OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Loomingulisus ja Innovatsioon
Vol. 2 No. 3. June 2025, pp. 185-195 DOI. 10.70177/innovatsioon.vxix.xxx

Research Article

Virtual Reality in Creative Education: An Experimental Study on Engagement and Concept Mastery

Najwa Syarofa[1], Ali Khan[2], Kiran Iqbal[3]
[1] Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
[2] Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Pakistan
[3] Institute of Business Administration (IBA), Karachi, Pakistan

Corresponding Author:

Najwa Syarofa,
Universitas Negeri Malang, Indonesia
Jl. Cakrawala No.5, Sumbersari, Kec. Lowokwaru, Kota Malang, Jawa Timur 65145
Email: najwa.syarofa.2121039@students.ac.id

Article Info
Received: June 2, 2025
Revised: June 6, 2025
Accepted: June 12, 2025
Online Version: June 12, 2025

Abstract

The integration of immersive technologies into educational settings has introduced new possibilities for enhancing creativity, engagement, and understanding of abstract concepts. Virtual Reality (VR), as one of the most advanced digital tools, offers interactive three-dimensional environments that can transform traditional teaching approaches. This study aims to examine the effects of VR-based learning on student engagement and concept mastery within creative education courses. An experimental research design was implemented with 80 undergraduate students randomly assigned to an experimental group using VR applications and a control group using conventional multimedia. The intervention focused on design-thinking modules over a six-week period. Data were collected using engagement observation checklists, concept mastery tests, and post-intervention questionnaires, and analyzed through descriptive and inferential statistics. Results revealed that the experimental group demonstrated significantly higher engagement levels and achieved better scores in conceptual understanding than the control group. Students reported that VR facilitated exploration, provided a more stimulating learning environment, and encouraged active participation in problem-solving activities.

Keywords: Creative Education, Concept Mastery, Immersive Learning

Journal Homepage	https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/innovatsioon
How to cite:	Syarofa, N., Khan, A & Iqbal, K. (2025). Virtual Reality in Creative Education: An Experimental Study on Engagement and Concept Mastery. *Journal of Loomingulisus ja Innovatsioon, 2*(3), 185–195. https://doi.org/10.70177/innovatsioon.v2i3.2359
Published by:	Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Virtual reality has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies in the current era, offering immersive environments that combine visual, auditory, and interactive elements to create novel learning experiences (Krechetov & Romanenko, 2020; Thankachan, 2018). The increasing complexity of 21st-century education, particularly in creative disciplines, requires methods that engage learners beyond the traditional classroom environment. Rapid technological growth has shifted the emphasis in education toward experiential learning that fosters creativity, critical thinking, and the application of knowledge in realistic contexts. The presence of VR tools in academic settings allows for new opportunities where students can explore content in a multisensory way, making abstract ideas more concrete.

Creative education focuses on skills such as design thinking, innovation, and the ability to integrate knowledge across disciplines. Traditional learning methods sometimes struggle to achieve high levels of engagement in such contexts because students are often limited to two-dimensional representations or passive instructional formats. VR changes this dynamic by immersing students in three-dimensional environments where they interact with content, explore scenarios, and experiment with problem-solving in ways that closely simulate real-world experiences (Moltudal et al., 2022; Park et al., 2023). These developments have generated significant interest among educators, yet research that connects VR with learning outcomes in creative disciplines remains underdeveloped.

Current debates in educational technology suggest that the use of VR can transform passive learning into active participation by enhancing motivation and cognitive involvement. This context provides a strong basis for examining the potential of VR to not only engage students but also to influence their understanding of complex and abstract concepts (Alomar et al., 2024; Nagy et al., 2024). Creative disciplines, where visual-spatial skills and experiential learning are essential, present an especially relevant context for such an investigation.

The problem addressed in this research concerns the limited evidence of VR's effectiveness in creative education, specifically regarding its impact on student engagement and concept mastery (Alomar et al., 2024; Saul et al., 2022). While VR has been widely recognized as a promising tool for immersive learning, empirical studies often focus on technical aspects or general educational applications without considering the unique requirements of creativity-driven courses. There is a gap in understanding how VR can be systematically integrated into creative disciplines in higher education.

