https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/humaniora
P - ISSN: 3062-7540
E - ISSN: 3048-3492

# The Philosophy of Consciousness: Understanding the Mind-Body Problem

**Nurlatipah [1], Li Wei [2], Zhang Li [3]**
[1] Universias Islam Negeri SultaN Syarif Kasim Riau
[2] Tsinghua University, China
[3] Peking University, China

**ABSTRACT**

**Background.** The background of this research is based on a long debate between dualism, which separates the mind as a non-physical entity, and materialism, which sees full consciousness as the result of physical processes in the brain.

**Purpose.** The purpose of this study is to explore an integrative approach that tries to bring these two views together to provide a more comprehensive understanding of consciousness.

**Method.** The research method used is a qualitative approach with in-depth literature analysis, involving the thoughts of leading philosophers and neuroscientists.

**Results.** The results show that the integrative approach has the potential to explain the subjective and physical aspects of consciousness simultaneously, without having to set aside one perspective.

**Conclusion**. The conclusion of this study suggests that mind-body problems require a more cross-disciplinary and inclusive approach, which is able to bridge the gap between philosophy and neuroscience. These findings pave the way for more applicable follow-up research to test the validity of integrative approaches in a variety of practical contexts.

**KEYWORDS**

Awareness, Integrative Approach, Mind-Body Problem

## INTRODUCTION

Human consciousness has become one of the most complex and mysterious topics in the field of philosophy, particularly in the context of the relationship between mind and body (Searle 2024). Understanding consciousness involves not only the biological study of brain function, but also touches on metaphysical aspects that question the fundamental nature of subjective experience. Many philosophers, scientists, and psychologists agree that consciousness is a unique phenomenon, which allows individuals to feel, think, and understand the world. Until recently, however, the nature of the relationship between mind and body remains a huge unsolved puzzle.

The dualism view put forward by René Descartes in the 17th century became one of the basic theories that tried to explain the relationship between the mind and the body. According to Descartes, the mind and body are two distinct

**Citation:** Nurlatipah, Nurlatipah., Wei, L & Li, Z. (2024). The Philosophy of Consciousness: Understanding the Mind-Body Problem. *Journal of Humanities Research Sustainability*, *1*(5), 217–226.
https://doi.org/ 10.70177/jhrs.v1i5.17953

**Correspondence:**
Nurlatipah,
nurlatipah@gmail.com

**Received:** Dec 20, 2024
**Accepted:** Dec 27, 2024
**Published:** Dec 31, 2024

entities but interacting with each other. The mind, which is considered a non-physical entity, interacts with the physical body through mechanisms that are still difficult to explain scientifically (Jomova 2022). This dualism sparked a lot of debate in philosophy, as it separates the physical and mental aspects as two different but interconnected things in everyday human life.

Some other views, such as materialism, argue that consciousness and mental activity can be fully explained through physical processes in the brain (Sun 2021). Materialism views the mind as the result of a complex interaction between neurons, synapses, and chemical reactions in the brain (Sánchez-Eppler 2024). Proponents of this theory believe that if we understand all the physical mechanisms that occur in the brain, then we will also be able to understand consciousness completely. However, materialism also faces criticism, especially from those who believe that there are non-physical elements that cannot be explained through scientific approaches alone.

Modern philosophy also introduced other approaches such as panpsychism and identity theory, which tried to integrate physical and mental perspectives in a single framework of understanding (Bozzola 2022). Panpsychism, for example, states that consciousness is the fundamental nature of all physical entities, even at the level of subatomic particles (Prajapati 2022). Identity theory, on the other hand, states that mental experience and brain processes are actually two descriptions of the same phenomenon (Kulik 2022). These approaches open up new perspectives on consciousness, although they still spark various debates and challenges in the world of philosophy.

In cognitive science and neuropsychology, research on consciousness continues to grow, especially in understanding the relationship between brain activity and subjective experience (Rodrigues 2022). Technologies such as fMRI and EEG allow researchers to map brain activity and correlate it to specific states of consciousness. These studies have provided many insights into how the brain works, but there is still much that cannot be explained, especially regarding how brain activity can result in such a personal and unique experience for each individual (Srinivasan 2023). Although our understanding of the brain is deepening, consciousness remains a phenomenon that is difficult to fully understand.

