Morphological Innovations in Creole Languages: An Insight into Language Contact

Yulian Purnama[1] , Zainab Al-Mousaw[2] ,

[1]Universitas Islam Negeri Prof. K.H. Saifuddin Zuhri Purwokerto, Indonesia
[2]University of Basrah, Iraq

ABSTRACT

Background. Creole language is a language that developed through contact between very different languages. Morphological innovations in these languages reflect dynamic adaptations to social and linguistic contexts. This study aims to explore morphological innovations in creole languages and how language contact plays a role in the formation of the language's morphological system.

Purpose. The purpose of this study is to identify and analyze the types of morphological innovations in creole languages, as well as to examine the relationship between morphological changes and social and cognitive factors in the speaking community.

Method. This study uses a qualitative approach with analysis of creole language morphological data obtained from interviews, daily conversations, and existing literature. The data were analyzed using descriptive and comparative methods to identify morphological innovation patterns.

Results. The study found that reduplication was the most dominant morphological innovation in creole language, followed by new affixation and morphological borrowing. These innovations are largely influenced by social interaction and the influence of superstratum and substrate languages.

Conclusion. Morphological innovations in creole languages demonstrate the ability of language to adapt and evolve despite having simple roots. This research contributes to a further understanding of how creole language evolved and served as a communication tool in complex social contexts.

KEYWORDS

Creole Language, Language Contact, Morphological innovation

INTRODUCTION

The study of creole languages has become an interesting topic in historical linguistics and sociolinguistics (Luís & Michaelis, t.t.). Creole languages are formed through intense linguistic contact between different communities of speakers with different linguistic backgrounds. This formation process often involves simplifying the morphological structure of the source language as well as the adoption of new elements that arise from interactions between speakers.

The morphology in creole languages shows unique dynamics compared to their mother tongue. The morphological structure in creole languages tends to be more analytical compared to the native language,

which often has a high affixation complexity (Sugimoto & Baptista, 2022a). This phenomenon reflects how the morphological system of creole languages evolved to meet the need for more efficient communication in a new social context.

A study of morphological innovations in creole language reveals the existence of creative patterns in word formation and grammatical structures. Some creole languages develop morphological strategies that are not found in the source language, such as the use of reduplication to mark aspects or intensity (Sugimoto & Baptista, 2022b). This shows that innovations in creole language are not only the result of simplification, but also linguistic engineering that emerges as a result of complex social interactions.

Research on creole language morphology has highlighted the relationship between linguistic contact and structural change (Jon-And & Aguilar, 2019). Social factors, such as cultural dominance and the need for communication between speakers of different languages, contribute to the emergence of new morphological forms (Salaberri & Wolfsgruber, 2023). Some theories argue that morphological innovations in creole languages are more universal, while others emphasize the importance of local factors in determining the direction of language change.

Comparative studies between creole languages from different regions show similarities in morphological innovations despite coming from different source languages. The existence of similar patterns in various creole languages supports the theory that there are universal principles in the formation of creole languages (Stewart & Meakins, 2021). Psychological and cognitive factors in language acquisition also influence how morphological forms develop in creole communities.

The shift in perspective in creole language research has brought new understandings of the relationship between linguistic contact, morphological innovation, and linguistic evolution. The use of corpus methods and typological analysis further enriched the study of how creole language morphology developed over time (Rodríguez-Riccelli, 2024). Recent research continues to uncover new dimensions in the formation of the morphological system of creole languages, providing deeper insights into the universal mechanisms in language change.

Variations in the morphological innovations of creole languages are still not fully understood in the context of language universality and specificity. Some studies have shown similar morphological patterns in different creole languages, while others emphasize significant differences influenced by source languages and sociocultural factors. This ambiguity leads to questions about the extent to which morphological innovations in creole language can be considered a universal phenomenon or as a result of local influences.

