

Resilience and Personal Development in the Workplace: The Effect of Intervention Programs on Employee Wellbeing

Sakura Suzuki ¹, Ren Suzuki ², Josefa Flores ³

¹ Waseda University, Japan

² Universidade Federal Rio Grande do Norte, Japan

³ Far Eastern University, Philippines

Corresponding Author:

Sakura Suzuki,
Waseda University, Japan
1 Chome-104 Totsukamachi, Shinjuku City, Tokyo 169-8050, Japan
Email: sakurasuzuki@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: Jan 12, 2025

Revised: March 07, 2025

Accepted: March 07, 2025

Online Version: March 07, 2025

Abstract

Workplace resilience and personal development are critical factors influencing employee well-being and organizational success. Modern workplaces present numerous challenges, including high stress levels and rapid changes, necessitating effective strategies to enhance resilience and promote personal growth. Intervention programs have emerged as a promising approach to address these challenges, yet their impact on employee well-being requires further empirical investigation. This study examines the effect of workplace intervention programs on employee resilience, personal development, and overall well-being. A mixed-methods design was employed, incorporating both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The study involved 150 employees from diverse industries, divided into intervention and control groups. The intervention group participated in a 10-week program that included resilience training, mindfulness practices, and goal-setting workshops. Data were collected through standardized well-being assessments, resilience scales, and semi-structured interviews. The findings revealed significant improvements in resilience scores and well-being indicators among the intervention group compared to the control group ($p < 0.01$). Participants reported enhanced emotional regulation, stress management, and self-efficacy. Qualitative insights highlighted increased motivation and a stronger sense of purpose as key outcomes of the program.

Keywords: Employee Well-Being, Organizational Success, Workplace Interventions



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Journal Homepage

How to cite:

<https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/rpoc> ISSN: (P: [3047-843X](https://doi.org/10.70177/rpoc.v2i1.1854)) - (E: [3047-8529](https://doi.org/10.70177/rpoc.v2i1.1854))
Suzuki, S., Suzuki, R & Flores, J. (2025). *Resilience and Personal Development in the Workplace: The Effect of Intervention Programs on Employee Wellbeing*. Research Psychologie, Orientation et Conseil, 2(1), 22–31.
<https://doi.org/10.70177/rpoc.v2i1.1854>

Published by:

Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Resilience and personal development are increasingly recognized as critical components of employee well-being and organizational success (Aboobaker & Ka, 2021). In the modern workplace, employees face numerous challenges, including heightened stress levels, rapid technological advancements, and complex interpersonal dynamics (Acosta-Prado et al., 2020). These pressures can negatively impact productivity, mental health, and job satisfaction, leading to burnout and high turnover rates. Resilience, defined as the ability to adapt effectively to adversity and stress, plays a key role in enabling employees to navigate these challenges. Similarly, personal development, encompassing self-awareness, goal-setting, and continuous learning, contributes to individual growth and a sense of purpose in the workplace (Aggarwal & Agarwala, 2023). Organizations are increasingly investing in programs aimed at fostering these qualities to create supportive and high-performing work environments.

Despite the growing emphasis on resilience and personal development, many employees struggle to effectively manage stress and achieve their full potential (Alqaraleh et al., 2022). Traditional workplace interventions often focus on addressing immediate problems rather than fostering long-term adaptability and growth. Many programs lack a structured framework to develop skills such as emotional regulation, self-efficacy, and stress management, which are essential for building resilience (Asatiani et al., 2021). Additionally, rapid changes in workplace dynamics have created a demand for interventions that are both scalable and adaptable to diverse industries and workforce demographics (Bendak et al., 2020). The absence of comprehensive strategies to address these challenges highlights a critical gap in organizational practices and employee support systems.

This study aims to evaluate the impact of structured intervention programs on resilience, personal development, and employee well-being in the workplace (Bhatti et al., 2020). By integrating resilience training, mindfulness practices, and goal-setting workshops, the research seeks to provide a comprehensive analysis of the effectiveness of such programs in improving employee outcomes. The study also explores the broader implications of these programs for organizational success, including increased productivity, job satisfaction, and employee retention (Bilan et al., 2022). Through a mixed-methods approach, this research aims to offer actionable insights into the design and implementation of effective workplace interventions.

