https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/jete/
P - ISSN: 3025-0668
E - ISSN: 3025-0676

The Future of AR-Driven Immersive Classrooms: Pedagogical Impacts for the Next Generation

Abdul Sahib[1], Achmad Nashrul Waahib[2], M. Syahputra[3], Aminah[4], Singgih Prastawa[5]
[1]Institut Agama Islam Negeri Curup, Indonesia
[2]Universitas KH Abdul Chalim Mojokerto, Indonesia
[3]Politeknik LP3I, Indonesia
[4]Universitas Syedza Saintika, Indonesia
[5]Universitas Slamet Riyadi Surakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Background. The integration of Augmented Reality (AR) technology in educational environments has the potential to revolutionize classroom dynamics by creating immersive, interactive learning experiences. This research is based on the growing need to explore how AR-driven classrooms can enhance pedagogy and cater to the learning styles of the next generation. AR offers unique opportunities to create more engaging, personalized, and collaborative learning environments, aligning with the educational goals of the 21st century..

Purpose. This research investigates the pedagogical impacts of AR in immersive classrooms, explicitly focusing on student engagement, knowledge retention, and collaborative learning. It aims to evaluate how AR can transform traditional teaching methods and better prepare students for future technological landscapes.

Method. The research employs a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data collection techniques. Surveys and interviews were conducted with educators and students in AR-enabled classrooms, and performance data were analyzed to assess learning outcomes. AR applications across various subjects, including science, history, and the arts, were evaluated to determine their effectiveness in enhancing the learning experience.

Results. Findings indicate that AR significantly improves student engagement, increases knowledge retention, and fosters greater student collaboration. The immersive nature of AR motivates students to participate actively in lessons, creating deeper connections with the content.

Conclusion. In conclusion, AR-driven immersive classrooms can potentially reshape educational practices, offering new pathways for experiential learning. The integration of AR technology into classrooms holds promise for the future of education by aligning pedagogical approaches with the needs of the digital generation.

KEYWORDS

Augmented Reality, Collaborative Learning, Immersive Classrooms, Pedagogy, Student Engagement

Citation: Sahib, A., Waahib, N. J., Syahputra, M., Aminah, Aminah., & Prastawa, S. (2024). The Future of AR-Driven Immersive Classrooms: Pedagogical Impacts for the Next Generation. *Journal Emerging Technologies in Education*, *2*(5), 382–394.
https://doi.org/10.70177/jete.v2i5.1364

Correspondence:

Abdul Sahib,
abdulsahib474@gmail.com

Received: Nov 12, 2024

Accepted: Nov 15, 2024

Published: Dec 30, 2024

INTRODUCTION

Augmented Reality (AR) technology has rapidly advanced over the past decade, reshaping various industries, including education (Mudinillah et al., 2024). Educators and researchers recognize the potential of AR to create interactive and immersive learning experiences that go beyond traditional methods.

AR overlays digital content onto the physical environment, allowing students to engage with 3D models (Mudinillah, 2019), simulations, and visualizations in real time (Amrina & Mudinillah, 2022). This capability opens up new possibilities for experiential learning, making complex concepts more accessible and engaging (Wen & Looi, 2019).

Many studies have highlighted the positive effects of AR in educational settings. Research shows that AR can significantly improve student engagement by offering interactive content that captures attention and fosters active participation. Traditional classrooms often rely on static materials, such as textbooks and lectures, which may not fully engage all students. AR-driven classrooms, however, create a dynamic environment where students can manipulate digital objects, explore virtual environments, and interact with peers in new ways (Vassigh et al., 2020) .

Knowledge retention is another area where AR has demonstrated potential benefits. Learning through interactive and immersive experiences has been shown to enhance memory retention. Students can visualize abstract concepts in a tangible form, making understanding and recalling information easier. For example, AR applications in science education allow students to explore the human body, solar systems, or chemical reactions in a way that traditional textbooks cannot replicate (Rieser & Clark, 2013). This hands-on learning approach aligns with cognitive theories that emphasize the importance of active learning for deeper understanding (Rehman, 2023).

Collaboration is a critical component of modern education, and AR technology can enhance this aspect in classrooms. AR-driven learning environments encourage group activities where students work together to solve problems or explore virtual simulations. The ability to share and manipulate digital content collectively fosters communication, teamwork, and critical thinking skills. In subjects like history or geography, AR can create shared experiences where students explore historical events or geographic locations in a collaborative virtual space (Ravna et al., 2022).

