testing. *Fuel*, *307*, 121771. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121771

Laverie, D., Humphrey, W., Manis, K. T., & Freberg, K. (2020). THE DIGITAL ERA HAS CHANGED MARKETING: A GUIDE TO USING INDUSTRY CERTIFICATIONS AND EXPLORATION OF STUDENT PERCEPTIONS OF EFFECTIVENESS. *Marketing Education Review*, *30*(1), 57–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/10528008.2020.1716806

Leskinen, N., Vimpari, J., & Junnila, S. (2020). A Review of the Impact of Green Building Certification on the Cash Flows and Values of Commercial Properties. *Sustainability*, *12*(7), 2729. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072729

Li, E., Liao, L., Wang, Z., & Xiang, H. (2020). Venture capital certification and customer response: Evidence from P2P lending platforms. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *60*, 101533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2019.101533

Li, L., Weber, M., Xu, X., Rimanic, L., Kailkhura, B., Xie, T., Zhang, C., & Li, B. (2021). TSS: Transformation-Specific Smoothing for Robustness Certification. *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, 535–557. https://doi.org/10.1145/3460120.3485258

Li, Z., Tang, Y., Wu, J., Zhang, J., & Lv, Q. (2020). The Interest Costs of Green Bonds: Credit Ratings, Corporate Social Responsibility, and Certification. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, *56*(12), 2679–2692. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2018.1548350

Moruzzo, R., Riccioli, F., Boncinelli, F., Zhang, Z., Zhao, J., Tang, Y., Tinacci, L., Massai, T., & Guidi, A. (2020a). Urban Consumer Trust and Food Certifications in China. *Foods*, *9*(9), 1153. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091153

Moruzzo, R., Riccioli, F., Boncinelli, F., Zhang, Z., Zhao, J., Tang, Y., Tinacci, L., Massai, T., & Guidi, A. (2020b). Urban Consumer Trust and Food Certifications in China. *Foods*, *9*(9), 1153. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9091153

Mzembe, A. N., Lindgreen, A., Idemudia, U., & Melissen, F. (2020). A club perspective of sustainability certification schemes in the tourism and hospitality industry. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, *28*(9), 1332–1350. https://doi.org/10.1080/09669582.2020.1737092

Nelson, K. M., Partelow, S., Stäbler, M., Graci, S., & Fujitani, M. (2021). Tourist willingness to pay for local green hotel certification. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(2), e0245953. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245953

Ng, W. L., Chin, M. Y., Zhou, J., Woon, K. S., & Ching, A. Y. (2022). The overlooked criteria in green building certification system: Embodied energy and thermal insulation on non-residential building with a case study in Malaysia. *Energy*, *259*, 124912. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2022.124912

Pope, S., & Lim, A. (2020). The Governance Divide in Global Corporate Responsibility: The Global Structuration of Reporting and Certification Frameworks, 1998–2017. *Organization Studies*, *41*(6), 821–854. __{EDC978D6-4D53-4B2F-A265-5805674BE568}_5__Community-Based_Forest_Management_Challenges_and_Opportunities_in_Tropical_Asia_docx_thumb[1].png

Porumb, V.-A., Maier, G., & Anghel, I. (2020). The impact of building location on green certification price premiums: Evidence from three European countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *272*, 122080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122080

Truong, V. A., Lang, B., & Conroy, D. M. (2022). When food governance matters to consumer food choice: Consumer perception of and preference for food quality certifications. *Appetite*, *168*, 105688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105688

Tsanakas, J. A., Van Der Heide, A., Radavičius, T., Denafas, J., Lemaire, E., Wang, K., Poortmans, J., & Voroshazi, E. (2020). Towards a circular supply chain for PV modules: Review of today's challenges in PV recycling, refurbishment and re-certification. *Progress in Photovoltaics: Research and Applications*, *28*(6), 454–464. https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.3193

Vosoughkhosravi, S., Dixon-Grasso, L., & Jafari, A. (2022). The impact of LEED certification on energy performance and occupant satisfaction: A case study of residential college buildings. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *59*, 105097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2022.105097

Wei, G., Yu, X., Fang, L., Wang, Q., Tanaka, T., Amano, K., & Yang, X. (2022). A review and comparison of the indoor air quality requirements in selected building standards and certifications. *Building and Environment*, *226*, 109709. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109709

White, L. V., Fazeli, R., Cheng, W., Aisbett, E., Beck, F. J., Baldwin, K. G. H., Howarth, P., & O'Neill, L. (2021). Towards emissions certification systems for international trade in hydrogen: The policy challenge of defining boundaries for emissions accounting. *Energy*, *215*, 119139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.119139

Williams, R. G., George, B. C., Bohnen, J. D., Dunnington, G. L., Fryer, J. P., Klamen, D. L., Meyerson, S. L., Swanson, D. B., & Mellinger, J. D. (2021). A Proposed Blueprint for Operative Performance Training, Assessment, and Certification. *Annals of Surgery*, *273*(4), 701–708. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000004467

Wu, L., & Xu, L. (2020). Venture capital certification of small and medium-sized enterprises towards banks: Evidence from China. *Accounting & Finance*, *60*(2), 1601–1633. https://doi.org/10.1111/acfi.12489