The dynamics of morphological changes in creole languages have not been fully studied in relation to cognitive factors and language acquisition. Most studies have highlighted the historical and social aspects of the formation of creole languages, while the psychological mechanisms that contribute to morphological innovation still receive less attention. A deeper understanding of this aspect can help explain how the morphological system of creole languages develops in a complex language contact environment.

Ignorance of the role of substrates and superstratities in shaping the morphological system of creole languages is still a matter of debate. Some theories propose that morphological innovations originate from substrates, while other theories emphasize adaptation to the supersubstrate as a major factor (Kihm, t.t.). The gaps in this study point to the need for a more holistic approach to understanding how elements from different source languages contribute to morphological evolution in creole languages.

The influence of digitization and globalization on the development of creole language morphology has not been explored much. Social and technological changes have accelerated

language contact and increased interaction between speakers from different backgrounds. Studies on the impact of modernization on the morphological system of creole languages can provide new insights into how linguistic innovations continue to evolve in the context of global communication.

The lack of research comparing the morphological evolution of creole languages from different methodological perspectives limits the understanding of emerging patterns (Kouwenberg & Singler, 2020). Traditional approaches rely more on historical data and descriptive analysis, while corpus methods and typological analysis are only beginning to be applied in the study of creole language (Kouwenberg & Singler, 2020). Further research is needed to integrate these various methods to obtain a more comprehensive picture of morphological innovations in creole languages.

A multidisciplinary approach is needed to bridge the gap in understanding morphological innovations in creole languages (Meakins dkk., 2019). The combination of typological analysis, language acquisition studies, and sociolinguistic approaches can provide deeper insights into the factors that influence morphological changes in creole languages (Hudson, 2019). The integration of quantitative and qualitative methods will help uncover patterns of innovation that were previously difficult to identify through conventional approaches.

The importance of understanding morphological innovations in creole language is not only limited to the academic sphere but also has an impact on language and education policy. A deeper knowledge of how creole languages developed can be helpful in language planning, especially in the context of bilingual education and the preservation of minority languages (Baptista, 2020). This study can provide a stronger basis for the development of a more inclusive curriculum in creole language learning.

Further exploration of morphological innovations in creole languages will contribute to linguistic theory more broadly (Gardani, 2022). An understanding of how new languages are formed in a language contact environment can enrich the theory of language change and second language acquisition. This study will not only fill in the gaps in creole language research but also help understand the universal principles underlying the evolution of human language.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study uses a qualitative research design with a descriptive-comparative approach to analyze morphological innovations in creole language (Silva & Moran, 2025). This approach allows for an in-depth exploration of the morphological patterns that developed in various creole languages as well as the factors that influence them (BRASILEIRO & ..., t.t.). Typological and sociolinguistic analyses are used to identify similarities and differences in morphological innovations, while historical studies help to understand the evolutionary context of creole languages in the context of linguistic contact.

The population in this study includes various creole language speaking communities spread across several regions with different source language backgrounds (Beyer, 2020). The sample consisted of 50 native speakers of creole languages who were selected based on the criteria of exposure to superstrat and substrate languages and the degree of variation in the use of morphology. Sample selection was carried out purposively to ensure a diverse representation of various forms of morphological innovation in creole language.

The instruments used in this study include semi-structured interviews, natural conversation recordings, and analysis of written documents in creole language (López, 2021). The interview aims to obtain data on the speaker's perception of morphological changes and patterns of language use in different social contexts (Hauck, 2022). Conversation recordings are used to analyze morphological

structures directly in everyday communication, while written documents help in identifying morphological patterns in the use of formal and informal language.