Existing literature on resilience and personal development underscores their importance in enhancing employee well-being and organizational outcomes (Cegarra-Navarro et al., 2021). Numerous studies have demonstrated the positive impact of resilience on stress management and productivity, while research on personal development highlights its role in fostering motivation and engagement (Chen et al., 2020). However, the majority of these studies focus on isolated interventions, such as mindfulness or stress management training, without addressing the interconnected nature of resilience and personal development. Additionally, there is limited empirical evidence on the long-term impact of workplace intervention programs that integrate these components (Chin et al., 2024). These gaps in the literature necessitate a deeper exploration of holistic approaches to fostering resilience and personal growth in professional settings.

This study introduces a novel approach by integrating multiple evidence-based techniques into a single intervention program (Chi  n et al., 2019). The inclusion of resilience training, mindfulness practices, and goal-setting workshops creates a comprehensive

framework designed to address the multifaceted challenges faced by employees (Da Veiga et al., 2020). Unlike traditional interventions, which often emphasize short-term solutions, this program focuses on equipping employees with sustainable skills to enhance their adaptability, self-awareness, and motivation (Espasandín-Bustelo et al., 2021). By evaluating the program's effectiveness through both quantitative and qualitative methods, the study provides a robust contribution to the understanding of workplace interventions and their impact on employee well-being.

The significance of this research extends beyond individual and organizational outcomes. By highlighting the interconnectedness of resilience, personal development, and well-being, the study bridges a critical gap between theory and practice (Grover et al., 2022). The findings have the potential to inform the development of scalable and adaptable intervention programs that can be implemented across diverse industries. This research not only addresses a pressing need within the modern workforce but also contributes to the broader discourse on employee support systems and organizational success (Hamzah et al., 2020). By emphasizing the importance of holistic and evidence-based approaches, the study provides valuable insights for academics, practitioners, and policymakers seeking to enhance workplace environments and employee well-being.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a mixed-methods research design to evaluate the effect of workplace intervention programs on employee resilience, personal development, and overall well-being. The quantitative component consisted of a pre-test and post-test experimental design, with participants divided into intervention and control groups (Harel et al., 2021). The qualitative component involved semi-structured interviews to gather in-depth insights into participants' experiences and perceptions of the intervention program. This combined approach allowed for a comprehensive analysis of both measurable outcomes and subjective experiences.

The population for this study consisted of employees from various industries, including healthcare, education, and corporate sectors (Heras et al., 2021). A purposive sampling method was used to recruit 150 participants, with 75 assigned to the intervention group and 75 to the control group. Participants were required to meet specific inclusion criteria, including full-time employment and self-reported moderate to high levels of workplace stress. Exclusion criteria included individuals undergoing concurrent psychological treatment or participating in other workplace interventions during the study period.

Data collection involved the use of validated instruments to measure resilience, personal development, and well-being. The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) was used to assess resilience levels, while the Personal Growth Initiative Scale (PGIS) measured personal development (Holgerson & Romani, 2020). The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) provided a comprehensive evaluation of overall well-being. Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews focusing on participants' reflections on the intervention and its impact on their workplace experiences.

The intervention group participated in a 10-week program designed to enhance resilience and personal development. The program included weekly sessions combining resilience training, mindfulness practices, and goal-setting workshops (Jirek, 2020). Each session lasted 90 minutes and was facilitated by certified trainers specializing in organizational psychology and well-being. The control group continued with routine workplace practices without

additional intervention. Pre-test data were collected from both groups using the selected instruments before the program began, and post-test data were gathered at the program's conclusion (Kao et al., 2020). Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of participants from the intervention group to explore their experiences in greater depth. Ethical approval was obtained prior to the study, and informed consent was secured from all participants to ensure compliance with research ethics and confidentiality standards.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive statistics revealed significant improvements in the intervention group across resilience, personal development, and well-being measures (Kaur Bagga et al., 2023). The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) scores for the intervention group increased from a pre-test mean of 65.4 (SD = 6.2) to 78.3 (SD = 5.8), while the control group showed only a slight increase from 65.1 (SD = 6.5) to 66.7 (SD = 6.3). The Personal Growth Initiative Scale (PGIS) scores for the intervention group increased from 42.7 (SD = 4.9) to 54.6 (SD = 5.1), whereas the control group showed minimal changes, increasing from 42.9 (SD = 5.2) to 43.5 (SD = 5.0). The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (WEMWBS) scores for the intervention group rose from 49.3 (SD = 7.3) to 63.8 (SD = 6.9), compared to a slight change in the control group from 49.1 (SD = 7.5) to 50.3 (SD = 7.4).