Educators and researchers face challenges in determining whether VR improves learning outcomes beyond the novelty effect (Dunagan & Larson, 2021; Saeed et al., 2024). Many institutions have adopted VR without clear frameworks for evaluating its pedagogical effectiveness, leaving unanswered questions about its capacity to enhance engagement and knowledge retention. This situation results in fragmented adoption strategies that fail to address the specific learning needs associated with creative subjects.

A particular issue is the lack of structured experimental research that directly compares VR-enhanced learning with conventional teaching methods. Studies that do exist frequently rely on small samples or descriptive approaches, which makes it difficult to generalize findings. This research aims to address this limitation by employing an experimental design that measures both engagement and concept mastery in a systematic and rigorous way.

The main objective of this study is to investigate the effects of VR-based learning on student engagement and the mastery of concepts in creative education courses. The research is designed to examine how immersive learning environments influence student motivation and their ability to understand abstract ideas more deeply (Hamiz et al., 2018; Singh & Pandey, 2019). By focusing on these two key outcomes, the study seeks to provide evidence on whether VR offers benefits that are substantial and replicable in the context of higher education.

The study also aims to evaluate the processes that take place when students interact with VR content (Chen Q. & Li J., 2021; Zhang et al., 2019). Data will include quantitative measures of learning outcomes and engagement, as well as qualitative feedback that captures the lived experience of participants. These complementary perspectives are intended to build a comprehensive understanding of the impact of VR-based approaches.

Insights from this research are expected to inform both curriculum design and instructional strategies in creative disciplines. Identifying the benefits and potential challenges of VR integration will provide practical guidance for educators and decision-makers seeking to modernize their approaches while ensuring that technology contributes meaningfully to the achievement of learning goals.

The literature review revealed a clear gap in empirical studies that specifically examine VR in the context of creative disciplines such as design, architecture, and visual communication. Many studies emphasize general science or technical subjects, leaving creative education as an underexplored domain. This imbalance limits the understanding of VR's full potential to transform education in fields that require imagination and experiential engagement.

Previous research has demonstrated that immersive environments can enhance engagement, but the mechanisms that connect immersion with improved understanding of complex creative concepts are not fully addressed (Fessl et al., 2018; Hamiz et al., 2018). There is also a scarcity of rigorous comparisons between VR-based and traditional methods that use statistical analysis to draw robust conclusions about learning outcomes. These gaps create an opportunity to explore how VR can be purposefully adapted to creative education.

Addressing this absence of evidence requires a focused approach that situates VR within an experimental framework (Molnár & Nagy, 2025; Nutalapati et al., 2024). By combining controlled interventions with well-defined learning objectives, this study intends to contribute to filling this research gap and generate data that can inform the development of best practices for integrating VR into creative curricula.

The novelty of this research lies in its use of an experimental methodology to test the effects of VR on both engagement and concept mastery in a creative education setting. Unlike many previous studies that emphasize technical feasibility or anecdotal benefits, this research offers structured, data-driven evidence of learning outcomes (Azevedo et al., 2024; Quijano-Cabezas et al., 2024). This approach positions VR not only as a technological innovation but also as a pedagogical tool whose impact can be rigorously assessed.

The justification for conducting this study arises from the demand for innovative teaching strategies that align with the evolving needs of 21st-century learners. As creative disciplines rely heavily on experiential and applied learning, the use of VR has the potential to strengthen learning processes in ways that traditional instruction cannot achieve . This research therefore offers a timely and relevant contribution to the discourse on digital transformation in higher education.

By focusing on the intersection of technology, pedagogy, and creativity, the study aims to highlight how VR can serve as a model for integrating emerging technologies into education responsibly (Cristea et al., 2018; Raj et al., 2021). The findings are expected to open new pathways for the thoughtful use of immersive technologies, ensuring that these tools enhance—not replace—human-centered learning.