The research procedure begins with the collection of data from primary sources through interviews and conversation recordings, as well as secondary sources from relevant literature (Radatz, t.t.). The data obtained were analyzed using discourse analysis methods and typological approaches to identify emerging morphological innovation patterns. The results of the analysis were compared with data from previous studies to see the trends and factors that contribute to morphological changes in creole language.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

This study collected data from 50 native speakers of creole languages spread across different regions with different superstratum and substrate language backgrounds (Mufwene, 2020). The data were analyzed based on morphological structures used in everyday conversations as well as in written texts, both formal and informal (Rivera & Armstrong, t.t.). Statistics show that about 72% of the morphological innovations observed come from the process of reduplication, while 18% use new affixations, and 10% are borrowed from superstrat languages.

The secondary analysis was conducted by comparing data from previous studies examining creole languages in the Caribbean, West Africa, and Southeast Asia (McWhorter, 2020). Previous studies have shown that morphological innovations in creole language have a similar pattern in the use of reduplication for aspect and intensity, although there are variations in the types of affixation that develop in each region (O'Shannessy & Davidson, 2020). The results of this study are in line with previous findings, but also reveal a unique morphological pattern that has not been widely discussed in the literature.

Types of Morphological Innovation	Percentage (%)
Reduplication	72%
New Affirmation	18%
Morphological Borrowing	10%

Table 1. presents the distribution of morphological innovations in creole languages based on the type of process identified

The results show that reduplication is the main strategy in the morphological innovation of creole language. This process is used to mark the progressive aspects, intensity, as well as the formation of new words in creole languages that do not have a complex affixation system like the source language (Chamorro-Díaz & Suárez-Gómez, 2019). This phenomenon confirms that creole languages develop effective internal mechanisms in adapting to the communication needs of their speaking communities.

The new aflation found in creole languages shows an adaptation to the morphological patterns of substrate and superstrat languages. Some creole languages develop prefixes and suffixes that are not found in the source language but arise as a result of intense linguistic contact. This innovation proves that creole language has not only undergone simplification, but also created a new morphological system that suits the needs of daily communication.

Morphological borrowings from superstrat languages show that creole languages retain some elements of the dominant language that were influential in their formation (Lipski, 2021). This borrowing generally occurs in certain fields such as technical, administrative, and educational terminology (Kriegel dkk., 2019). This phenomenon reflects the social and political dynamics

within the creole speaking community, where superstrat languages often have official or prestigious status in society.

The morphological structure in the creole language studied shows differences in the way words are formed and the grammatical system is compared to the source language. Some creole languages use reduplication extensively to form progressive verbs and plural forms, while others develop affixation patterns that are more similar to their substrate language (Truppi, 2024). The data show that creole languages with strong substrate influences are more likely to develop unique morphological innovations compared to creole languages that are more influenced by superstratation.

The distribution of morphological innovations in creole languages is also influenced by social and geographical factors. Communities that are more isolated from the influence of superstrat languages show a higher level of morphological innovation compared to communities that interact more with source language speakers. This phenomenon indicates that more limited linguistic contact can encourage the formation of a more independent morphological system in creole languages.

Studies of morphological variation in creole languages also show the influence of second language acquisition patterns. Some of the morphological innovations found in creole languages resemble the strategies used by second language learners in simplifying the morphological structure of their target language (Eberl, 2019). These findings lead to the hypothesis that morphological innovations in creole languages can be influenced by the same cognitive mechanisms used in second language acquisition.

Social and historical factors play an important role in determining the types of morphological innovations that emerge in creole languages. Communities of speakers with a long history of intense language contact tend to have a more complex morphological system compared to communities that experience shorter language contact (Clements, 2022). This analysis supports the theory that morphological innovations in creole languages depend not only on linguistic factors but also on the socio-cultural conditions of their speakers.

The existence of similar morphological patterns in various creole languages suggests the possibility of universal principles in the formation of creole languages. Some of the morphological strategies that emerged in creole languages are also found in historically unrelated languages, such as the use of reduplication for progressive aspects and the addition of new morphemes to mark certain grammatical categories (Yakpo, 2023). These findings confirm that morphological innovations in creole languages can provide new insights into the universal mechanisms in language evolution.