Table 1. Pre- and Post-Test Scores Across Measures

Measure	Intervention Pre (M ± SD)	Intervention Post (M ± SD)	Control Pre (M ± SD)	Control Post (M ± SD)
Resilience (CD-RISC)	65.4 ± 6.2	78.3 ± 5.8	65.1 ± 6.5	66.7 ± 6.3
Personal Development (PGIS)	42.7 ± 4.9	54.6 ± 5.1	42.9 ± 5.2	43.5 ± 5.0
Well-being (WEMWBS)	49.3 ± 7.3	63.8 ± 6.9	49.1 ± 7.5	50.3 ± 7.4

Explanatory analysis indicated that participants in the intervention group reported enhanced stress management, increased motivation, and improved focus as key outcomes of the program. Many noted that resilience training helped them develop better coping mechanisms, while mindfulness practices contributed to a sense of emotional balance. Goal-setting workshops were cited as instrumental in fostering a clearer sense of purpose and direction (Khedhaouria et al., 2020). In contrast, the control group exhibited limited improvement, with participants often reporting continued challenges in managing workplace stress and achieving personal growth.

Inferential analysis confirmed the statistical significance of the observed changes (Kittel et al., 2021). Paired t-tests within the intervention group revealed significant improvements in CD-RISC ($t = 9.87$, $p < 0.01$), PGIS ($t = 10.32$, $p < 0.01$), and WEMWBS ($t = 11.45$, $p < 0.01$) scores. ANCOVA, controlling for baseline differences, indicated a significant main effect of the intervention on all measures when compared to the control group ($F = 42.67$, $p < 0.01$ for CD-RISC; $F = 36.83$, $p < 0.01$ for PGIS; $F = 48.12$, $p < 0.01$ for WEMWBS). These results validate the effectiveness of the intervention program in enhancing employee resilience, personal development, and well-being.

Relational analysis highlighted strong correlations between resilience improvements and increases in personal development and well-being scores (Le et al., 2020). Resilience improvements correlated positively with PGIS ($r = 0.76$, $p < 0.01$) and WEMWBS ($r = 0.82$, $p < 0.01$) scores, suggesting that enhanced adaptability and coping skills contribute to broader personal and emotional growth. Participants who reported the highest levels of engagement with the intervention activities also exhibited the most significant improvements across all measures, underscoring the importance of active participation.

A case study of a participant in the intervention group provided a deeper understanding of the program's impact. A 34-year-old employee in the corporate sector entered the program with low resilience and well-being scores (CD-RISC: 61, WEMWBS: 47). After participating in the program, their scores increased to 79 (CD-RISC) and 66 (WEMWBS). The participant reported feeling more equipped to handle workplace challenges, citing mindfulness practices as particularly helpful in reducing stress (Liu & Lin, 2020). They also expressed a renewed sense of motivation and clarity in setting and pursuing career goals.

Participants in the intervention group consistently provided positive feedback on the program. Many highlighted the practical applicability of the skills learned, particularly in managing workplace stress and improving interpersonal relationships (Long et al., 2023). Others noted that the integration of mindfulness and goal-setting workshops fostered a sense of empowerment and self-efficacy, which translated into improved performance and well-being. These qualitative insights align with the quantitative results, demonstrating the program's comprehensive impact.

The findings underscore the effectiveness of structured intervention programs in enhancing resilience and personal development in the workplace. The significant improvements observed in the intervention group suggest that such programs are valuable tools for fostering employee well-being and adaptability (Low et al., 2020). These results highlight the importance of incorporating holistic and evidence-based approaches into organizational practices to address the complex challenges of modern work environments.

The findings of this study demonstrate that intervention programs significantly enhance resilience, personal development, and well-being among employees. Participants in the intervention group exhibited substantial improvements in their ability to manage stress, set personal goals, and maintain emotional balance, as reflected in the increases in CD-RISC, PGIS, and WEMWBS scores (Memon et al., 2020). Qualitative feedback reinforced these results, with participants highlighting the practical benefits of resilience training, mindfulness practices, and goal-setting workshops. These outcomes underscore the value of structured, evidence-based programs in addressing the multifaceted challenges of modern workplaces.