The adaptability of AR to different subjects and learning styles is well-documented. Educators can tailor AR content to meet specific curriculum goals, ensuring lessons are relevant and engaging (Petrovski et al., 2024). This flexibility allows teachers to create customized learning experiences that cater to diverse student needs, making AR a powerful tool for differentiated instruction. Visual learners, for instance, benefit from AR content's interactive and graphical nature, while kinesthetic learners engage through the physical manipulation of virtual objects (Ortiz, 2024).

As educational institutions increasingly adopt digital technologies, AR is becoming more prominent in discussions about the future of education. The shift toward technology-enhanced learning environments reflects a broader trend in education to incorporate tools that resonate with digital-native students. AR-driven immersive classrooms represent a significant step toward creating learning experiences that align with the expectations and capabilities of the next generation. These developments underscore the potential of AR to transform pedagogy and offer more engaging, personalized, and collaborative learning opportunities (O'Connor et al., 2018).

Despite the growing interest in Augmented Reality (AR) for education, there remains a significant gap in understanding its long-term pedagogical impacts. Many studies focus on short-term benefits such as increased engagement and knowledge retention, but little is known about how AR influences more profound learning outcomes over extended periods (Marín-Rodriguez et al., 2023). The potential of AR to fundamentally alter teaching methods and student performance across diverse subjects has not been thoroughly examined. This creates uncertainty about whether AR's initial success can be sustained and scaled in everyday classroom settings (Lewis & Taylor-Poleskey, 2021).

Research on the integration of AR into traditional education systems is also limited. While AR technology has proven effective in specific case studies or controlled environments, the challenges of integrating it seamlessly into standard curricula are poorly understood (Lawlor et al., 2021). Questions remain about the feasibility of widespread adoption, especially regarding infrastructure, teacher training, and curriculum alignment. More comprehensive studies are needed to explore how AR can be incorporated into existing educational frameworks without disrupting traditional pedagogical approaches (Lampropoulos et al., 2022).

Another area that lacks sufficient research is the effectiveness of AR for different types of learners (Khambari, 2018). While AR has been shown to enhance learning for visual and kinesthetic learners, its impact on other learning styles, such as auditory or reading/writing learners, is unclear. Understanding how AR caters to diverse learning preferences is crucial for creating inclusive educational environments. This gap suggests that further research is needed to ensure AR-driven classrooms accommodate various learning needs and preferences (Kee et al., 2024).

There is also limited data on how AR affects teacher-student dynamics and classroom management. Introducing immersive technology into the classroom could alter the role of the teacher, shifting from a traditional instructor to a facilitator of interactive learning experiences (Kaimara et al., 2021). However, the implications of this shift for classroom management, assessment, and teacher training have not been fully explored. Filling this gap is essential to developing best practices for teachers using AR in their classrooms (Iqbal et al., 2022).

Filling the gap in research on AR-driven immersive classrooms is essential to fully understand its long-term potential in transforming education. AR offers a unique opportunity to enhance learning experiences by making abstract concepts more tangible and interactive, but without in-depth studies on its sustained impacts, its effectiveness remains uncertain. Investigating these long-term effects is critical for determining whether AR can become a core part of future educational practices or is only a supplementary tool for short-term engagement (Hsu & Liu, 2023).

Studying how AR can be integrated into traditional educational systems is equally important to ensure its scalability and practicality. Schools and institutions need guidance on the best ways to incorporate AR without disrupting existing curricula or overwhelming teachers (Hellermann & Thorne, 2022). Research into the required infrastructure, teacher preparedness, and curriculum adaptation will provide valuable insights into how AR can be adopted on a larger scale (Gill et al., 2024). By understanding these factors, educational institutions can effectively make informed decisions about implementing AR technology (Frydenberg & Andone, 2018).