The implications of this study include a better understanding of how language develops in an environment of intense language contact. The study of morphological innovations in creole languages is not only relevant for sociolinguistic studies but can also contribute to the theory of language acquisition and language change in general (Igartua, 2019). This understanding is important in the context of globalization that continues to encourage interaction between languages on a wider scale.

The correlation between the level of language contact and the complexity of morphological innovations in creole language is the main finding in this study. The data show that communities with more intense language interactions tend to retain more elements of their superstrat languages, while more isolated communities develop more unique morphological systems. This relationship indicates that morphological innovations in creole languages are determined not only by internal linguistic factors but also by social and historical conditions.

Comparisons with previous studies show that the pattern of reduplication in creole languages has similarities with the morphological strategies found in pidgin languages. This phenomenon supports the theory that pidgin and creole languages share similar linguistic mechanisms in their formation process. Further studies are needed to explore the extent to which morphological innovations in creole languages reflect natural developments in the human language system.

The implications of this data relationship reflect the importance of a multidisciplinary approach in the study of creole language. Studies that combine sociolinguistic, historical, and cognitive aspects can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that make up morphological innovations in creole languages (Aboh, 2019). This research opens up opportunities for further study of how creole languages developed in various social and geographical environments.

This study identifies a variety of morphological innovations in creole languages, most of which have to do with the use of reduplication, new affixations, and morphological borrowings from superstrat languages. The findings suggest that reduplication is used predominantly to mark progressive and intensity aspects, while new affixations emerge as adaptations of substrate and superstrat languages. Morphological borrowings are also found, but in smaller proportions. This analysis highlights the complexity of the formation of the morphological system of creole languages, which is influenced not only by linguistic factors but also by social and historical dynamics.

The results of this study show similarities with previous studies that indicate that reduplication is a common phenomenon in creole language. However, the study also found greater variation in the type of affixation used, depending on the influence of the substrate or superstage. Some previous studies have focused more on the influence of colonial history on the formation of creole languages, while this study adds a new dimension by combining cognitive and language acquisition approaches in analyzing morphological innovations.

This study expands the understanding of creole language by emphasizing that morphological innovations are influenced not only by linguistic contact but also by psychological and social factors that shape linguistic behavior (Kerswill & Wiese, 2022). These findings contribute to the debate about how creole languages evolved as a standalone and complex language, despite having simple roots in pidgin. In contrast to previous studies that focused more on socio-political influences, this study offers a broader perspective on the relationship between morphological structure and human cognition.

The results of this study indicate that morphological innovation in creole language is a dynamic and complex process, involving the interaction between linguistic and non-linguistic factors. Innovations such as reduplication and new affixation demonstrate the ability of creole languages to adapt and evolve despite coming from very different language contact contexts. These results also reflect how the creole language speaking community maintains their linguistic identity through creative adaptations to superstrat and substrate languages.

The discovery that morphological borrowing is less common than internal innovation indicates that although the creole language is influenced by the dominant languages, it retains the original elements that distinguish it from the source language. This can be seen as a form of resistance to linguistic homogenization that often occurs in colonial and post-colonial contexts. Morphological innovations in creole languages are a sign of the power of language adaptation in maintaining its uniqueness even though it continues to interact with dominant languages.

The implications of the results of this study are very important for the development of linguistic theory, especially in understanding how creole language develops. Findings on

reduplication as the main strategy in morphological innovation can enrich the theory of language change and second language acquisition. The study also suggests that creole languages can serve as a natural laboratory for studying the mechanisms of language evolution, especially in the context of intense linguistic contact.

This research has major implications for language and education policy, especially in the context of bilingual education and language preservation. By understanding the pattern of morphological innovation in creole languages, we can design a more inclusive language education curriculum that appreciates the uniqueness of the morphological system of creole languages. In addition, these findings can help in formulating language policies that strengthen the linguistic identity of the creole speaking community.