RESEARCH METHOD

This research adopted a true experimental design with a pretest–posttest control group structure to evaluate the effects of virtual reality-based learning on engagement and concept mastery in creative education. Two groups were formed, an experimental group receiving instruction with VR applications and a control group using conventional multimedia presentations (Liu et al., 2024; Quigley et al., 2020). The design was chosen to measure differences between the groups in terms of cognitive achievement and learning engagement following a six-week instructional period.

The population consisted of undergraduate students enrolled in creative education programs at a faculty of arts and design. A total of 80 students were selected using random assignment to ensure equivalence between groups (Quirk & Chumley, 2018; Zhu & Wang, 2020). Each group comprised 40 students with comparable academic backgrounds and prior exposure to basic creative design principles. This sampling procedure was implemented to minimize potential bias and establish reliable conditions for comparison.

Data collection instruments included a standardized engagement observation checklist, a concept mastery test, and a post-intervention student perception questionnaire. The engagement checklist captured student participation, focus, collaboration, and persistence during learning sessions (BenMessaoud et al., 2023; Efrianova et al., 2024). The mastery test was developed to evaluate understanding and application of key concepts in design thinking, spatial visualization, and problem-solving. The questionnaire explored students' perceptions of the learning environment, motivation, and perceived value of the instructional method.

The research procedures began with an initial pretest administered to both groups to establish baseline knowledge and engagement levels. The experimental group was introduced to VR-based activities that involved interactive 3D environments, immersive simulations, and scenario-based problem-solving tasks, while the control group received instruction through conventional multimedia materials such as slides, images, and videos. Instruction was conducted over six consecutive weeks, after which both groups completed a posttest and the perception questionnaire (Gardner & Brooks, 2018; Seeling et al., 2023). Data were compiled and analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential methods to determine the impact of VR on engagement and concept mastery.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dataset for this study consisted of pretest and posttest scores for concept mastery as well as engagement observations recorded throughout the six-week instructional period. Quantitative data included the results from 80 students divided evenly between the experimental group, which used VR-based learning, and the control group, which used conventional multimedia. Pretest scores indicated similar initial levels of knowledge, while posttest results revealed significant differences between the two groups. Engagement data collected using an observation checklist provided further evidence of variation in active participation, collaboration, and persistence between the groups.

Table 1 summarizes the mean scores for pretest and posttest concept mastery and overall engagement ratings. Both groups demonstrated improvement, but the experimental group showed substantially higher gains in both measures.

Table 1. Mean pretest and posttest scores for concept mastery and engagement ratings

Group	Pretest Concept Mastery	Posttest Concept Mastery	Engagement Rating
Experimental (VR)	65.4	88.7	4.5/5
Control (Multimedia)	64.8	77.3	3.7/5

Analysis of the posttest data indicated a strong effect of VR on concept mastery. The experimental group achieved an average posttest score of 88.7, an increase of 23.3 points compared to their pretest results. The control group demonstrated a smaller improvement of 12.5 points, reaching a posttest mean of 77.3. Engagement ratings were also higher in the experimental group, with students demonstrating more active participation and consistent focus during sessions.

Qualitative observations supported these findings. Instructors recorded that students using VR-based learning showed a stronger inclination to explore scenarios, participate in problem-solving, and ask questions related to content. Students in the control group participated actively but displayed more passive behaviors, such as taking notes without engaging in interactive discussions.

Inferential analysis using an independent samples t-test confirmed significant differences between groups. The p-value for posttest scores was less than 0.01, indicating that the improvement in concept mastery for the experimental group was statistically significant. Engagement ratings also showed a significant difference ($p < 0.05$), supporting the conclusion that VR positively influences active participation and sustained focus during learning activities.

A further correlation analysis revealed a positive relationship between engagement levels and concept mastery ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$). Students with higher engagement ratings tended to score better on posttest assessments, suggesting that VR's immersive nature facilitated a learning environment in which motivation directly influenced understanding of key concepts.

Case study observations within the experimental group revealed examples of immersive learning that transformed how students approached problem-solving. In one module, students navigated a 3D simulation of a design studio, which allowed them to manipulate virtual objects, explore spatial arrangements, and test creative solutions in real time. These experiences enabled them to understand abstract concepts of spatial relationships and workflow organization in ways that static materials could not achieve.