Addressing how AR caters to different learning styles and impacts teacher-student dynamics is vital for creating inclusive and adaptive learning environments. Research into these areas will help educators tailor AR-driven lessons to meet the diverse needs of their students while maintaining a structured classroom environment. This knowledge is necessary to create a practical framework for teachers to manage AR classrooms, ensuring that the technology enhances learning without compromising the pedagogical balance between instruction and interaction.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research employs a mixed-methods design to examine the pedagogical impacts of AR-driven immersive classrooms (Abowitz, 2010; Blease, 2021; Borrego, 2009; Bryman, 2007). The study combines qualitative and quantitative approaches to comprehensively analyze how AR technology affects student engagement, knowledge retention, and collaboration. A comparative analysis is used to evaluate traditional classrooms versus AR-enabled classrooms across different subjects, allowing for an assessment of the long-term effects of AR on learning outcomes. The

study aims to generate statistical data and in-depth insights into teacher and student experiences with AR.

The population for this study includes students and teachers from secondary schools that have implemented AR technology in their classrooms. The sample consists of 200 students from various grade levels and 30 teachers across different subjects, such as science, history, and mathematics. Schools are selected based on their use of AR for at least one academic year to ensure familiarity with the technology. A control group of 100 students from traditional classrooms is also included for comparison.

Data collection instruments include surveys, standardized tests, and observation checklists. Surveys are administered to students and teachers to measure engagement levels, perceived effectiveness, and satisfaction with AR technology. Standardized tests evaluate knowledge retention and learning outcomes across AR and traditional classrooms. Observational data is gathered using checklists to assess classroom interactions, collaboration, and the dynamics between teachers and students during AR lessons. Interviews with teachers provide further qualitative insights into their experiences and challenges with AR integration.

The research procedure begins with a pilot test to refine the survey and observation instruments (Abe et al., 2003; Adamopoulos, 2018; Bryman, 2007). After this, the study is conducted over an entire academic semester, with data collected at three key points: the semester's start, middle, and end. AR-enabled lessons are observed and compared with traditional lessons, with standardized tests administered at each stage to evaluate learning outcomes. Interviews with teachers are conducted after the semester to gather feedback on the effectiveness and challenges of using AR in classrooms. Data is then analyzed using statistical and thematic methods to identify critical trends and insights.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from 200 students and 30 teachers showed a significant increase in student engagement in classrooms using AR compared to traditional classrooms. From the survey, 85% of students in AR classrooms reported higher engagement levels than 60% in conventional classrooms. In addition, 78% of teachers reported that AR helps students understand complex material more efficiently.

Table 1.

The Percentage of Student Engagement and Test Results in AR and Traditional Classes

Learning Methods	Engagement Percentage (%)	Test Results (Average)
Traditional Classes	60%	72
AR Classes	85%	85

The data also showed that students in AR classes scored higher than students in traditional courses. The average test result of students in the AR class is 85, while the average test result in the conventional class is 72. This number shows the positive impact of AR on students' understanding of the material being taught.

Data from surveys and test results show that AR significantly impacts student engagement and learning outcomes. Students in AR classrooms report higher engagement rates because AR technology makes learning more interactive and engaging. Teachers also noted that the use of AR helps students who usually have difficulty understanding abstract concepts, especially in the fields of science and mathematics, better understand the material through AR visualization.

The test results of students in the AR class also showed a significant improvement in knowledge retention. Students can better remember and apply information after participating in AR

learning. Teachers report that students who engage in AR-based activities tend to be more active in class discussions, contributing to their improved comprehension.

Higher engagement rates also correlated with better test results. Students who feel more engaged in learning tend to be more motivated to learn and show better results. This indicates that AR not only increases engagement but also has a positive impact on student academic achievement.

Additionally, teachers report that AR provides flexibility in teaching, allowing them to present material in a more dynamic and engaging format. Teachers also state that AR facilitates collaborative learning, where students work together to complete AR-based tasks, improving their social and cooperative skills.

A survey revealed that 75% of teachers felt that AR increased the effectiveness of their teaching. They report that AR allows them to explain complex concepts more easily for students to understand. For example, in science lessons, teachers use AR to visualize the molecular structure or solar system, which makes those concepts more accessible for students to digest.

The use of AR also improves collaboration between students. Teachers report that students work together in groups more often to complete tasks involving AR. This enhances social interaction within the classroom and encourages students to share ideas and understanding with each other. This collaboration contributes to an increase in students' understanding of the material as they learn from each other (Denson & Bayati, 2023).

Teachers also stated that AR helps them manage their classes more effectively. Students who are usually passive in traditional classrooms become more active and motivated to participate when AR is used. This reduces classroom management problems and increases students' focus on the material (Dede, 2006).

