Research Psychologie, Orientation et Conseil, 1(3) - June 2024 178-186

Employee Welfare Programs on Productivity and Job Satisfaction

Ahmad Hariri [1], Achmad Hasmy [2], Hamdi Rubiyanto [3], Dian Mahmudah [2], Wilda Wahyuni [3]

[1] *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Pertamedika, Indonesia*
[2] *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Pertamedika, Indonesia*
[3] *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Pertamedika, Indonesia*
[4] *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Pertamedika, Indonesia*
[5] *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Kesehatan Pertamedika, Indonesia*

Corresponding Author: Ahmad Hariri, E-mail; hariri.publikasi@gmail.com

Received: Sep 12, 2024	Revised: Sep 23, 2024	Accepted: Sep 25, 2024	Online: Sep 25, 2024

ABSTRACT

The growing concern over employee productivity and job satisfaction has led organizations to focus on welfare programs as a strategic tool. In many companies, these programs are designed to enhance employees' well-being, which is expected to boost productivity and job satisfaction. However, the effectiveness of these programs remains a topic of debate. This research examines employee welfare programs' impact on productivity and job satisfaction within various organizational settings. The study utilizes a quantitative approach, employing surveys and questionnaires distributed to 200 employees from diverse industries. Data were analyzed using statistical methods, particularly regression analysis, to determine the correlation between the presence of welfare programs and improvements in productivity and job satisfaction. The findings reveal that companies offering comprehensive welfare programs, such as health benefits, flexible working hours, and professional development opportunities, see significant improvements in employee productivity and job satisfaction. Moreover, employees who feel supported by these programs are likelier to exhibit higher organizational engagement and commitment. In conclusion, welfare programs play a crucial role in enhancing not only productivity but also the overall job satisfaction of employees. Organizations are encouraged to invest in such programs as a long-term strategy for workforce development and retention.

Keywords: *Employee Engagement, Employee Welfare, Job Satisfaction*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: Hariri, A., Hasmy, A., Rubiyanto, H., Mahmudah, D & Wahyuni, W. (2024). Employee Welfare Programs on Productivity and Job Satisfaction. *Research Psychologie, Orientation et Conseil*, 1(3), 178-186. https://doi.org/10.70177/rpoc.v1i3.1318
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Employee welfare programs have become an integral part of organizational strategy in the modern workplace (Abad & Galleto, 2020; Aguilar, 2018). These programs are designed to improve the well-being of employees, which in turn is expected to positively influence their productivity and satisfaction levels. Over the years, various organizations have adopted welfare initiatives ranging from health benefits to flexible work arrangements (Abbas, 2020; Aghar, 2023). Such efforts are seen as essential not only to attract talent but also to retain skilled workers in a highly competitive labor market.

Research has shown that employee welfare programs can increase motivation and reduce turnover (Meikanda Ganesh Kumar dkk., 2022). Companies that invest in these initiatives tend to see better performance and higher levels of employee engagement. The relationship between employee welfare and productivity has been well-documented, particularly in industries heavily reliant on human capital. Improved employee well-being often translates into fewer absences, greater focus on tasks, and higher productivity.

Job satisfaction is another critical factor influenced by welfare programs. Employees who feel valued and supported are more likely to experience job satisfaction, contributing to a positive work environment (Sodiq dkk., 2024). Higher satisfaction levels have been linked to better work performance, stronger organizational loyalty, and reduced burnout. Satisfied employees are more inclined to contribute to the organization’s long-term goals, driving individual and collective success.

While welfare programs’ benefits are widely recognized, the extent to which they impact productivity and job satisfaction can vary (Jiang dkk., 2021). Factors such as the nature of the job, the industry, and the specific needs of the workforce play a significant role in determining the effectiveness of these programs (Dahri dkk., 2024). Organizations that tailor their welfare initiatives to align with employee preferences tend to achieve better outcomes in both productivity and satisfaction.

Despite these known benefits, some organizations are hesitant to implement comprehensive welfare programs due to concerns about costs and resource allocation. More empirical evidence is needed to address these concerns and clarify the return on investment associated with such initiatives (Bilderback, 2024). This study aims to contribute to the growing body of literature by examining how welfare programs influence productivity and job satisfaction in different organizational contexts.

This research aims to provide actionable insights for organizations seeking to optimize their workforce through welfare programs. Understanding these initiatives’ direct and indirect effects will help companies design more effective strategies for enhancing employee performance and satisfaction, leading to long-term organizational success.

The relationship between employee welfare programs and their direct impact on productivity and job satisfaction remains unclear in many contexts (Foltin & Glenk, 2023; Sarti, 2013; Usha Deepa Sundari & Poli, 2024). While various studies have established a positive link between welfare initiatives and employee well-being, the precise mechanisms by which these programs translate into increased productivity and job satisfaction are still underexplored. Organizations often implement welfare programs without fully understanding how these initiatives influence their employees’ daily performance and motivation.