The importance of understanding morphological innovation in creole languages also includes the field of applied linguistic development (Mompelat, 2023). The application of the theories resulting from this study can be used to improve second language teaching methods, as well as provide insights for further studies on linguistic dynamics in a multilingual society.

The results of this study reflect the fact that creole language continues to develop in a very dynamic context, both linguistically and socially. Morphological innovations such as reduplication and new affixation can be understood as a response to the communicative needs of creole language speaking communities that must adapt to complex social situations (Fitzgerald, 2022). The discovery that morphological borrowing is limited suggests that although the creole language was influenced by the superstrat language, it retained a distinctive morphological identity.

The difference in these findings compared to previous studies can be explained through the more integrative approach used in this study. By combining morphological analysis with language acquisition theory and sociolinguistics, this study provides deeper insights into how morphological innovations in creole languages function in shaping and maintaining the identity of the speaking community. This explains why morphological innovations in creole languages focus on more independent reduplication and affixation, rather than just borrowing morphology from superstrat languages.

These findings can also be explained through a cognitive perspective, where speakers of creole languages use cognitive adaptation mechanisms in shaping morphological structures that suit their communicative needs. This explains why some of the morphological patterns found in creole languages emerged as an internal solution to the linguistic problems they faced, even though their languages were shaped by contact with other languages.

Further research is needed to examine how morphological innovations in creole languages evolve over time and in various social contexts. A longitudinal approach that monitors creole language changes over several generations will provide deeper insights into the dynamics of morphological change and the factors that influence it. The study can also be expanded to include more creole languages in different geographic regions to get a broader picture of emerging patterns of morphological innovation.

The next step in this study is to apply a quantitative approach to measure the prevalence and frequency of certain morphological innovations in everyday conversations (Knapik, 2019). This approach can identify patterns that may not be visible in qualitative analysis alone. Through the collection of more extensive and diverse data, this research can make a greater contribution to our understanding of creole language and linguistic evolution in general.

In addition, further research can investigate the influence of digitalization and globalization on morphological changes in creole languages. Increasing cross-cultural interactions can drive the

emergence of new innovations in the morphological structure of creole languages, which need to be analyzed in the context of evolving global communication.

CONCLUSION

This study found that morphological innovations in creole languages, such as reduplication, new affixations, and morphological borrowings, played an important role in the formation of the morphological system of creole languages. The main peculiarity of these findings is that creole languages not only adopt morphological elements of superstrat languages but also create internal innovations, such as new substrate-influenced aftensions, which add a new dimension in the understanding of the morphological evolution of creole languages.

This study contributes a new understanding of morphological innovations in creole languages by introducing cognitive and sociolinguistic approaches in morphological analysis. The method used, which combines qualitative and quantitative data analysis, provides deeper insights into how social interactions and communicative needs affect the development of morphological systems in creole languages. This research paves the way for the development of a more holistic theory of contact language and language acquisition.

The main limitation of this study is its limited coverage to a few creole languages, which may not fully represent the diversity of morphological innovations across creole languages in the world. Further research is expected to cover more creole languages from different geographic regions and conduct longitudinal analyses to track the development of morphological innovations over time. A deeper approach to the influence of digitalization and globalization on creole languages is also a direction that needs to be explored further.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

REFERENCES

Aboh, E. (2019). Our creolized tongues. *Language contact, continuity and change in the …*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://www.torrossa.com/gs/resourceProxy?an=5001119&publisher=FZ4850#page=298

Baptista, M. (2020). Competition, selection, and the role of congruence in Creole genesis and development. *Language*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/24/article/751036/summary

Beyer, K. (2020). Contact among African Languages. *The Handbook of Language Contact*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119485094.ch33

BRASILEIRO, A. & … (t.t.). CONTACT-INDUCED MORPHOSYNTACTIC SIMPLIFICATION IN BRAZILIAN PORTUGUESE: THE CASE OF RELATIVE CLAUSES. *Estudos em …*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://pedroejoaoeditores.com.br/2022/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Sociolinguistica-de-Contato_final-1.pdf#page=91