In more abstract lessons, such as history or geography, AR allows students to "visit" historical places or locations relevant to the lesson. This hands-on experience makes learning more contextual and meaningful for students, which helps them remember and understand the material better (Davis K. et al., 2019).

The results of the inferential analysis showed a positive correlation between the use of AR in the classroom and students' academic outcomes. The graph below shows the relationship between student engagement levels and test results in AR classes compared to traditional classrooms:

Statistical analysis showed a 25% increase in student engagement in AR classes correlated with an 18% increase in test results. This correlation was significant at a level of 0.05, indicating that the use of AR directly contributed to improving student learning outcomes.

This graph also shows that students in AR classrooms experienced consistent improvements in learning outcomes across a range of subjects, including science, math, and language. While students in traditional classrooms experience more significant fluctuations in their test results, AR classrooms show better stability in knowledge retention.

These results support the hypothesis that AR can improve learning effectiveness by increasing student engagement and helping them remember information better. The use of AR as a pedagogical tool has been proven to have a significant positive impact on the teaching and learning process.

The data showed a strong relationship between AR use and increased student engagement and learning outcomes. Classes that use AR tend to have higher levels of student engagement, resulting in improved academic outcomes. This relationship shows that student engagement is critical in improving learning outcomes, and AR plays a vital role in enhancing this engagement (Chen, 2022).

Students who are more engaged in learning tend to be more interested in the material being taught, which increases their motivation to learn and understand information. AR provides an interactive learning experience, allowing students to actively participate in the learning process actively, ultimately improving their academic outcomes (Cochrane et al., 2014).

AR is also associated with improving students' social and collaborative skills. Students who collaborated on AR-based activities showed enhanced communication and teamwork skills. This improves their learning outcomes and prepares them for future challenges that require collaborative skills.

Teachers who use AR report that this technology helps create a more dynamic and inclusive learning environment. They find it easier to engage students who are typically less motivated in traditional classrooms. This shows that AR can be an effective tool to address the gap in student motivation and participation (Denson & Bayati, 2023).

Case studies in schools that use AR show very positive results in improving student engagement and learning outcomes. In one school, science teachers used AR to visualize complex concepts, such as the water cycle and the solar system. Students in this class significantly improved comprehension, with 90% of students achieving higher scores on post-learning tests than early tests (Dede, 2006).

Teachers at this school report that using AR increases students' interest in science subjects, usually considered challenging. They also noted increased participation in class discussions, as students could view and manipulate 3D models of the material being studied. This makes learning more engaging and interactive (Davis K. et al., 2019).

In addition to science classes, history teachers use AR to relive historical events. Students can "visit" historical locations and interact with essential events virtually. This experience helps students understand the historical context better, which results in improved test results and greater interest in history subjects (Evenstein Sigalov et al., 2024).

This case study underscores the potential of AR as a powerful teaching tool in a wide range of subjects. The immersive experiences created by AR increase student engagement and help them develop a deeper understanding of the material being taught (Chen, 2022).

Data from case studies and surveys show that AR has a significant impact on improving student learning outcomes. This technology allows students to experience learning in person, which helps them understand the material more concretely and visually. This is especially beneficial in subjects that involve abstract or complex concepts (Castellanos & Pérez, 2017).

AR also increases students' motivation to learn, as they feel more interested in the material being taught. Teachers report that students who are usually passive in traditional classrooms become more engaged and actively participate in class discussions. This suggests that AR can help address participation and motivation gaps in the school (Busch C. et al., 2021).

The teacher also stated that AR made it easier for them to explain complex concepts. Teachers can use 3D visualization and simulation to help students understand previously tricky material. This results in improved test results and better understanding among students.

This data supports the hypothesis that AR can improve the effectiveness of teaching and learning. The more immersive and interactive learning experiences offered by AR allow students to learn more effectively and meaningfully (Balogh R. et al., 2023).

The study shows that using Augmented Reality (AR) technology in the classroom significantly improves student engagement and academic outcomes. Students in AR classes showed higher engagement rates compared to traditional courses, with engagement reports reaching 85%. The test results of students in the AR class were also higher, with an average test result of 85,

compared to 72 in the traditional class. Additionally, teachers report that AR helps students understand complex material through more dynamic visualization and interaction.

The use of AR has also been proven to strengthen collaboration between students. Students are more often involved in AR-based group activities and discussions, which helps them develop social and collaborative skills. AR allows them to share their learning experiences directly, which increases participation and a sense of belonging to the learning process (Baby et al., 2023).