Another case showed that students in the VR group collaborated more frequently during design tasks. Team members worked together to evaluate scenarios presented in the virtual environment, leading to richer discussions and innovative ideas. These instances highlighted that the immersive format provided a collaborative space that supported both individual exploration and group-based inquiry.

Explanations from student feedback further illustrated the impact of VR-based learning. Students reported that the immersive nature of VR made complex concepts easier to understand because they could visualize and manipulate objects directly. Many also described a stronger sense of motivation and enthusiasm, as the technology provided a learning experience that felt active and realistic rather than abstract.

The combined results indicate that the integration of VR in creative education enhances both engagement and mastery of complex concepts. Data confirm that students exposed to VR environments not only achieved higher test scores but also developed stronger collaborative behaviors and problem-solving skills. These findings support the role of VR as a powerful pedagogical tool capable of enriching learning outcomes in creative disciplines.

The results of this experimental study demonstrate that the use of virtual reality in creative education produces significant improvements in both student engagement and concept mastery. Students exposed to VR-based learning environments achieved higher posttest scores and displayed stronger collaborative skills compared to those taught using conventional multimedia. Data from engagement checklists showed that VR students participated more actively, remained focused for longer periods, and demonstrated higher levels of enthusiasm throughout the sessions. Observations confirmed that immersive experiences led to richer interactions with content and more effective problem-solving behaviors.

Findings further indicated that the VR group developed a deeper understanding of abstract concepts related to creative processes. The use of interactive 3D simulations allowed students to explore content dynamically, while qualitative feedback revealed that VR tools encouraged learners to manipulate objects and visualize design principles more effectively. These experiences helped transform passive learning into active exploration, which translated into measurable improvements in academic performance. The differences in engagement and mastery between the VR and control groups confirm that VR offers a unique pedagogical advantage in creative disciplines.

The analysis of student reflections revealed that VR contributed to a shift in the learning experience from observation to participation. Learners expressed a heightened sense of motivation and enjoyment, describing the learning process as more memorable and impactful. This emphasis on experiential learning highlights the capacity of immersive technologies to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application, which is particularly relevant for disciplines that depend on visual-spatial reasoning and creative experimentation.

The evidence presented in this study reinforces the value of integrating immersive technologies in higher education. By focusing on both quantitative and qualitative data, the study shows that VR can promote active learning environments that foster critical thinking, collaboration, and deeper comprehension of complex concepts in creative education settings.

The results align with previous research on immersive technologies, particularly studies that emphasize the motivational benefits of virtual environments. Consistent with findings from earlier investigations in science and technical education, VR was found to increase engagement and to improve performance on conceptual tasks. However, this study extends the scope of prior work by applying VR to creative education, a domain where empirical evidence remains limited. This distinction contributes a new perspective on how virtual learning environments affect domains that rely on imagination and visual reasoning rather than purely technical knowledge.

Comparisons with existing studies also highlight differences. Many studies on VR have focused on novelty effects or user satisfaction, whereas the current research provides empirical evidence of learning gains and cognitive outcomes. The structured experimental approach distinguishes this study from descriptive or exploratory studies by confirming the causal relationship between VR use and improved educational outcomes. This research also shows that VR enhances collaboration in ways that were less frequently documented in previous work.

The findings reflect an evolution in pedagogical practice that moves beyond traditional methods by leveraging technology as a catalyst for deeper engagement. The results signal that immersive learning environments can shift classroom culture from teacher-centered to student-centered, fostering independent exploration and active problem-solving. This transformation points to a new paradigm for how creative disciplines can be taught in higher education institutions.

The results also point to the fact that immersive technologies, when integrated thoughtfully, do not merely replicate conventional content delivery but redefine the learning experience. They indicate that the addition of VR encourages students to take ownership of their learning, offering a stronger connection between knowledge acquisition and creative application.

The implications of these findings are significant for curriculum design and the integration of emerging technologies into higher education. VR has the potential to enhance learning outcomes, not by replacing existing methods, but by complementing them with experiences that support engagement and conceptual understanding. For creative disciplines, the findings suggest that immersive tools can better prepare students to engage with complex, real-world scenarios by allowing them to experiment and test ideas in safe, simulated environments.