Previous research has highlighted the importance of resilience and personal development in promoting workplace well-being, aligning with the results of this study. However, many earlier studies focus on isolated interventions, such as mindfulness or stress management, without integrating multiple approaches into a comprehensive program (Nurjanah et al., 2020). This study builds on existing literature by demonstrating the synergistic effects of combining resilience training, mindfulness, and goal-setting (Pradana et al., 2022). Unlike findings from studies that report limited long-term impacts of single-focus interventions, the results here suggest that multi-dimensional programs are more effective in achieving sustained improvements in employee outcomes.

The results indicate a broader shift toward holistic approaches in workplace interventions. The significant improvements in resilience and personal development suggest that employees are not only better equipped to handle stress but are also more motivated to pursue continuous growth (Saruchera & Asante-Darko, 2021). These findings reflect the growing recognition that addressing workplace challenges requires strategies that enhance both adaptability and self-efficacy. The correlation between active program engagement and positive outcomes emphasizes the importance of designing interventions that encourage participation and self-reflection.

The implications of these findings are substantial for organizational policy and practice. Employers should prioritize the integration of holistic intervention programs as part of their employee well-being initiatives (Srisathan et al., 2020). These programs can enhance job satisfaction, reduce turnover rates, and improve overall productivity by fostering a healthier and more motivated workforce. Policymakers and organizational leaders can use these results to advocate for workplace well-being strategies that go beyond traditional approaches, ensuring that interventions address cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dimensions of employee experiences.

The observed results can be attributed to the comprehensive design of the intervention program. Resilience training provided participants with tools to navigate adversity, while mindfulness practices fostered emotional regulation and stress management (Villena-Manzanares et al., 2020). Goal-setting workshops complemented these strategies by enhancing participants' sense of purpose and motivation. The interactive and practical nature of the sessions enabled employees to apply these skills directly to workplace challenges, resulting in tangible improvements in their personal and professional lives.

Future research should explore the long-term sustainability of the benefits observed in this study. Longitudinal studies could assess whether the improvements in resilience, personal development, and well-being are maintained over time and identify factors influencing sustained engagement with intervention strategies (Virgiawan et al., 2021). Expanding the scope of research to include diverse workplace contexts, such as remote or hybrid work environments, would provide insights into the adaptability of such programs. Additionally, investigating the cost-effectiveness of these interventions could guide organizations in resource allocation and program scalability.

This study provides a robust foundation for advancing the understanding and implementation of workplace well-being initiatives (Walton et al., 2020). By integrating holistic and evidence-based approaches, organizations can foster resilience and personal growth among employees, addressing both immediate challenges and long-term development. These findings highlight the need for continued innovation in workplace interventions, emphasizing the importance of tailoring programs to the complex and evolving needs of modern workforces.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is the demonstrated effectiveness of structured intervention programs in enhancing resilience, personal development, and overall employee well-being. Participants in the intervention group showed substantial improvements in their ability to manage stress, achieve personal goals, and maintain emotional balance compared to the control group. Unlike traditional workplace interventions that focus on isolated

components, this study highlights the value of integrating multiple evidence-based techniques, such as resilience training, mindfulness practices, and goal-setting workshops, to address the multifaceted challenges faced by employees.

The primary contribution of this research lies in its comprehensive and practical approach to workplace interventions. By integrating diverse strategies into a unified program, this study offers a replicable model for enhancing employee well-being across different organizational contexts. The research advances theoretical understanding by linking resilience and personal development to measurable improvements in workplace outcomes. Practically, the findings provide actionable insights for designing and implementing holistic programs that not only enhance individual adaptability and motivation but also contribute to organizational success through increased productivity and job satisfaction.