Teachers report that AR provides flexibility in their teaching methods. With AR, they can change their teaching approach to suit the needs of students, creating a more personalized and contextual learning experience. Teachers also find it easier to explain abstract concepts that were previously difficult for students to understand.

The results of this study emphasize the importance of AR technology in creating a more engaging and effective learning environment. AR improves academic outcomes and enriches the student learning experience through a more immersive and interactive approach (Andone & Frydenberg, 2023).

The results of this study are consistent with previous research that shows that AR can improve student engagement and academic outcomes. Other research has also found that interactive technology, such as AR, can improve students' understanding of complex concepts. However, the study expanded its scope by evaluating the impact of AR in a variety of subjects and showing consistent improvements across different disciplines (Abeywardena, 2023).

Some previous studies have focused more on AR's short-term effects, while this study evaluated its impact over a longer period of time. The study shows that AR's benefits in improving engagement and learning outcomes can be sustained throughout the academic semester. This reinforces the argument that AR has the potential to be an effective pedagogical tool in the long run (Iqbal et al., 2022).

Other research shows challenges in AR implementation, such as the need for adequate technology infrastructure and teacher training. In this study, these obstacles are not the main focus because the schools involved already have access to AR technology. However, this suggests that challenges beyond pedagogical effectiveness need to be considered in further AR research and implementation (Lampropoulos et al., 2022).

Overall, this study's results are in line with global trends that show the increasing use of technology in education. However, this research makes an important contribution to understanding how AR can be applied in various educational contexts to produce better learning outcomes.

The results of this study are a sign that AR technology has great potential to change how students learn in the classroom. Increased engagement and academic outcomes showed that students responded positively to more interactive and immersive learning. AR can bridge the gap between theory and practice by making abstract concepts more accurate and can be manipulated visually.

The increase in student collaboration also reflects changes in social dynamics in the classroom. Students are more likely to work together in an AR environment, suggesting that this technology can facilitate better teamwork and communication. This is important in modern education, where collaborative skills are becoming increasingly crucial for future success.

These results signal the need for a change in teaching approaches for teachers. AR allows teachers to be more effective facilitators, directing students in active exploration rather than passively conveying information. These changes have the potential to improve the quality of teaching and the relationship between teachers and students.

These results also reflect that technology-based education is increasingly relevant to the needs of today's students who are already familiar with technology in their daily lives. AR offers the opportunity to create more relevant and engaging learning experiences for the digital generation.

The results of this study imply that AR can be an essential tool in education reform, especially in improving student engagement and learning outcomes. Schools and educational institutions need to consider investing in AR technology as part of a long-term strategy to improve the quality of education. AR can help students better understand complex concepts and encourage them to participate more actively in learning.

Teachers must also be prepared to utilize AR technology effectively. Professional training and development focused on integrating AR into teaching will be an important step. AR not only improves learning outcomes but also requires a more dynamic and flexible approach to teaching. Teachers must be able to adapt their methods to maximize the benefits of this technology.

These results also indicate that educational institutions need to pay attention to the technological infrastructure that supports the use of AR. Schools that do not have access to adequate technology may not be able to harness AR's full potential. Therefore, education policies must support wider access to these technologies, especially in underserved areas.

The use of AR in education can also pave the way for further innovation in pedagogy. This technology allows for a more personalized approach to teaching, where students can learn at their own pace and learning style. This can lead to a more flexible and adaptive education model.

The study's results show that AR improves student engagement and learning outcomes because this technology creates a more interactive and engaging learning experience. AR allows students to interact directly with the subject matter, which helps them understand abstract concepts visually. When students can see, manipulate, and interact with virtual objects, they can better remember and apply that information in real-life situations.

The increase in engagement is also because AR brings elements of play and exploration into the learning process. Students become more interested and motivated when they can actively explore the learning environment. This contrasts with the traditional, more passive approach, where students only receive information without active participation.

Student collaboration also increases as AR allows for a more collective learning experience. Students can share and work in an AR environment, strengthening social interaction and communication. This sharing experience increases a sense of belonging to the learning process and helps students learn from each other (Khambari, 2018).

Teachers find AR more effective because it helps them explain complex concepts more easily for students to understand. AR allows teachers to provide more concrete and direct examples, making it easier for students to understand the material. The technology also provides flexibility in teaching, allowing teachers to tailor their approach to student needs.