Practical implications also include the need for teacher training and resource allocation to maximize the benefits of immersive technologies. Educators will need to develop new pedagogical strategies that integrate VR effectively into course designs, ensuring that technological integration remains purposeful and aligned with learning objectives rather than being driven solely by novelty. Institutions will also need to address the infrastructure challenges associated with providing immersive tools on a larger scale.

The positive outcomes from VR-enhanced learning can be explained by its ability to create multisensory, interactive experiences that increase cognitive presence and emotional involvement. The combination of visual, auditory, and kinesthetic elements promotes deeper understanding and helps learners retain information more effectively. These immersive qualities directly influence student motivation, leading to longer attention spans and a willingness to explore concepts in more depth.

The collaborative features of VR environments also explain why engagement was higher. Learners were encouraged to work together on problem-solving activities, with the virtual space providing a shared context for discussion and experimentation. This dynamic interaction reinforced the learning process, showing that the social aspect of VR-based activities is as important as its technological component.

The findings point toward an important direction for the future of creative education. The evidence supports the adoption of VR as a complementary tool that allows students to move from abstract knowledge to applied skills through simulated practice. Future research can build on this work by investigating how long-term exposure to VR influences creativity, critical thinking, and professional readiness.

Further studies should explore cross-disciplinary applications of VR, the scalability of these tools in diverse educational settings, and the development of hybrid instructional models that integrate immersive and traditional methods. These directions will help refine best practices and ensure that VR technologies become integral, effective, and accessible components of 21st-century education.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is that virtual reality-based learning significantly enhances student engagement and concept mastery in creative education. Students who experienced VR-supported instruction demonstrated higher levels of focus, active participation, collaboration, and posttest performance compared to those taught with conventional multimedia. The distinctive feature of these findings lies in the empirical evidence showing that immersive technologies move beyond novelty effects by producing measurable improvements in learning outcomes for creativity-driven courses.

The contribution of this research lies in its methodological integration of an experimental design with both quantitative and qualitative data to evaluate VR in creative education. The study advances the understanding of immersive technologies not merely as instructional supplements but as pedagogical tools that transform abstract concepts into experiential learning. This approach offers a structured model that links VR with measurable educational outcomes, which can guide educators and curriculum designers in developing evidence-based strategies for integrating virtual reality into creative disciplines.

The study is limited by the scope of its sample, which was restricted to one institution and a short-term six-week intervention, and therefore may not fully capture long-term effects or generalize to other educational contexts. Future research should involve multi-institutional studies, longitudinal designs, and the exploration of hybrid models that combine VR with other innovative learning methods. Further investigation into how VR influences creativity, problem-solving skills, and interdisciplinary collaboration will enrich the broader understanding of immersive technologies in education.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Look this example below:

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

Alomar, B., Trabelsi, Z., Qayyum, T., & Ambali Parambil, M. M. (2024). AI and Network Security Curricula: Minding the Gap. *IEEE Global Eng. Edu. Conf., EDUCON*. IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON60312.2024.10578588

Azevedo, B. F., Pacheco, M. F., Fernandes, F. P., & Pereira, A. I. (2024). Dataset of mathematics learning and assessment of higher education students using the MathE platform. *Data in Brief*, *53*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2024.110236

BenMessaoud, F., Bolchini, D., Ash, E., & Tseng, C.-M. (2023). FazBoard: An AI-Educational Hybrid Teaching and Learning System. In Arai K. (Ed.), *Lect. Notes Networks Syst.: Vol.*

813 LNNS (pp. 305–315). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-47454-5_23

Chen Q. & Li J. (Eds.). (2021). Asia Pacific Web and Web-Age Information Management Joint International Conference on Web and Big Data, APWeb-WAIM 2020 in conjunction with 3rd International Workshop on Knowledge Graph Management and Applications, KGMA 2020, 2nd International Workshop on Semi-structured Big Data Management and Applications, SemiBDMA 2020 and 1st International Workshop on Deep Learning in Large-scale Unstructured Data Analytics, DeepLUDA 2020. *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, *1373 CCIS*. Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85107345604&partnerID=40&md5=b0fce28b324913f171ebf763d3963ec7