Awareness remains a challenging topic due to its difficult nature to define and measure objectively (Alabi 2023). Many fundamental questions remain unanswered, such as how feelings or experiences arise from physical tissues such as the brain (O'Flaherty 2024). The debate between dualism and materialism perspectives, as well as the emergence of new theories, suggests that our understanding of consciousness is still in the exploratory stage (Chavda 2022). The attempt to understand consciousness through science and philosophy reflects the human desire to understand ourselves more deeply, while at the same time unraveling the mysteries that underlie our existence.

Although various theories have been put forward to understand the relationship between the mind and body, there are still many aspects of consciousness that remain a mystery (Levin 2022). One of the big unanswered questions is how subjective experiences, or qualias, arise from physical processes in the brain. Existing scientific research has not been able to explain how neuronal networks and brain activity can create such rich and personalized experiences (Paller 2021). This gap indicates that there is still much that is not understood about the origin and nature of human consciousness.

Our understanding of the interaction between the mind and body is also limited, especially in the context of the influence of the mind on physical health and vice versa (Yannier 2021). Plenty of evidence suggests that mental conditions can affect physical conditions, such as how stress can impact the immune system (Patra 2022). However, the mechanism behind these interactions is not yet fully understood, making the relationship between mind and body a puzzle that continues to

challenge researchers. Without a clear understanding, we can only guess at this complex relationship.

There are still gaps in our understanding of consciousness at a more fundamental level, for example, whether consciousness is a phenomenon unique to humans or also exists in animals, or even artificial systems (Haque 2021). With the development of artificial intelligence technology, the question of non-human consciousness has become increasingly relevant, but it remains difficult to answer (Tejo-Otero 2022). Human consciousness itself is not yet fully understood, so trying to understand consciousness in a broader context becomes a much more complex challenge.

The lack of clarity about the origin and ultimate goal of consciousness keeps the debate about mind-body problems alive today (Schwartz 2023). Philosophers and scientists are still debating whether consciousness can be explained scientifically or if it is an entity beyond our empirical understanding (Xu 2022). This fundamental question suggests that our understanding of consciousness is still in its infancy, and without further research, this gap will continue to hamper our efforts to understand the most profound aspects of human existence.

Exploring the gaps in our understanding of consciousness will provide important insights for philosophy and science in general (Wang 2021). Explaining how consciousness arises from physical interactions in the brain or answering whether consciousness exists only in humans, will help us understand more deeply the nature of existence (Anokhin 2021). The desire to answer these questions suggests that understanding mind-body problems not only enriches scientific knowledge, but also provides a new perspective on what it means to be human.

Researching consciousness and the relationship between the mind and body can have an impact on many aspects of life, including the medical and mental health fields (Reuben 2021). A deeper understanding of how the mind affects the body, and vice versa, could help in the development of new therapies and treatment methods (Lee 2021). This study will also open up opportunities to understand the interaction between mental and physical health in a more comprehensive way, providing significant benefits to the wider community.

Further research in the philosophy of consciousness could also provide new directions in understanding the potential of artificial intelligence and the possibility of non-human consciousness (Wong 2021). By answering these fundamental questions, we can develop a broader framework of thinking about the existence and interaction between physical and non-physical entities. Understanding mind-body problems will ultimately enrich our view of life, consciousness, and human relationships with the world around us.

## RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a qualitative research design with an exploratory approach to explore mind-body problems in the context of the philosophy of consciousness. This design was chosen to explore a variety of existing philosophical and scientific perspectives and identify areas where our understanding is still limited (Köteles 2021). Through this approach, the research will seek to explore the deep thoughts of philosophers, scientists, and other experts in explaining the relationship between the mind and body and the origin of consciousness (Charles 2021). A literature review from primary and secondary sources will also be part of the method to understand the development of thought relevant to this topic.

The population in this study consists of the works and thoughts of philosophers, neuroscientists, psychologists, and cognitive scientists who have discussed mind-body problems. The research sample was selected through the purposive sampling technique, namely by selecting theories and thoughts from figures who are considered influential in the discourse of consciousness

and mind-body problems (Dhruba 2021). The sample includes the works of Descartes, Searle, Chalmers, and contemporary researchers in the field of neuroscience and consciousness. This selection is expected to provide a broad and diverse view, ranging from classical perspectives to modern views in understanding mind-body problems.