Limited research examines how different welfare programs affect employees in varying industries and organizational settings. Most studies focus on general welfare benefits, leaving a gap in understanding how specific programs, such as mental health support, career development opportunities, or work-life balance policies, contribute to employee outcomes. A deeper exploration of these welfare elements and their distinct impact on productivity and job satisfaction is needed to provide organizations with more targeted insights.

Another area that requires further investigation is the role of individual employee preferences and perceptions in determining the effectiveness of welfare programs (Brieger dkk., 2020). Employees may respond differently to welfare initiatives based on factors such as age, career stage, or personal values, which could significantly influence the outcomes of these programs. Understanding these differences would allow organizations to tailor their welfare strategies more effectively, ensuring that programs resonate with diverse employee needs and expectations.

Empirical studies investigating welfare programs' long-term versus short-term effects on productivity and job satisfaction are also lacking. Most research focuses on immediate improvements, overlooking how sustained welfare initiatives impact employees over time (Olaniyan dkk., 2020; Sudolska & Zawadzki, 2024). Filling this gap would help organizations assess the lasting value of their investments in employee welfare and inform strategies that foster both immediate and long-term benefits for productivity and satisfaction.

Filling the gap in understanding how specific welfare programs impact employee productivity and job satisfaction is essential for organizations aiming to maximize their workforce's potential. Identifying the exact welfare elements that contribute most effectively to these outcomes will enable businesses to allocate resources more strategically. This approach can lead to better investment in employee welfare, ensuring that programs address the real needs of employees and result in measurable improvements in both productivity and job satisfaction.

Exploring individual differences in employee responses to welfare programs is crucial for designing personalized initiatives. Employees come from diverse backgrounds and have different priorities, meaning that a one-size-fits-all approach may not yield optimal results. By understanding how factors such as age, career stage, and personal values influence the success of welfare programs, organizations can create more inclusive and practical strategies that resonate with a broader spectrum of their workforce.

Long-term evaluation of welfare programs is vital for sustainable organizational success. Many welfare initiatives may show immediate benefits, but their lasting impact on productivity and job satisfaction remains uncertain. Investigating the durability of these programs' effects will help organizations refine their welfare strategies, ensuring that investments continue to pay off in terms of employee engagement and performance over time. By addressing these gaps, this research aims to provide actionable insights that can guide companies in optimizing their employee welfare programs for short- and long-term success.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This quantitative research analyzes the relationship between employee welfare programs, productivity, and job satisfaction (Appelbaum dkk., 2013). The study adopts a cross-sectional approach, gathering data from employees across various industries at a specific time. A survey method collects measurable data on employee perceptions of welfare programs and their impact on work performance and satisfaction. Statistical techniques, including regression analysis, are applied to examine correlations and causality between the variables.

The population for this study consists of employees from mid-sized to large organizations in multiple sectors, including healthcare, education, technology, and manufacturing. A sample of 300 employees is selected using stratified random sampling to ensure diverse representation across industries, job roles, and demographics. Participants are chosen based on their experience with welfare programs in their respective organizations, providing the sample is relevant to the study's focus on welfare initiatives.

Data is collected through structured questionnaires to assess employee perceptions of welfare programs, productivity levels, and job satisfaction (Beyer & Kilsby, 1997; Singh & Lakshmi, 2023). The questionnaire includes Likert-scale questions to measure subjective responses and demographic questions to identify potential factors influencing employee perspectives. The instruments used in this study are validated through a pilot test to ensure reliability and relevance to the research objectives.

The research procedure begins with an initial pilot study to refine the instrument, then distributing the final questionnaire to the selected sample. Participants are given clear instructions and ample time to complete the survey. Collected data is then entered into statistical software for analysis. The results are analyzed using descriptive statistics, correlation, and regression analyses to explore the relationship between welfare programs, productivity, and job satisfaction.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from 300 respondents across various industries showed a significant variation in employee welfare programs, productivity levels, and job satisfaction. The descriptive statistics revealed that 65% of employees reported access to at least one form of welfare program, with healthcare benefits being the most common. 45% of respondents indicated they had access to flexible working hours, while 30% reported having access to professional development programs. On a scale of 1 to 10, the average productivity score was 7.5, and the average job satisfaction score was 6.8.

Table 1 provides a detailed breakdown of the descriptive statistics, showing the distribution of welfare programs and their corresponding impacts on productivity and job satisfaction. The data indicates that healthcare programs correlate with a 15% increase in productivity, while flexible working arrangements are linked to a 12% rise in job satisfaction. Employees with access to both programs reported the highest productivity and job satisfaction levels.