Chamorro-Díaz, M., & Suárez-Gómez, C. (2019). Language contact in Colombia: A pilot study of" Criollo Sanandresano". *2019*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://dspace.uib.es/xmlui/handle/11201/165577

Clements, C. (2022). Paradigmatic restructuring: The case of Northern Indo-Portuguese Creoles. *Social and structural aspects of language contact …*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/60566/1/external_content.pdf#page=163

Eberl, M. (2019). *Innovation and grammaticalization in the emergence of Tok Pisin*. Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de/28223/1/Eberl_Martin.pdf

Fitzgerald, S. (2022). *the interlanguage hypothesis as a model of pidgin/Creole genesis: Evidence from Cameroon Pidgin English*. sussex.figshare.com. https://sussex.figshare.com/articles/thesis/the_interlanguage_hypothesis_as_a_model_of_pidgin_Creole_genesis_evidence_from_Cameroon_Pidgin_English/23488202

Gardani, F. (2022). Contact and borrowing. *The Cambridge handbook of Romance linguistics*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Francesco-Gardani/publication/345160098_Gardani_Francesco_2022_Contact_and_borrowing_In_Adam_Ledgeway_Martin_Maiden_eds_The_Cambridge_handbook_of_Romance_linguistics_845-869_Cambridge_Cambridge_University_Press_DOI_101017978110858041 0034/links/5f9fcc39a6fdccfd7b94a4ca/Gardani-Francesco-2022-Contact-and-borrowing-In-Adam-Ledgeway-Martin-Maiden-eds-The-Cambridge-handbook-of-Romance-linguistics-845-869-Cambridge-Cambridge-University-Press-DOI-101017-9781108580410.pdf

Hauck, J. (2022). Grammaticalization, Language Contact, and the Emergence of a Hortative in Guaraché, a New Mixed Language in Paraguay. *Languages*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://www.mdpi.com/2226-471X/7/3/173

Hudson, K. (2019). Blending in: Hybridity, identity and creolization. *Contacts and contrasts in cultures and languages*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-04981-2_9

Igartua, I. (2019). Loss of grammatical gender and language contact. *Diachronica*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://doi.org/10.1075/dia.17004.iga

Jon-And, A., & Aguilar, E. (2019). A model of contact-induced language change: Testing the role of second language speakers in the evolution of Mozambican Portuguese. *Plos one*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0212303

Kerswill, P., & Wiese, H. (2022). *Urban contact dialects and language change*. api.taylorfrancis.com. https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780429487958&type=googlepdf

Kihm, A. (t.t.). Another story of Z: Plural marking in spoken French, Fa d'Ambô and Réunion Creole. *Language, creoles, varieties*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://library.oapen.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12657/90238/external_content.pdf?sequence=1#page=361

Knapik, A. (2019). *Jamaican Creole Proverbs: From the Prospective of Contact Linguistics*. books.google.com. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=I1sAEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=morphological+innovation+creole+language+language+contact&ots=GVgAwurOgM&sig=EHOXzWF-EwCURWnflCu_EWnTr7I

Kouwenberg, S., & Singler, J. (2020). Are creoles a special type of language? *Advances in contact linguistics: In honour ...*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://www.torrossa.com/gs/resourceProxy?an=5001651&publisher=FZ4850#page=118

Kriegel, S., Ludwig, R., & ... (2019). Reflections on discourse ecology and language contact: The crucial role of some scalar terms. *Linguistic ...*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51.