The next step is to expand the use of AR in more schools and educational institutions. Further research is needed to explore how AR can be applied in various subjects and levels of education. AR can be used not only for science or technology subjects but also for art, literature, and other fields. Further development in education-specific AR content should also be a focus to ensure relevance to the curriculum.

Teachers must be involved in designing and implementing AR in the classroom. Intensive training that focuses on using AR in teaching will help teachers make the most of this technology. They must also develop AR-based learning materials that suit their students' needs.

In addition, education policies need to be adjusted to support the use of technology in the classroom. Adequate funding and infrastructure must be available to implement AR in various

schools, including in underserved areas. The government and educational institutions must collaborate to create an environment conducive to the widespread use of AR.

Further research should also focus on how AR can be used to meet the needs of different types of students. Every student has a different learning style, and AR must be developed to adapt to various learning speeds and styles. This technology has great potential to create a more inclusive and personalized learning environment.

CONCLUSION

The study found that using Augmented Reality (AR) significantly improved student engagement and learning outcomes in the classroom. Students in AR classes showed higher levels of engagement and better test results than students in traditional classes. These findings show that AR can make learning more interactive and dynamic, helping students understand abstract concepts through more concrete visualizations.

One of the important findings is increased collaboration among students. Students who study with AR are more often involved in group activities and share learning experiences, which improves their collaborative and social skills. The use of AR creates a more active learning environment, where students are not only recipients of information but also active participants in the learning process.

This research contributes to the concept by strengthening the role of AR technology as a pedagogical tool in future education. AR helps improve student learning outcomes and paves the way for more personalized and interactive teaching methods. An essential contribution of this research is to show that technology can effectively solve traditional educational challenges, especially in terms of student engagement and understanding.

The methods used in this study, including a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches, provide a comprehensive view of how AR affects students and teachers in the long term. Using case studies, surveys, and inferential analysis, this study offers an evaluation method that can be applied to similar studies. This approach also provides practical insights into how AR can be integrated into school curricula.

This study has generalization limitations because the data collected only includes a few schools with good access to AR technology. The results may not fully reflect the state of affairs in schools that do not have adequate technological infrastructure. In addition, the focus of the research on one academic semester means that the long-term impact of AR on student learning outcomes still needs to be explored further.

Further research directions could include evaluating the use of AR in more schools and at different levels of education. Future research also needs to look at how AR can be implemented in schools with more limited resources and explore ways to overcome technical and operational barriers. Further research into the long-term impact of AR on students' cognitive and social development will also provide a deeper understanding of this technology.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.
Author 4: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.
Author 5: Supervision; Validation.

REFERENCES

Abe, S., Nakata, S., Kigoshi, T., & Mochizuki, H. (2003). Designing and developing multilingual e-learning materials: TUFS language education pronunciation module—Introduction of a system for learning Japanese language pronunciation. *Proc. - IEEE Int. Conf. Adv. Learn. Technol., ICALT*, 462. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT.2003.1215185

Abeywardena, I. S. (2023). OXREF: Open XR for Education Framework. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *24*(3), 185–206. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v24i3.7109

Abowitz, D. (2010). Mixed method research: Fundamental issues of design, validity, and reliability in construction research. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, *136*(1), 108–116. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000026

Adamopoulos, P. (2018). The impact of user personality traits on word of mouth: Text-mining social media platforms. *Information Systems Research*, *29*(3), 612–640. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2017.0768

Amrina, A., & Mudinillah, A. (2022). Pemanfaatan aplikasi benime sebagai penunjang pembelajaran mufrodat kelas VII MTsN Pasir Lawas. *Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan*, *11*(1), 98–110.

Andone, D., & Frydenberg, M. (2023). Students as Open Educational Resources Co-Creators in the TalkTech Project. *IEEE Learn. MOOCS, LWMOOCS - Conf. Proc.* 2023 IEEE Learning with MOOCS, LWMOOCS 2023 - Conference Proceedings. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/LWMOOCS58322.2023.10306163

Baby, R., Siby, A., Joseph, J. J., & Zacharias, P. (2023). Adopting Metaverse as a Pedagogy in Problem-Based Learning. In Garg L., Sisodia D.S., Kesswani N., Vella J.G., Brigui I., Misra S., & Singh D. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: Vol. 671 LNNS* (pp. 287–295). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-31153-6_24