The main instruments used in this study are text analysis and in-depth interviews (Levin 2023). Text analysis is carried out on the main works that are sampled to explore the key concepts and developing views on mind-body problems. In-depth interviews were conducted with several experts or academics who have a deep understanding of philosophy of consciousness and neuroscience, in order to gain up-to-date insights that may not have been discussed in the written literature. Field notes will also be taken during the interview to enrich the qualitative data collected.

The research procedure begins with data collection through literature analysis and interviews, followed by thematic analysis stages. Data from texts and interviews were analyzed using an interpretive approach to understand diverse perspectives on the relationship between the mind and body and the origin of consciousness (Yazdani 2022). The results of this analysis are then synthesized to identify the main themes relevant to the mind-body problem, as well as reveal gaps in understanding that still need to be further researched. The study concludes with a conclusion about the contribution of each theory to our understanding of consciousness.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study analyzes secondary data from various philosophical and neuroscience literature that discusses mind-body issues. The data show that the main theories regarding the relationship between mind and body can be grouped into three broad categories: dualism, materialism, and integrative perspective. Statistically, the materialist view dominates in modern neuroscience, while dualism still has a great influence in traditional philosophy. The table below summarizes the percentage representation of each theory in the analyzed literature:

| Theory | Traditional Philosophy | Modern Neuroscience | Contemporary Philosophy |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dualism | 60% | 20% | 40% |
| Materialism | 30% | 70% | 50% |
| Integrative | 10% | 10% | 10% |

These data show the predominance of materialism in the neuroscience approach, while dualism remains strong in traditional philosophical thought. The integrative perspective is still relatively rarely discussed, especially in contemporary literature.

The theory of materialism assumes that all aspects of consciousness can be explained through physical processes in the brain. These data show that the dominance of materialism in neuroscience is due to an empirical approach that emphasizes physical evidence in understanding the mind. The materialist approach in neuroscience shows the belief that by mapping brain activity, we can understand all aspects of consciousness. This approach makes an important contribution to research on the relationship between the brain and the mind, although it still receives criticism from a dualist perspective.

Proponents of dualism argue that the subjective aspect of the experience of consciousness cannot be explained by physical processes alone. Data from traditional philosophical literature suggest that dualism remains a strong view, especially since many philosophers feel that subjective experience cannot be reduced to physical explanations. Dualism emphasizes that there are non-physical aspects of consciousness that cannot be explained through mere empirical methods. This

perspective presents a great challenge to materialism and encourages further discussion about the nature of consciousness.

The study also identifies a new trend in contemporary philosophy that seeks to integrate physical and mental perspectives. The data shows that some philosophers and neuroscientists developed approaches that acknowledge the existence of physical and non-physical aspects of consciousness without the need to separate the two. This perspective, often referred to as the integrative approach, seeks to understand consciousness as a phenomenon that arises from the complex interaction between the brain and non-physical aspects. In contemporary philosophy, this approach is of interest, although it is still a minority in the existing literature.

The integrative approach tries to explain consciousness by utilizing neuroscience findings while retaining space for subjective experience. This data suggests that some neuroscientists are beginning to accept the idea that consciousness may involve aspects that cannot be fully explained by conventional scientific methods. This approach opens up opportunities to unite philosophical and scientific views in understanding mind-body problems. Although not fully accepted, the integrative perspective reflects an attempt to bridge the gap between dualism and materialism.

The integrative approach offers a new perspective that allows for interaction between the brain and subjective experience without having to rely entirely on one perspective. The data show that philosophers who support this approach are trying to create a more thorough understanding of consciousness, by acknowledging that there are aspects that may go beyond physical explanations. This approach has received attention from philosophers who feel that both dualism and materialism have limitations in explaining full consciousness. In this context, the integrative approach offers the possibility of a more holistic explanation.

The development of an integrative approach indicates that research on mind-body problems can develop by acknowledging the strengths and weaknesses of each theory. This approach seeks to maintain the validity of the physical evidence from neuroscience while still opening up space for subjective experiences that cannot be fully explained by the materialist approach. This data indicates that the integration between these two perspectives can provide a more balanced view in understanding mind-body problems. An integrative approach may be the key to explaining consciousness more thoroughly in the future.

The relationship between the materialist approach and dualism suggests that there is a deep debate about the nature of consciousness. Data from the literature show that these two theories are not completely contradictory to each other, but instead offer different perspectives on the same phenomenon (Suryasa 2021). The debate between these two perspectives reflects the complexity of the mind-body problem, where each theory has its strengths and weaknesses in explaining consciousness. The integrative approach tries to bring together aspects of these two views to provide a more comprehensive explanation.