This study has certain limitations that provide opportunities for future research. The relatively short duration of the intervention limits the ability to assess the long-term sustainability of its effects. The sample was drawn from specific industries, which may constrain the generalizability of the findings to other workplace settings or job roles. Future studies should explore the long-term impacts of such programs, including their adaptability to diverse workforce demographics and remote or hybrid work environments. Investigating cost-effectiveness and scalability would also provide valuable insights for organizations seeking to implement similar initiatives at a broader scale.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Look this example below:

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

- Aboobaker, N., & Ka, Z. (2021). Digital learning orientation and innovative behavior in the higher education sector: Effects of organizational learning culture and readiness for change. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 35(5), 1030–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-09-2019-0345>
- Acosta-Prado, J. C., López-Montoya, O. H., Sanchís-Pedregosa, C., & Zárate-Torres, R. A. (2020). Human Resource Management and Innovative Performance in Non-profit Hospitals: The Mediating Effect of Organizational Culture. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1422. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01422>
- Aggarwal, P., & Agarwala, T. (2023). Relationship of green human resource management with environmental performance: Mediating effect of green organizational culture. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 30(7), 2351–2376. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-08-2021-0474>
- Alqaraleh, M. H., Almari, M. O. S., Ali, B. J. A., & Oudat, M. S. (2022). The mediating role of organizational culture on the relationship between information technology and internal audit effectiveness. *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review*, 6(1), 8–18. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cgobrv6i1p1>

- Asatiani, A., Hämäläinen, J., Penttinen, E., & Rossi, M. (2021). Constructing continuity across the organisational culture boundary in a highly virtual work environment. *Information Systems Journal*, 31(1), 62–93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12293>
- Bendak, S., Shikhli, A. M., & Abdel-Razek, R. H. (2020). How changing organizational culture can enhance innovation: Development of the innovative culture enhancement framework. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1712125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1712125>
- Bhatti, A., Rehman, S. U., & Rumman, J. B. A. (2020). Organizational capabilities mediates between organizational culture, entrepreneurial orientation, and organizational performance of SMEs in Pakistan. *Entrepreneurial Business and Economics Review*, 8(4), 85–103. <https://doi.org/10.15678/EBER.2020.080405>
- Bilan, S., Šuleř, P., Skrynnyk, O., Krajňáková, E., & Vasilyeva, T. (2022). SYSTEMATIC BIBLIOMETRIC REVIEW OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY IN ORGANIZATIONAL MANAGEMENT, DEVELOPMENT, CHANGE AND CULTURE. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 23(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2022.13204>
- Cegarra-Navarro, J.-G., Jimenez-Jimenez, D., & Garcia-Perez, A. (2021). An Integrative View of Knowledge Processes and a Learning Culture for Ambidexterity: Toward Improved Organizational Performance in the Banking Sector. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 68(2), 408–417. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2019.2917430>
- Chen, Y., Lin, S., Lin, C., Hung, S., Chang, C., & Huang, C. (2020). Improving green product development performance from green vision and organizational culture perspectives. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(1), 222–231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1794>
- Chin, T., Shi, Y., Shen, G., Usai, A., & Mirko, C. (2024). Employee Psychological Resources as a Microfoundation for Organizational Knowledge Creation Across Cultures: A Yin–Yang Dialectical Systems View. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 71, 12815–12825. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2023.3282638>
- Chión, S. J., Charles, V., & Morales, J. (2019). The impact of organisational culture, organisational structure and technological infrastructure on process improvement through knowledge sharing. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26(6), 1443–1472. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-10-2018-0279>
- Da Veiga, A., Astakhova, L. V., Botha, A., & Herselman, M. (2020). Defining organisational information security culture—Perspectives from academia and industry. *Computers & Security*, 92, 101713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2020.101713>
- Espasandín-Bustelo, F., Ganaza-Vargas, J., & Diaz-Carrion, R. (2021). Employee happiness and corporate social responsibility: The role of organizational culture. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, 43(3), 609–629. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-07-2020-0343>
- Grover, V., Tseng, S.-L., & Pu, W. (2022). A theoretical perspective on organizational culture and digitalization. *Information & Management*, 59(4), 103639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2022.103639>
- Hamzah, M. I., Othman, A. K., & Hassan, F. (2020). Elucidating salespeople’s market orientation, proactive service behavior and organizational culture in the B2B banking sector: A Malaysian perspective. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 38(5), 1033–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-10-2019-0388>
- Harel, R., Schwartz, D., & Kaufmann, D. (2021). Organizational culture processes for promoting innovation in small businesses. *EuroMed Journal of Business*, 16(2), 218–240. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-03-2020-0027>
- Heras, M. L., Rofcanin, Y., Escribano, P. I., Kim, S., & Mayer, M. C. J. (2021). Family-supportive organisational culture, work–family balance satisfaction and