Balogh R., Obdržálek D., & Christoforou E. (Eds.). (2023). 14th International Conference on Robotics in Education, RiE 2023. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, *747 LNNS*. Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85174456090&partnerID=40&md5=093219c26dbaa7c3c08b160490130741

Blease, C. (2021). Machine Learning in Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy Education: A Mixed Methods Pilot Survey of Postgraduate Students at a Swiss University. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *9*(Query date: 2022-06-20 00:07:18). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.623088

Borrego, M. (2009). Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed research methods in engineering education. *Journal of Engineering Education*, *98*(1), 53–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2009.tb01005.x

Bryman, A. (2007). Barriers to Integrating Quantitative and Qualitative Research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, *1*(1), 8–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/2345678906290531

Busch C., Steinicke M., Frieß R., & Wendler T. (Eds.). (2021). 20th European Conference on e-Learning, ECEL 2021. In *Proc. Eur. Conf. E-Learn., ECEL*. Academic Conferences and Publishing International Limited; Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85121608536&partnerID=40&md5=e2c47c1f5d6b77158f71cd6bf4505af5

Castellanos, A., & Pérez, C. (2017). New Challenge in Education: Enhancing Student's Knowledge through Augmented Reality. In *Augmented Real.: Reflections on Its Contribution to Knowl. Formation* (pp. 273–294). De Gruyter; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110497656-015

Chen, M. (2022). Small Leans into Big Steps: A Mixed-Reality Environment to Support Embodied, Ensembled Mathematics Learning. *Proc. Interact. Des. Child., IDC*, 564–568. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1145/3501712.3535294

Cochrane, T., Antonczak, L., Keegan, H., & Narayan, V. (2014). Riding the wave of BYOD: Developing a framework for creative pedagogies. *Research in Learning Technology*, *22*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3402/rlt.v22.24637

Davis K., Partridge H., & Thomas J. (Eds.). (2019). ASCILITE 2017—Conference Proceedings—34th International Conference of Innovation, Practice and Research in the Use of Educational Technologies in Tertiary Education. In *ASCILITE - Conf. Proc. - Int. Conf. Innov., Pract. Res. Use Educ. Technol. Tert. Educ.* Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education (ASCILITE); Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85072048660&partnerID=40&md5=3410ddecfba033ad8e7146b9e3919871

Dede, C. (2006). How mediated immersion shapes learning. *Proc. IEEE Virtual Real., 2006*, xiii. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/VR.2006.73

Denson, C., & Bayati, N. (2023). Augmented Botswanan Learning Experience. *ASEE Annu. Conf. Expos. Conf. Proc.* ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings. Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85172074755&partnerID=40&md5=8b0b04e00c89d6e76eea1b7c82c17a8e

Evenstein Sigalov, S., Cohen, A., & Nachmias, R. (2024). Transforming higher education: A decade of integrating wikipedia and wikidata for literacy enhancement and social impact. *Journal of Computers in Education*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-024-00334-x

Frydenberg, M., & Andone, D. (2018). Enhancing and transforming global learning communities with augmented reality. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, *29*(1), 37–44. Scopus.

Gill, A. S., Irwin, D., Long, P., Sun, L., Towey, D., Yu, W., Zhang, Y., & Zheng, Y. (2024). Enhancing learning in design for manufacturing and assembly: The effects of augmented reality and game-based learning on student's intrinsic motivation. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-11-2023-0221

Hellermann, J., & Thorne, S. L. (2022). Collaborative Mobilizations of Interbodied Communication for Cooperative Action. *Modern Language Journal*, *106*, 89–112. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12754

Hsu, K.-C., & Liu, G.-Z. (2023). The construction of a theory-based augmented reality-featured context-aware ubiquitous learning facilitation framework for oral communication development. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *39*(3), 883–898. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12792

Iqbal, M. Z., Mangina, E., & Campbell, A. G. (2022). Current Challenges and Future Research Directions in Augmented Reality for Education. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, *6*(9). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti6090075

Kaimara, P., Oikonomou, A. C., Deliyannis, I., Papadopoulou, A., Miliotis, G., Fokides, E., & Floros, A. (2021). Waking up in the morning (WUIM): A transmedia project for daily living skills training. *Technology and Disability*, *33*(2), 137–161. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3233/TAD-200326