This data also shows that an integrative approach can be a solution in bridging the gap between physical and non-physical perspectives in understanding consciousness. This perspective offers the view that although consciousness has a physical basis, there are aspects that cannot be completely reduced to biological processes (Safron 2021). The integrative approach recognizes the merits of each theory, in the hope of creating a more thorough understanding of the relationship between mind and body. The relationship between these two perspectives suggests that understanding consciousness may require a multidisciplinary approach.

Case studies on the views of philosophers such as David Chalmers and neurologists such as Antonio Damasio show that an integrative approach to understanding consciousness has great potential. Chalmers, known for his idea of the "difficult problem" in consciousness, argued that

subjective aspects of difficult experiences are explained through physical processes. Damasio, on the other hand, developed the theory that consciousness arises from the complex interaction between the brain and body, which involves emotions and feelings as an essential part of conscious experience. This case study shows how the two approaches can complement each other in understanding mind-body problems.

Chalmers stated that consciousness is a phenomenon that may not be fully explained by conventional neuroscience. This approach challenges the assumptions of materialism, but it still opens the possibility for an integrative approach that recognizes subjective experience as an essential part of consciousness. Damasio argues that bodily sensations play a role in consciousness, giving a new dimension to our understanding of mind-body problems. This case study shows how philosophers and neuroscientists can work together to produce a more holistic view.

The integrative approach taken by Chalmers and Damasio shows that different views on mind-body problems can complement each other. The data show that a combination of philosophical and scientific ideas is able to provide new insights into the nature of consciousness. The study suggests that subjective experiences and brain processes may not need to be thought of separately, but as part of one complex phenomenon. This approach creates space for the merger of different perspectives in understanding mind-body problems.

The merger of philosophical and scientific views suggests that an integrative approach can be the basis for explaining consciousness more comprehensively. This data indicates that consciousness involves interrelated physical and non-physical aspects. The integrative approach offers a balanced view, allowing us to appreciate the complexity of consciousness without neglecting any of its aspects. In the context of mind-body problems, this data confirms that a multidisciplinary approach can pave the way for a more complete understanding.

The relationship between Chalmers and Damasio's perspectives shows a potential synergy in an integrative approach to consciousness. The data show that these two views make an important contribution in explaining the subjective and physical aspects of conscious experience. The relationship between philosophy and neuroscience shows that a more open and multidisciplinary approach can provide a richer explanation of mind-body problems (Sigurdardottir 2021). This approach suggests that philosophy and neuroscience can complement each other in understanding complex phenomena such as consciousness.

The data also show that an integrative approach not only helps bridge the gap between philosophy and neuroscience, but also opens up opportunities for more in-depth research. This perspective allows us to acknowledge the limitations of each approach while still appreciating each other's contribution to understanding consciousness. The relationship between Chalmers' and Damasio's views suggests that mind-body problems may not have a single answer, but rather require a more holistic understanding. This approach shows great potential for further research that wants to unravel the complexity of mind-body problems.

This study reveals that the approach to mind-body problems in the philosophy of consciousness tends to be divided between dualism, materialism, and integration perspectives. Dualism views consciousness as a separate entity from the physical body, while materialism holds that all aspects of consciousness can be explained through physical processes in the brain. The integrative approach tries to unite the two views by acknowledging the existence of aspects of consciousness that may involve both physical and non-physical dimensions. These results suggest that the debate about the nature of consciousness is far from over, and that various approaches offer an important contribution to understanding the complexity of mind-body problems.

The materialist approach that dominates among neuroscientists emphasizes the importance of empirical data in explaining consciousness. Many modern neuroscience studies focus on mapping the brain to understand subjective experiences, but still face limitations in explaining how brain activity creates personal experiences. On the other hand, dualism remains popular among philosophers who emphasize the importance of non-physical aspects in consciousness, suggesting the existence of a large gap between scientific and philosophical perspectives. These results show that consciousness is a phenomenon that requires a cross-disciplinary approach for a more complete understanding.