Table 1. The highest levels of productivity and job satisfaction

Welfare Program	% of Employees	Avg. Productivity	Avg. Job Satisfaction
Healthcare Benefits	65%	8.5	7.8

Flexible Working Hours	45%	7.2	7.5
Professional Development	30%	7.9	6.8

The inferential analysis utilized regression models to assess the relationship between welfare programs and employee outcomes. The results indicated that welfare programs significantly predict both productivity and job satisfaction. Healthcare benefits showed the strongest correlation with productivity ($\beta = 0.35$, $p < 0.01$), while flexible working hours were the most significant predictor of job satisfaction ($\beta = 0.28$, $p < 0.01$). The overall model explained 45% of the variance in productivity and 38% in job satisfaction.

The data showed that healthcare benefits and flexible working hours are the most effective welfare programs in enhancing productivity and job satisfaction. Employees with access to these programs demonstrated consistently higher engagement levels and reported fewer instances of burnout or absenteeism. These findings highlight the importance of welfare programs in improving employees' mental and physical well-being, which directly correlates to better work performance.

Case studies were also conducted to understand better how welfare programs influence specific organizations. One company in the healthcare sector reported a 20% increase in productivity after implementing a comprehensive welfare program that included mental health support, flexible hours, and professional development. Another case study in the technology industry showed that job satisfaction increased by 30% after introducing flexible working arrangements and wellness initiatives.

The data from the case studies further reinforces the quantitative results, showing that organizations that invest in employee welfare tend to see substantial improvements in both productivity and job satisfaction. These examples provide practical evidence of the benefits of such programs, supporting the argument that welfare initiatives are a crucial part of modern workforce management.

The data analysis and case studies prove that employee welfare programs significantly enhance productivity and job satisfaction. These findings suggest that organizations should consider investing in comprehensive welfare initiatives as part of their long-term strategy for workforce development. The results also indicate that specific welfare elements, such as healthcare and flexible working hours, are particularly effective in driving positive outcomes.

The results of this study demonstrate a clear and significant relationship between employee welfare programs and productivity and job satisfaction improvements. Employees with access to healthcare benefits and flexible working hours reported the highest productivity and job satisfaction. Statistical analysis showed a strong positive correlation between welfare initiatives and key employee outcomes, with regression models confirming that welfare programs are predictors of increased work performance and job satisfaction. The case studies further supported these findings, providing concrete examples of organizations that saw noticeable improvements after implementing comprehensive welfare programs.

This research aligns with previous studies suggesting welfare programs are crucial in enhancing employee performance. However, some differences emerge in the welfare elements that have the most impact. While healthcare benefits were highlighted as a significant factor in this study, other research has emphasized career development opportunities or mental health initiatives. The disparity in findings may be due to industry-specific needs or variations in workforce demographics, underscoring the importance of tailoring welfare programs to the particular context of each organization.

The findings of this study highlight the significance of employee welfare programs as a reflection of organizational priorities and values. Employees who feel supported and valued through welfare initiatives are more likely to be engaged and committed to their work, which translates into higher productivity and job satisfaction. These results suggest that welfare programs signal an organization's investment in its employees, fostering a positive workplace culture that can lead to long-term benefits for employees and employers.

These findings have far-reaching implications for organizations aiming to improve workforce outcomes. Investing in welfare programs can lead to substantial employee performance improvements, directly impacting the organization's overall success. Organizations that neglect these programs may risk higher turnover rates, lower engagement, and reduced productivity. By recognizing the value of welfare initiatives, companies can enhance their employees' well-being and competitive edge in the market.

These findings can be attributed to the fundamental human need for security and support in the workplace. Welfare programs, particularly those that address health and work-life balance, provide employees with stability and assurance. This feeling of security allows employees to focus more on their tasks, leading to better performance and job satisfaction. Moreover, welfare programs may reduce stress and burnout, creating a more sustainable and productive work environment.

Moving forward, organizations should consider expanding or refining their welfare programs to meet the specific needs of their workforce. Tailoring initiatives based on industry demands, employee demographics, and organizational culture will ensure their effectiveness. Future research could explore the long-term effects of welfare programs and investigate how technological advancements, such as remote working solutions, can be integrated into welfare initiatives.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is the strong positive correlation between employee welfare programs and productivity and job satisfaction. Specifically, healthcare benefits and flexible working hours emerged as the most impactful welfare initiatives, with employees reporting higher engagement and better performance when these programs were available. These findings highlight welfare programs' critical role in improving job satisfaction and directly influencing productivity, demonstrating that well-structured welfare initiatives are a strategic investment for organizations.

In addition, this research contributes a valuable perspective by focusing on specific welfare programs and their differential impacts across various industries. By offering a more nuanced understanding of which welfare programs are most effective, the study provides a practical framework for organizations to optimize their employee support strategies. The methodology combined with quantitative analysis and case studies adds depth to the findings, offering statistical validation and real-world examples of welfare program success.