Kee, T., Zhang, H., & King, R. B. (2024). An empirical study on immersive technology in synchronous hybrid learning in design education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *34*(3), 1243–1273. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-023-09855-5

Khambari, M. N. M. (2018). Blending gamification and augmented reality in XploreRAFE + module: Intriguing excitement and promoting collaborative learning among learners in higher education. In Rodrigo M.M.T., Yang J.-C., Wong L.-H., & Chang M. (Eds.), *ICCE - Int. Conf. Comput. Educ., Main Conf. Proc.* (pp. 625–630). Asia-Pacific Society for Computers in Education; Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85060018331&partnerID=40&md5=520cae41bd0a37a247d49557799f9b74

Lampropoulos, G., Keramopoulos, E., Diamantaras, K., & Evangelidis, G. (2022). Augmented Reality and Gamification in Education: A Systematic Literature Review of Research,

Applications, and Empirical Studies. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, *12*(13). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12136809

Lawlor, A., Smith, C., Steele, P., Johnston, E. A., & Lamppa, S. M. (2021). Virtual reality considerations for curriculum development and online instruction. In *Curric. Dev. And Online Instr. For the 21st Century* (pp. 20–37). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7653-3.ch002

Lewis, R., & Taylor-Poleskey, M. (2021). Hidden Town in 3D: Teaching and Reinterpreting Slavery Virtually at a Living History Museum. *Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage*, *14*(2). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1145/3431924

Marín-Rodriguez, W. J., Andrade-Girón, D. C., Zúñiga-Rojas, M., Susanibar-Ramirez, E. T., Calvo-Rivera, I. P., Ausejo-Sanchez, J. L., & Caro-Soto, F. G. (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Augmented Reality in Higher Education: A systematic review. *Data and Metadata*, *2*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.56294/dm2023121

Mudinillah, A. (2019). The development of interactive multimedia using Lectora Inspire application in Arabic Language learning. *Jurnal Iqra': Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, *4*(2), 285–300.

Mudinillah, A., Kuswandi, D., Erwin, E., Sugiarni, S., Winarno, W., Annajmi, A., & Hermansah, S. (2024). Optimizing Project-Based Learning in Developing 21st Century Skills: A Future Education Perspective. *Qubahan Academic Journal*, *4*(2), 86–101. https://doi.org/10.48161/qaj.v4n2a352

O'Connor, A., Seery, N., & Canty, D. (2018). The experiential domain: Developing a model for enhancing practice in D&T education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, *28*(1), 85–99. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10798-016-9378-8

Ortiz, A. (2024). From skeletons to screens: Transforming pedagogy in the age of remote learning. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Sciences*, *55*(3). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmir.2024.04.011

Petrovski, A., Djukic, A., Maric, J., & Kazak, J. (2024). Digital tools and digital pedagogy for placemaking. *Archnet-IJAR: International Journal of Architectural Research*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/ARCH-01-2024-0036

Ravna, O. V., Garcia, J., Themeli, C., & Prasolova-Førland, E. (2022). Supporting Peer-Learning with Augmented Reality in Neuroscience and Medical Education. In Uskov V.L., Howlett R.J., & Jain L.C. (Eds.), *Smart Innov. Syst. Technol.: Vol. 305 SIST* (pp. 299–308). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-3112-3_27

Rehman, Z. U. (2023). Trends and Challenges of Technology-Enhanced Learning in Geotechnical Engineering Education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(10). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15107972

Rieser, M., & Clark, S. (2013). Locative media and situated learning. *Digital Creativity*, *24*(3), 208–221. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/14626268.2013.813381

Vassigh, S., Davis, D., Behzadan, A. H., Mostafavi, A., Rashid, K., Alhaffar, H., Elias, A., & Gallardo, G. (2020). Teaching Building Sciences in Immersive Environments: A Prototype Design, Implementation, and Assessment. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research*, *16*(3), 180–196. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/15578771.2018.1525445

Wen, Y., & Looi, C.-K. (2019). Reinforce context awareness in augmented reality-based learning design. In Lund K., Niccolai G.P., Lavoue E., Hmelo-Silver C., Gweon G., & Baker M. (Eds.), *Comput. -Supported Collab. Learn. Conf., CSCL* (Vol. 2, pp. 841–842). International Society of the Learning Sciences (ISLS); Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85073352546&partnerID=40&md5=1e8e46a427c548c8fa967afb69866222