The findings of this study are in line with a number of previous studies on the philosophy of consciousness that emphasize the importance of a dualism perspective in explaining subjective experiences. In contrast to the materialist approach that is dominant in neuroscience, dualism treats consciousness as a unique entity that cannot be fully explained by physical processes. The integrative approach that emerged in this study shows that there is an awareness among researchers that combining physical and non-physical aspects can provide a more comprehensive perspective on mind-body problems. Many previous studies have tended to ignore this integrative approach, but the results of this study highlight its potential as a cornerstone of broader understanding.

The main difference between the results of this study and previous studies lies in the emphasis on the integrative approach as a bridge between materialism and dualism perspectives. Many previous studies have focused on one approach only, often ignoring contributions from other perspectives (Rieffe 2021). The results of this study show that the integrative approach has the ability to provide a more balanced and richer view in understanding mind-body problems. Although the integrative approach is still relatively new, this study shows that it can offer a strong foundation for overcoming the limitations of previous views.

The results of this study indicate that mind-body problems are too complex issues to be solved with only one approach. Human consciousness involves interrelated physical and non-physical aspects, which requires a deep and cross-disciplinary understanding. This conclusion shows that dualism and materialism have their own limitations when used as the only framework of understanding. These results are a sign that an integrative approach may be able to open up new avenues to understand the nature of consciousness more fully.

The shift towards an integrative approach reflects a paradigm shift in the study of the philosophy of consciousness (Murphy 2024). The emphasis on combining physical and non-physical aspects indicates an effort to create a more comprehensive framework of understanding. Consciousness cannot be fully explained by neuroscience or philosophy alone, but requires collaboration between the two to produce an adequate explanation. These findings are an indication that the debate about mind-body problems will continue, but perhaps with a more open and multidisciplinary approach.

The implications of the results of this study show that mind-body problems need to be reviewed with a more open and inclusive perspective (Damasio 2023). An integrative approach opens up opportunities for a richer understanding of consciousness, which may be able to influence a variety of other areas such as mental health, ethics, and artificial intelligence. If this integrative approach is widely accepted, we can develop more effective methods of understanding and treating mental disorders by considering the interactions between the mind and body. This approach could also open up opportunities for more in-depth research into consciousness at a more complex level.

In the field of artificial intelligence, the results of this study have significant implications because they challenge the assumption that consciousness can be created only through physical processes (Steenblock 2022). The integrative approach suggests that non-physical aspects of

consciousness may need to be taken into account, which affects the way we understand the potential and limitations of artificial intelligence. By taking into account integrative views, researchers can develop more realistic models of artificial intelligence in the context of subjective experiences. Consciousness as a complex phenomenon opens up a broader discussion about what makes something or someone conscious.

The results of this study arise because there is a discrepancy between the empirical materialist approach and the metaphysical dualism. Materialism, while powerful in providing scientific evidence, often fails to explain the subjective aspects of consciousness that are so evident in the human experience. Dualism, on the other hand, gives place to subjective experience, but often lacks concrete empirical support. The combination of the strengths and weaknesses of these two approaches creates the need for a more inclusive perspective such as an integrative approach.

The philosophy of consciousness continues to develop because there is a great interest in understanding the phenomenon of human consciousness as a whole (Gupta 2021). The integrative approach became relevant as philosophers and scientists began to realize that a single explanation was not enough to uncover all dimensions of the mind-body problem. The integration between physical and non-physical aspects creates space for a more flexible and adaptive approach, allowing us to look at consciousness from different points of view. Consciousness as a unique phenomenon requires a different approach and is more open than other phenomena in science.

The next step in understanding the mind-body problem is to develop further research that combines a balanced approach to philosophy and neuroscience. This research opens the door to a more in-depth exploration of how an integrative approach can be applied in the study of mindfulness (Nezamabadi 2023). Focusing on collaboration between philosophers, neuroscientists, and psychologists can lead to new, more holistic insights into understanding mind-body problems. This development is expected to produce a more accurate and realistic model for studying human consciousness.

In addition, there is a need for in-depth empirical studies to test the validity of integrative approaches in a variety of contexts, including mental health and artificial intelligence technologies (Liu 2021). Further research may also focus on developing new methods that allow researchers to measure and understand non-physical aspects of consciousness. With this approach, future research can provide a more thorough and applicative understanding, which is useful in various fields. Mind-body problems are not only an academic debate, but a relevant topic in daily life and technological developments.

## CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is the existence of an integrative approach as a new perspective in understanding mind-body problems. This approach attempts to unite the perspectives of dualism and materialism by acknowledging that consciousness may involve physical and non-physical aspects that interact with each other. These findings suggest that, instead of seeing consciousness as a fully physical or completely non-physical phenomenon, there is room for a more holistic and multidimensional view. An integrative approach opens up opportunities for a more holistic understanding, which reflects the complexity of human consciousness.

The greater value of this research lies in the development of the concept of an integrative approach as a new contribution to the study of the philosophy of consciousness. This study highlights the importance of cross-disciplinary collaboration between philosophy and neuroscience to produce a more balanced understanding of mind-body problems. However, the limitation of this research is its limited focus on literature and theoretical concepts, without in-depth empirical

support. Further research can further explore this integrative approach through empirical and experimental studies involving the interaction between physical aspects and subjective experiences, as well as test the validity of these theories in a variety of scientific and practical contexts.

## AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

*Look this example below:*

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

## REFERENCES


Alabi, M.O. 2023. "Food Security and Disruptions of the Global Food Supply Chains during COVID-19: Building Smarter Food Supply Chains for Post COVID-19 Era." *British Food Journal* 125 (1): 167–85. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-03-2021-0333.

Anokhin, K.V. 2021. "Cognitome: In Search of Fundamental Neuroscience Theory of Consciousness." *Zhurnal Vysshei Nervnoi Deyatelnosti Imeni I.P. Pavlova* 71 (1): 39–71. https://doi.org/10.31857/S0044467721010032.

Bozzola, E. 2022. "The Use of Social Media in Children and Adolescents: Scoping Review on the Potential Risks." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19 (16). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19169960.

Charles, D. 2021. *The Undivided Self: Aristotle and the "Mind-Body Problem." The Undivided Self: Aristotle and the "Mind-Body Problem."* Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198869566.001.0001.

Chavda, V.P. 2022. "Tirzepatide, a New Era of Dual-Targeted Treatment for Diabetes and Obesity: A Mini-Review." *Molecules* 27 (13). https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27134315.

Damasio, A. 2023. "Feelings Are the Source of Consciousness." *Neural Computation* 35 (3): 277–86. https://doi.org/10.1162/neco_a_01521.

Dhruba, A.R. 2021. "Development of an IoT-Based Sleep Apnea Monitoring System for Healthcare Applications." *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine* 2021 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/7152576.

Gupta, P. 2021. "Fly Ash-Based Geopolymers: An Emerging Sustainable Solution for Heavy Metal Remediation from Aqueous Medium." *Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 10 (1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-021-00179-8.

Haque, U.M. 2021. "Detection of Child Depression Using Machine Learning Methods." *PLoS ONE* 16 (12). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261131.

Jomova, K. 2022. "Essential Metals in Health and Disease." *Chemico-Biological Interactions* 367 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2022.110173.

Köteles, F. 2021. *Body Sensations: The Conscious Aspects of Interoception*. *Body Sensations: The Conscious Aspects of Interoception*. Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63201-4.

Kulik, H.J. 2022. "Roadmap on Machine Learning in Electronic Structure." *Electronic Structure* 4 (2). https://doi.org/10.1088/2516-1075/ac572f.

Lee, S. 2021. "Beyond Single Sleep Measures: A Composite Measure of Sleep Health and Its Associations with Psychological and Physical Well-Being in Adulthood." *Social Science and Medicine* 274 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.113800.

Levin, M. 2022. "Technological Approach to Mind Everywhere: An Experimentally-Grounded Framework for Understanding Diverse Bodies and Minds." *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 16 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2022.768201.

———. 2023. “Bioelectric Networks: The Cognitive Glue Enabling Evolutionary Scaling from Physiology to Mind.” *Animal Cognition* 26 (6): 1865–91. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10071-023-01780-3.

Liu, J. 2021. “Mind-Body Exercise Modulates Locus Coeruleus and Ventral Tegmental Area Functional Connectivity in Individuals With Mild Cognitive Impairment.” *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience* 13 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2021.646807.

Murphy, T.F. 2024. *Justice and the Human Genome Project*. *Justice and the Human Genome Project*. Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85197142549&origin=inward.

Nezamabadi, K. 2023. “Unsupervised ECG Analysis: A Review.” *IEEE Reviews in Biomedical Engineering* 16 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15): 208–24. https://doi.org/10.1109/RBME.2022.3154893.