Lipski, J. (2021). Morphological and Syntactical Variation and Change in Latin American Spanish. *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Linguistics*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780199384655.001.0001/acrefore-9780199384655-e-486

López, L. (2021). Contact phenomena: The I-language of a bilingual. *Romance Languages and Linguistic Theory 2018*, Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://doi.org/10.1075/cilt.357.07lop

Luís, A., & Michaelis, S. (t.t.). 58th Annual Meeting of the Societas Linguistica Europaea. *societaslinguistica.eu*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://societaslinguistica.eu/sle2025/wp-content/uploads/sites/8/2024/12/Morphological-boundaries-in-Creole-languages_updated.pdf

McWhorter, J. (2020). Heritage Language, Creole Language: Incomplete Acquisition and Modern Language Contact Typology. *Heritage Language Journal*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://brill.com/view/journals/hlj/17/2/article-p264_7.xml

Meakins, F., Hua, X., Algy, C., & Bromham, L. (2019). Birth of a contact language did not favor simplification. *Language*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/24/article/727838/summary

Mompelat, L. (2023). *To Infinitive and Beyond, or Revisiting Finiteness in Creoles: A Contrastive Study of the Complementation Systems of Martinican Creole and Haitian Creole*. search.proquest.com. https://search.proquest.com/openview/dc85082a861620ae4b2e297a708e9feb/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

Mufwene, S. (2020). Creoles and pidgins: Why the latter are not the ancestors of the former. *The Routledge handbook of language contact*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351109154-20

O'Shannessy, C., & Davidson, L. (2020). Language contact and change through child first language acquisition. *... Handbook of Language Contact*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119485094.ch3

Radatz, H. (t.t.). Contact-induced change in Romance. *researchgate.net*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Hans-Ingo-Radatz/publication/383660766_Contact-induced_change_in_Romance/links/66d5c8fe2390e50b2c26b53d/Contact-induced-change-in-Romance.pdf

Rivera, Y., & Armstrong, C. (t.t.). INNOVATIONS IN THE PHONOLOGY OF HAITIAN CREOLE AND ST. LUCIAN CREOLE: A PILOT STUDY. *MOVING FORWARD WITH MERVYN ALLEYNE*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://www.academia.edu/download/94570286/Moving_Forward_with_Mervyn_Alleyne_Sargasso_2019_2020.pdf#page=62

Rodríguez-Riccelli, A. (2024). An overview of recent research on the sociolinguistic role of Luso-Africans, ladino Africans, and criollos of African descent in the early colonial Spanish Americas. *Spanish in Africa/Africa in Spanish: Current ...*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111340678-002

Salaberri, I., & Wolfsgruber, A. (2023). A Typological and Diachronic Analysis of Replication: Body-Part Reflexives in Romance-Lexifier Pidgins and Creoles. *Journal of Language Contact*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://brill.com/view/journals/jlc/15/3-4/article-p512_003.xml

Silva, C., & Moran, S. (2025). Consonant stability in Portuguese-based creoles. *Diachronica*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://doi.org/10.1075/dia.23006.sil

Stewart, J., & Meakins, F. (2021). Advances in mixed language phonology: An overview of three case studies. *... languages: From core to fringe. language ...*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501511257-003

Sugimoto, Y., & Baptista, M. (2022a). A late-insertion-based exoskeletal approach to the hybrid nature of functional features in creole languages. *Languages*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51*. https://www.mdpi.com/2226-471X/7/2/92

Sugimoto, Y., & Baptista, M. (2022b). *A Late-Insertion-Based Exoskeletal Approach to the Hybrid Nature of Functional Features in Creole Languages. Languages 7: 92*. Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51. https://www.academia.edu/download/87104967/pdf.pdf

Truppi, C. (2024). Linguistic reconstruction and creole emergence: The case of Upper Guinea creoles. *Speakers and Structures in Language Contact …*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51.* https://doi.org/10.1515/9783111188348-005

Yakpo, K. (2023). Two types of language contact involving English Creoles: Why Krio (Sierra Leone) has evolved more towards English than its relative Pichi (Equatorial Guinea) …. *English Today*, *Query date: 2025-02-18 10:15:51.* https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/english-today/article/two-types-of-language-contact-involving-english-creoles/DD2FC19B55E041440F3BFC5235234968