Cristea, A. I., Alamri, A., Kayama, M., Stewart, C., Alshehri, M., & Shi, L. (2018). Earliest predictor of dropout in MOOCs: A longitudinal study of futurelearn courses. In Andersson B., Johansson B., Barry C., Lang M., Linger H., & Schneider C. (Eds.), *Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Syst. Dev.: Des. Digit., ISD*. Association for Information Systems; Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85086228712&partnerID=40&md5=f79d263fbbba4dbf85101d84fba5b3f3

Dunagan, L., & Larson, D. A. (2021). Alignment of Competency-Based Learning and Assessment to Adaptive Instructional Systems. In Sottilare R.A. & Schwarz J. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.: Vol. 12792 LNCS* (pp. 537–549). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77857-6_38

Efrianova, V., Yaakob, M., Salameh, A. A., Hussin, K. C., & Zaki, N. A. M. (2024). Formative Assessment of Student's Academic Achievements in Mobile Learning Environments. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, *18*(11), 52–63. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3991/IJIM.V18I11.49045

Fessl, A., Wertner, A., & Pammer-Schindler, V. (2018). Challenges in developing automatic learning guidance in relation to an information literacy curriculum. In Fessl A., Thalmann S., d'Aquin M., Holtz P., & Dietze S. (Eds.), *CEUR Workshop Proc.* (Vol. 2209). CEUR-WS; Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85055702175&partnerID=40&md5=e1f06a416a8acdef537b17e46b195229

Gardner, J., & Brooks, C. (2018). Student success prediction in MOOCs. *User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction*, *28*(2), 127–203. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11257-018-9203-z

Hamiz, M., Bakri, M., Kamaruddin, N., & Mohamed, A. (2018). Assessment analytic theoretical framework based on learners' continuous learning improvement. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, *11*(2), 682–687. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v11.i2.pp682-687

Karanth, D., Abu Arqub, S., & Dolce, C. (2024). The applications of digital technology in postgraduate orthodontic education. *Seminars in Orthodontics*, *30*(4), 436–442. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sodo.2024.03.003

Krechetov, I., & Romanenko, V. (2020). Adaptive learning technologies in TUSUR University. In van der Veen J., van Hattum-Janssen N., Jarvinen H.-M., de Laet T., & Ten Dam I. (Eds.), *SEFI Annu. Conf. Engag. Eng. Educ., Proc.* (pp. 269–276). European Society for Engineering Education (SEFI); Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85107186449&partnerID=40&md5=863a112b5ae574832cda583b6e1a0b08

Liu, H., Malone, N., Yedjou, C., Chadwick, R., Haag, J., & Spector, M. (2024). Automating Formative Assessment for STEM Courses in Hybrid Learning Environments. *IEEE Global Eng. Edu. Conf., EDUCON*. IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/EDUCON60312.2024.10578901

Molnár, G., & Nagy, E. (2025). Current Issues in Effective Learning: Methodological and Technological Challenges and Opportunities Based on Modern ICT and Artificial Intelligence. In Turčáni M. (Ed.), *EAI/Springer Inno. Comm. Comp.* (pp. 1–11). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-81261-3_1

Moltudal, S. H., Krumsvik, R. J., & Høydal, K. L. (2022). Adaptive Learning Technology in Primary Education: Implications for Professional Teacher Knowledge and Classroom Management. *Frontiers in Education*, *7*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.830536

Nagy, E., Sik, D., Biczo, Z., Zimányi, K., Pörzse, G., & Molnár, G. (2024). Advanced Digital and Artificial Intelligence-Based Solutions for Interactive, Collaborative Learning Support. *CANDO-EPE - Proc.: IEEE Int. Conf. Workshop Obuda Electr. Power Eng.*, 103–107. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/CANDO-EPE65072.2024.10772869