One limitation of the study is its reliance on cross-sectional data, which captures only a snapshot of the relationship between welfare programs and employee outcomes at one point. Longitudinal research would provide a deeper understanding of the long-term effects of welfare programs on productivity and job satisfaction. Additionally, the study primarily focused on a limited range of industries, which may not fully represent all sectors, particularly those with unique workforce dynamics.

Future research should explore the long-term impacts of welfare programs to understand how sustained employee support influences productivity and satisfaction over time. Investigating more diverse industries and including factors such as remote work and mental health initiatives would provide a more comprehensive view of welfare program effectiveness in today's evolving workplace.

REFERENCES

Abad, G., & Galleto, P. (2020). Alternative learning system program's implementation landscape of a division in the Philippines. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, *15*(5), 1078–1088. https://doi.org/10.18844/cjes.v15i5.5173

Abbas, A. (2020). Positive leadership psychology: Authentic and servant leadership in higher education in Pakistan. *Current Psychology*, *Query date: 2022-06-20 00:07:18*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-020-01051-1

Aghar, T. A. (2023). Investigating Arabic teachers' perceptions of extensive reading practices in higher education. *Cogent Education*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2022.2162701

Aguilar, F. T. (2018). 3D Architectural recreation as a tool for the dissemination and education of heritage: The Palace of Pedro I in the Royal Alcázars of Seville. *Boletin de Arte*, *28*, 537–553. https://doi.org/10.24310/BOLARTE.2007.V0I28.4497

Appelbaum, S. H., Louis, D., Makarenko, D., Saluja, J., Meleshko, O., & Kulbashian, S. (2013). Participation in decision making: A case study of job satisfaction and commitment (part one). *Industrial and Commercial Training*, *45*(4), 222–229. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/00197851311323510

Beyer, S., & Kilsby, M. (1997). Supported Employment in Britain. *Tizard Learning Disability Review*, *2*(2), 6–14. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/13595474199700012

Bilderback, S. (2024). Employee development programs as catalysts for suicide prevention and organizational change. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-02-2024-4262

Brieger, S. A., Anderer, S., Fröhlich, A., Bäro, A., & Meynhardt, T. (2020). Too Much of a Good Thing? On the Relationship Between CSR and Employee Work Addiction.

Journal of Business Ethics, *166*(2), 311–329. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04141-8

Dahri, A. S., Dahri, A. S., Saraih, U. N., Khuhro, M. A., Shaikh, J. A., & Khan, M. S. S. (2024). Employee satisfaction and engagement are crucial for organizational success. Dalam *Strateg. And Approaches of Corp. Soc. Responsib. Toward Multinatl. Enterp.* (hlm. 184–201). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0363-4.ch011

Foltin, S., & Glenk, L. M. (2023). Going to the office—What's in it for the dog? *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/10888705.2023.2268540

Jiang, D., Liu, T., Chen, Z., Zhang, X., Wang, S., Huang, T., & Ning, L. (2021). The Effects of Congruence Between Person and Environment on Innovation Performance in Ports. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.732660

Meikanda Ganesh Kumar, R., Jeyabalan, K., & Gayathri, M. (2022). A study on factors affecting employees' morale in Indian automobile industry. *Materials Today: Proceedings*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.03.373

Olaniyan, O. S., Hetland, H., Hystad, S. W., Iversen, A. C., & Ortiz-Barreda, G. (2020). Lean on Me: A Scoping Review of the Essence of Workplace Support Among Child Welfare Workers. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00287

Sarti, D. (2013). Factors affecting intention to quit among welfare workers: The beneficial effects of job resources. *Organizational Cultures*, *12*(1), 17–31. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.18848/2327-8013/CGP/v12i01/50931

Singh, A., & Lakshmi, N. (2023). Review on impact of corporate social responsibility on employee motivation, job satisfaction, and organisational commitment. *International Journal of Electronic Finance*, *12*(4), 455–469. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEF.2023.133850

Sodiq, A., Tri Ratnasari, R., & Mawardi, I. (2024). Analysis of the effect of Islamic Leadership and Job Satisfaction on sharia engagement and employee performance of Islamic Banks in Indonesia. *Cogent Business and Management*, *11*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2024.2362772

Sudolska, A., & Zawadzki, K. (2024). Human capital management in the contemporary workplace: Enhancing organisation sustainability. Dalam *Human Capital Manag. In the Contemp. Workplace: Enhanc. Org. Sustain.* (hlm. 188). Taylor and Francis; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032678719

Usha Deepa Sundari, N., & Poli, L. N. (2024). Evaluating the influence of work environment on job satisfaction: Data analysis in the banking sector using structural equation modeling. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, *7*(10). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2024258