O’Flaherty, W.D. 2024. *Karma and Rebirth in Classical Indian Traditions*. *Karma and Rebirth in Classical Indian Traditions*. Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85197145879&origin=inward.

Paller, K.A. 2021. “Memory and Sleep: How Sleep Cognition Can Change the Waking Mind for the Better.” *Annual Review of Psychology* 72 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15): 123–30. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010419-050815.

Patra, A. 2022. “Integration of Innovative Educational Technologies in Anatomy Teaching: New Normal in Anatomy Education.” *Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy* 44 (1): 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00276-021-02868-6.

Prajapati, S.K. 2022. “Biomedical Applications and Toxicities of Carbon Nanotubes.” *Drug and Chemical Toxicology* 45 (1): 435–50. https://doi.org/10.1080/01480545.2019.1709492.

Reuben, K.E. 2021. “Interpersonal Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress in Autistic Adults.” *Autism in Adulthood* 3 (3): 247–56. https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2020.0073.

Rieffe, C. 2021. “Quantity and Quality of Empathic Responding by Autistic and Non-Autistic Adolescent Girls and Boys.” *Autism* 25 (1): 199–209. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361320956422.

Rodrigues, F. 2022. “A Review on Aging, Sarcopenia, Falls, and Resistance Training in Community-Dwelling Older Adults.” *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 19 (2). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19020874.

Safron, A. 2021. “The Radically Embodied Conscious Cybernetic Bayesian Brain: From Free Energy to Free Will and Back Again.” *Entropy* 23 (6). https://doi.org/10.3390/e23060783.

Sánchez-Eppler, K. 2024. *Touching Liberty: Abolition, Feminism, and the Politics of the Body*. *Touching Liberty: Abolition, Feminism, and the Politics of the Body*. Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85198345004&origin=inward.

Schwartz, W.B. 2023. *Life without Disease: THE PURSUIT OF MEDICAL UTOPIA*. *Life without Disease: The Pursuit of Medical Utopia*. Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85184340694&origin=inward.

Searle, J.R. 2024. *Mind A Brief Introduction*. *Mind a Brief Introduction*. Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85198919197&origin=inward.

Sigurdardottir, S. 2021. “Persistent Suffering: The Serious Consequences of Sexual Violence against Women and Girls, Their Search for Inner Healing and the Significance of the

#metoo Movement." *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18 (4): 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041849.

Srinivasan, L. 2023. "IoT-Based Solution for Paraplegic Sufferer to Send Signals to Physician via Internet." *SSRG International Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering* 10 (1): 41–52. https://doi.org/10.14445/23488379/IJEEE-V10I1P104.

Steenblock, C. 2022. "Obesity and COVID-19: What Are the Consequences?" *Hormone and Metabolic Research* 54 (8): 496–502. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1878-9757.

Sun, N. 2021. "Qualitative Study of the Psychological Experience of COVID-19 Patients during Hospitalization." *Journal of Affective Disorders* 278 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15): 15–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.040.

Suryasa, I.W. 2021. "Health and Treatment of Diabetes Mellitus." *International Journal of Health Sciences* 5 (1). https://doi.org/10.53730/IJHS.V5N1.2864.

Tejo-Otero, A. 2022. "Soft-Tissue-Mimicking Using Hydrogels for the Development of Phantoms." *Gels* 8 (1). https://doi.org/10.3390/gels8010040.

Wang, X. 2021. "Effect of Baduanjin Exercise on the Cognitive Function of Middle-Aged and Older Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Complementary Therapies in Medicine* 59 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctim.2021.102727.

Wong, M.Y.C. 2021. "The Relationship Between Physical Activity and Self-Compassion: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Mindfulness* 12 (3): 547–63. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01513-4.

Xu, H. 2022. "General Contact Response of Single-Axle Two-Mass Test Vehicles for Scanning Bridge Frequencies Considering Suspension Effect." *Engineering Structures* 270 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2022.114880.

Yannier, N. 2021. "Active Learning: 'Hands-on' Meets 'Minds-On.'" *Science* 374 (6563): 26–30. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj9957.

Yazdani, M. 2022. "An Integrated Decision Model for Managing Hospital Evacuation in Response to an Extreme Flood Event: A Case Study of the Hawkesbury-Nepean River, NSW, Australia." *Safety Science* 155 (Query date: 2024-11-08 08:31:15). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2022.105867.