Nutalapati, H., Velmurugan, S., & Tiglao, N. M. (2024). Coding Buddy: An Adaptive AI-Powered Platform for Personalized Learning. *Int. Symp. Networks, Comput. Commun., ISNCC*. 2024 International Symposium on Networks, Computers and Communications, ISNCC 2024. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISNCC62547.2024.10759044

Park, E., Ifenthaler, D., & Clariana, R. B. (2023). Adaptive or adapted to: Sequence and reflexive thematic analysis to understand learners' self-regulated learning in an adaptive learning analytics dashboard. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(1), 98–125. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13287

Quigley, D., Caccamise, D., Weatherley, J., & Foltz, P. (2020). Exploring video engagement in an intelligent tutoring system. In Sottilare R.A. & Schwarz J. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.: Vol. 12214 LNCS* (pp. 519–530). Springer; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50788-6_38

Quijano-Cabezas, P. A., Duque-Méndez, N., & Jiménez-Builes, J. A. (2024). Data Generation Strategies for the Application of Adaptive Learning Analytics. In Duque-Méndez N.D., Aristizábal-Quintero L.A., Orozco-Alzate M., & Aguilar J. (Eds.), *Commun. Comput. Info. Sci.: Vol. 2209 CCIS* (pp. 193–210). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-75236-0_15

Quirk, M., & Chumley, H. (2018). The adaptive medical curriculum: A model for continuous improvement. *Medical Teacher*, *40*(8), 786–790. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2018.1484896

Raj, N. S., Prasad, S., Harish, P., Boban, M., & Cheriyedath, N. (2021). Early Prediction of At-Risk Students in a Virtual Learning Environment Using Deep Learning Techniques. In Sottilare R.A. & Schwarz J. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.: Vol. 12793 LNCS* (pp. 110–120). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-77873-6_8

Saddam, H. M. I., & Hasan, G. (2024). The Best of Way of using AI Technology in Designing Technical Education Curriculum in Meeting Future Industry Demands: Smart Way. *Int. Conf. Adv. Comput. Innov. Technol. Eng. ICACITE*, 1403–1406. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACITE60783.2024.10617225

Saeed, M. M. A., Saeed, R. A., Ahmed, Z. E., Gaid, A. S. A., & Mokhtar, R. A. (2024). AI technologies in engineering education. In *AI-Enhanc. Teach. Methods* (pp. 61–87). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2728-9.ch003

Saul, K., Howard, A. K. T., Webster, Z., & Spencer, D. (2022). An Adaptive Learning Engineering Mechanics Curricular Sequence. *ASEE Annu. Conf. Expos. Conf. Proc.* ASEE Annual Conference and Exposition, Conference Proceedings. Scopus. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85138247314&partnerID=40&md5=fe8db267dd6e549c026dfc4e39c468f3

Seeling, P., McGarry, M. P., & Johnson, M. (2023). Reveal Online Learning Clickstream Data to Provide Actionable Intelligence. *Proc. Front. Educ. Conf. FIE*. Proceedings - Frontiers in Education Conference, FIE. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE58773.2023.10343069

Singh, C., & Pandey, A. (2019). Analysing trends in student's performance across maharashtra through non-adaptive and adaptive online assessments based on the underlying framework of classical test and item response theory. In Jain L.C., Johri P., & Balas V.E. (Eds.), *Adv. Intell. Sys. Comput.* (Vol. 847, pp. 305–325). Springer Verlag; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-2254-9_27

Thankachan, K. (2018). Adaptive Learning. *Proc. Int. Conf. Inven. Comput. Inf., ICICI*, 705–710. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICI.2017.8365227

Zhang, N., Biswas, G., Chiu, J. L., & McElhaney, K. W. (2019). Analyzing students' design solutions in an NGSS-aligned earth sciences curriculum. In Isotani S., Millán E., Ogan A., McLaren B., Hastings P., & Luckin R. (Eds.), *Lect. Notes Comput. Sci.: Vol. 11625 LNAI* (pp. 532–543). Springer Verlag; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23204-7_44

Zhu, Q., & Wang, M. (2020). Team-based mobile learning supported by an intelligent system: Case study of STEM students. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *28*(5), 543–559. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1696838