- government effectiveness: Evidence from four countries. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 31(2), 454–475. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12317>
- Holgersson, C., & Romani, L. (2020). Tokenism Revisited: When Organizational Culture Challenges Masculine Norms, the Experience of Token Is Transformed. *European Management Review*, 17(3), 649–661. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12385>
- Jirek, S. L. (2020). Ineffective Organizational Responses to Workers' Secondary Traumatic Stress: A Case Study of the Effects of an Unhealthy Organizational Culture. *Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance*, 44(3), 210–228. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23303131.2020.1722302>
- Kao, C.-Y., Tsaor, S.-H., & Huang, C.-C. (2020). The scale development of organizational culture on customer delight. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 32(10), 3067–3090. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-02-2019-0128>
- Kaur Bagga, S., Gera, S., & Haque, S. N. (2023). The mediating role of organizational culture: Transformational leadership and change management in virtual teams. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 28(2), 120–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2022.07.003>
- Khedhaouria, A., Nakara, W. A., Gharbi, S., & Bahri, C. (2020). The Relationship between Organizational Culture and Small-firm Performance: Entrepreneurial Orientation as Mediator. *European Management Review*, 17(2), 515–528. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12383>
- Kittel, A. F. D., Kunz, R. A. C., & Seufert, T. (2021). Self-Regulation in Informal Workplace Learning: Influence of Organizational Learning Culture and Job Characteristics. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 643748. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.643748>
- Le, H. M., Nguyen, T. T., & Hoang, T. C. (2020). Organizational culture, management accounting information, innovation capability and firm performance. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1857594. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1857594>
- Liu, X., & Lin, K.-L. (2020). Green Organizational Culture, Corporate Social Responsibility Implementation, and Food Safety. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 585435. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.585435>
- Long, Y., Feng, T., Fan, Y., & Liu, L. (2023). Adopting blockchain technology to enhance green supply chain integration: The moderating role of organizational culture. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32(6), 3326–3343. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3302>
- Low, W. W., Abdul-Rahman, H., & Zakaria, N. (2020). Organisational culture of Malaysian international construction organisations. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 20(2), 105–121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2018.1484552>
- Memon, S. B., Qureshi, J. A., & Jokhio, I. A. (2020). The role of organizational culture in knowledge sharing and transfer in Pakistani banks: A qualitative study. *Global Business and Organizational Excellence*, 39(3), 45–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.21997>
- Nurjanah, S., Pebianti, V., & Handaru, A. W. (2020). The influence of transformational leadership, job satisfaction, and organizational commitments on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) in the inspectorate general of the Ministry of Education and Culture. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1793521. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1793521>
- Pradana, M., Silvianita, A., Syarifuddin, S., & Renaldi, R. (2022). The Implication of Digital Organisational Culture on Firm Performance. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 840699. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.840699>
- Saruchera, F., & Asante-Darko, D. (2021). Reverse logistics, organizational culture and firm operational performance: Some empirical evidence. *Business Strategy & Development*, 4(3), 326–342. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.161>
- Srisathan, W. A., Ketkaew, C., & Naruetharadhol, P. (2020). The intervention of organizational sustainability in the effect of organizational culture on open innovation performance: A

- case of thai and chinese SMEs. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1717408. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1717408>
- Villena-Manzanares, F., García-Segura, T., & Pellicer, E. (2020). Organizational Factors That Drive to BIM Effectiveness: Technological Learning, Collaborative Culture, and Senior Management Support. *Applied Sciences*, 11(1), 199. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11010199>
- Virgiawan, A. R., Riyanto, S., & Endri, E. (2021). Organizational Culture as a Mediator Motivation and Transformational Leadership on Employee Performance. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 10(3), 67. <https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2021-0065>
- Walton, S., Zhang, A., & O’Kane, C. (2020). Energy eco-innovations for sustainable development: Exploring organizational strategic capabilities through an energy cultures framework. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(3), 812–826. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2399>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Sakura Suzuki et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Research Psychologie, Orientation et Conseil

This article is under:

