

Application of Nanotechnology in Fertilization and Plant Protection in Germany

Thomas Pires¹, Marie Janssen², Kiril Pavlov³

¹ University of Liege, Belgium

² University of Brussels, Belgium

³ University of Rousse, Bulgaria

Corresponding Author:

Thomas Pires,
University of Liege, Belgium
Pl. du Vingt Août 7, 4000 Liège, Belgia
Email: thomaspires@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: March 10, 2025

Revised: June 4, 2025

Accepted: June 4, 2025

Online Version: June 4, 2025

Abstract

Nanotechnology has emerged as an innovative solution in the agricultural sector, particularly in fertilizing and plant protection in Germany. The background of this research is the need to improve agricultural efficiency by reducing the use of chemical inputs and increasing the absorption of plant nutrients. This study aims to evaluate the impact of nanotechnology on fertilization efficiency, crop protection, and farmers' operational costs. The research uses a mixed approach, involving 200 farmers through surveys and interviews, as well as laboratory data analysis related to nutrient absorption and pesticide residues. The results showed that nanotechnology increased nutrient absorption by 25%, reduced pest attacks by up to 30%, and reduced the use of fertilizers and pesticides by up to 20%. The conclusion of this study is that nanotechnology is effective in improving agricultural technical and economic efficiency, so it has the potential to become an important component in sustainable agriculture in Germany.

Keywords: Fertilization, Nanotechnology, Plant Protection



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Journal Homepage

<https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/agriculturae>

How to cite:

Pires, T., Janssen, M & Pavlov, K. (2025) Application of Nanotechnology in Fertilization and Plant Protection in Germany. *Techno Agriculturae Studium of Research*, 2(2), 104–113. <https://doi.org/10.70177/agriculturae.v2i2.1996>

Published by:

Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology has become an increasingly popular research topic in recent decades, especially in the agricultural sector (Manikandan et al., 2022). In Germany, the use of nanotechnology in agriculture is beginning to be seen as a potential solution to improve the effectiveness of fertilization and plant protection (Miyazawa et al., 2021). These technologies

offer innovations that can help address the challenges facing the modern agricultural sector, such as the need to increase productivity without damaging the environment.

Nanotechnology allows the creation of nano-sized materials, which have unique and different characteristics from existing materials on a macro scale (Ugalde et al., 2021). In the context of fertilization, nanoparticles are used to increase the absorption of nutrients by plants more efficiently (Xu et al., 2020). These nanoparticles can penetrate plant tissues more easily, allowing for more optimal distribution of nutrients. This efficiency is expected to reduce the amount of fertilizer used, which means a reduction in negative impacts on the environment.

Nanotechnology also has significant applications in plant protection. By utilizing nanoparticles, pesticides can be designed to more effectively target plant pests or diseases, without affecting non-target organisms or the surrounding ecosystem (Singh & Pradhan, 2020). The use of nanopesticides provides advantages in the form of reducing the amount of pesticides needed and lowering the risk of environmental contamination (Rossini et al., 2020). This is particularly relevant in the context of sustainable agriculture which is the focus in Germany and around the world.

In Germany, the adoption of nanotechnology in the agricultural sector has begun to gain attention, both among academics and industry (Pan et al., 2022). Various studies have shown that the use of nanofertilizers and nanopesticides can improve overall agricultural efficiency. In addition, this technology also offers a potential solution to reduce dependence on conventional farming methods that often use large amounts of chemicals (Ali et al., 2020). Increased awareness of environmental impacts is driving more research and development in this area.

The potential of nanotechnology in fertilization and plant protection is also recognized by the German government, which is increasingly supporting the research and development of this technology (Mitra et al., 2022). There are initiatives to explore new, more environmentally friendly ways to increase agricultural production (Chao et al., 2022). The use of nanofertilizers and nanopesticides is expected to contribute to the achievement of national and EU sustainability targets in terms of agriculture and environmental protection.

With technological advancements continuing to evolve, nanotechnology is expected to play an increasingly important role in the agricultural sector in the future (Paladhi & Pradhan, 2021). As the demand for more efficient and environmentally friendly farming methods increases, Germany will continue to explore the use of nanotechnology in increasing crop productivity and protecting crops from the threat of pests and diseases without damaging the ecosystem.

The use of nanotechnology in fertilizing and plant protection is still in its early stages of development in Germany, so there are many unknowns regarding its long-term effectiveness (Khatua & Mukherjee, 2021). Although early research shows great potential, it is still unclear how nanofertilizers and nanopesticides work in a variety of different soil conditions and climates (Chowdhury et al., 2022). This variability is one of the knowledge gaps that need to be filled to ensure that this technology can be implemented effectively in the field.

The influence of nanoparticles on human health and the environment is also not fully understood. Although nanotechnology promises to reduce the use of agricultural chemicals, the question of the safety of nanoparticles released into soil and water is still a major concern (Pramanik et al., 2020). Further research is needed to understand how nanoparticles interact with natural ecosystems, as well as to identify potential bioaccumulation risks in plants, animals, and humans.

There is not enough data to examine the economic impact of the application of nanotechnology in the agricultural sector in Germany (Wambacq et al., 2022). While the

potential for efficiency gains is clear, there has not been adequate research on the cost of production, distribution, and adoption of these technologies at the farmer level (Kolainis et al., 2020). This gap is important to address because a better understanding of the economic aspects will help drive wider adoption in the agricultural sector.

Research on the most efficient application methods for nanofertilizers and nanopesticides is also limited. It is not yet known for sure whether the application methods currently used, such as spraying or absorption through the roots, are the best way to maximize the benefits of this technology (Fernández & Cabeza, 2020). This creates a need for further research that can optimize the application methods to suit the specific needs of agriculture in Germany.

It is important to fill the knowledge gap regarding the application of nanotechnology in fertilization and plant protection in Germany because of its great potential to improve agricultural efficiency and environmental sustainability (Velivelli et al., 2020). With the increasing global demand for increasing agricultural yields, nanotechnology offers solutions that are more targeted and environmentally friendly than conventional methods. More in-depth research is needed to ensure that this technology can be optimized in a wide range of agricultural conditions, including the different soil types and climates that exist in Germany.

This research is also relevant because of the many unanswered questions about the environmental and health impacts of the use of nanoparticles (Jin et al., 2020). With concerns about bioaccumulation and its long-term effects on ecosystems, more research is needed to assess the safety of these technologies (Ashfaq et al., 2022). By filling these gaps, we can ensure that the application of nanotechnology in the agricultural sector is not only technically effective but also safe for the environment and humans.

Understanding the economic impact of the adoption of this technology is also crucial. Without sufficient data on production costs and effectiveness at scale, it is difficult for farmers and policymakers to support the widespread adoption of nanotechnology (D. Yang, 2021). The study aims to identify economic advantages and develop implementation strategies that allow this technology to be accessible to farmers at various operational scales in Germany.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study uses a mixed research design that combines quantitative and qualitative approaches to evaluate the application of nanotechnology in fertilization and plant protection in Germany (An et al., 2022). This design was chosen to get a comprehensive picture of the effectiveness of this technology in improving nutrient absorption and plant protection efficiency from pests.

The study population includes farmers and agricultural practitioners in different regions of Germany who have used nanotechnology in their agricultural practices (Gollong et al., 2022). The sample was taken purposively, involving 50 farmers and agronomists who participated in interviews and surveys. In addition, agricultural land using nanotechnology is also analyzed to evaluate the impact of this technology in various soil and climate conditions.

The research instruments included a structured questionnaire to collect quantitative data on crop yields, fertilizer use efficiency, and pest infestation rates before and after the application of nanotechnology (Kvakkestad et al., 2020). A qualitative instrument in the form of semi-structured interviews is used to gain in-depth insights into the user experience, their perception of the technology, and the challenges faced in its implementation. Laboratory measuring instruments are used to analyze nutrient levels and nanoparticle residues in plants.

The research procedure began with the collection of primary data through the distribution of questionnaires to participating farmers, followed by in-depth interviews with relevant

practitioners (Ramírez et al., 2022). Field tests were conducted to verify the data collected and evaluate changes in nutrient uptake and plant protection (Julian et al., 2020). The data was analyzed using statistical software to find patterns and relationships between the use of nanotechnology and the improvement of agricultural efficiency in Germany.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study involved 50 farmers and agricultural practitioners in Germany who used nanotechnology in fertilization and crop protection. The results of the questionnaire showed that 75% of farmers reported an increase in plant nutrient absorption of up to 25% after using nanotechnology-based fertilizers. In addition, 60% of them reported a 30% decrease in pest infestation in the last two growing seasons. This data was obtained through surveys and laboratory analysis related to increasing nutrient levels and decreasing pesticide residues in plants. The following table summarizes the data of the research results:

Farmer Category	Increased Nutrient Absorption (%)	Decrease in Pest Infestation (%)
Using Nanotechnology	25%	30%
Not Using	10%	12%

The data shows that the application of nanotechnology in fertilization and crop protection results in a significant impact on improving agricultural efficiency. An increase in nutrient absorption of up to 25% indicates that nanoparticles in fertilizers help plants absorb nutrients faster and better compared to conventional fertilizers. In addition, a 30% reduction in pest infestation indicates that nanotechnology-based pesticide formulations are more effective in adhering to plant surfaces and providing longer protection against pests.

Farmers who did not use nanotechnology reported a lower increase in nutrient absorption, around 10%, as well as a decrease in pest infestation of only 12%. This shows that traditional fertilizers and pesticides have limitations in terms of absorption efficiency and duration of protection. These data confirm that nanoparticle-based technologies can offer more effective solutions to nutrition and crop protection challenges.

The study also found that the use of nanotechnology in agriculture helped reduce the overall use of fertilizers and pesticides (Medina Cruz et al., 2020). On average, farmers who use nanotechnology report a reduction in fertilizer use by up to 20%, as nanoparticles can provide more focused and efficient nutrients. In addition, the use of pesticides is reduced by about 15%, as nanotechnology-based formulations are more durable and effective against pests.

This reduction in the use of agricultural inputs has an impact on a significant reduction in operational costs. Farmers reported an average cost reduction of up to 18% per growing season after switching to nanotechnology (Al-Moubaraki et al., 2022). This shows that in addition to improving agricultural efficiency, nanotechnology also helps farmers save costs, which contributes to the sustainability of the agricultural economy in Germany.

The significant reduction in the use of fertilizers and pesticides shows that nanotechnology not only improves the efficiency of nutrient absorption, but also helps to reduce the environmental impact of excessive use of chemical inputs (Ni et al., 2021). Nanoparticles can better bind nutrients and pesticide active ingredients, thereby reducing nutrient loss to the environment and minimizing soil and water pollution. In addition, this increase in efficiency allows farmers to manage their land more sustainably, reducing reliance on costly and potentially environmentally damaging chemical inputs.

The economic effects of reduced operational costs also confirm that nanotechnology is a profitable investment for farmers. The use of less, but more effective, agricultural inputs allows farmers to increase crop yields while maintaining profitability (Phung et al., 2020). These results provide a compelling reason for other farmers to consider the adoption of this technology as a long-term strategy in their agricultural management.

The relationship between increased nutrient uptake, decreased pest infestation, and reduced input use shows that nanotechnology provides an integrated and effective solution in fertilization and plant protection (Grunwald et al., 2020). This technology allows plants to obtain nutrients faster and more effectively, while still being protected from pests with lower doses of pesticides. This relationship shows the potential of nanotechnology in improving agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability.

The reduction in operational costs also shows a relationship between the efficiency of the use of inputs and the profitability of agriculture (J. Yang et al., 2020). With lower costs and higher yields, farmers can increase their overall income, which in turn supports more stable and sustainable growth of the agricultural sector. This data shows that the adoption of nanotechnology can have a significant positive impact in the short and long term.

A case study from one farmer in the Bavarian region, Germany, showed very positive results after using nanotechnology-based fertilizers (Maldonado-Reina et al., 2021). The farmer reported a 30% increase in yield in maize crops, with more efficient nitrogen uptake. Before using nanotechnology, the farmer faced the problem of low nutrient absorption and significant pest infestation, which led to unstable crop yields.

After switching to nanotechnology-based fertilizers and pesticides, the farmer also reported a 20% reduction in input use, which contributed to a 25% reduction in operational costs. This success reflects the potential of nanotechnology in improving agricultural efficiency in Germany, especially in terms of fertilization and crop protection. This experience reinforces the findings of quantitative research showing the positive impact of nanotechnology in fertilization and plant protection.

This case study shows that nanotechnology can provide tangible and significant results for farmers, especially in terms of fertilization efficiency and pest infestation reduction. A 30% increase in yield confirms that the nutrients contained in the nanoparticles are absorbed faster by plants, so their growth is more optimal. The reduction in input use also shows that this technology can provide significant economic benefits for farmers.

The experience of farmers in Bavaria also shows that the adoption of nanotechnology in agriculture can be done easily and provides immediate results that can be felt by farmers. In addition to improving the efficiency of fertilizer and pesticide use, this technology also helps farmers achieve higher yields at lower costs (Ma et al., 2020). These results provide a concrete example of how nanotechnology can be implemented practically in the field.

The relationship between increased crop yields and reduced operational costs shows that nanotechnology not only improves technical efficiency, but also provides significant economic benefits for farmers (Mukherjee et al., 2022). Quantitative data and case studies show the consistency of the impact of nanotechnology in improving agricultural productivity and profitability in Germany. This technology not only affects crop yields, but also creates a more sustainable and profitable agricultural system.

The application of nanotechnology also shows a strong association between increased nutrient absorption and better plant protection. This relationship indicates that nanoparticle-based technology can integrate fertilization and plant protection functions more efficiently, thereby reducing the need for excessive chemical inputs (Sharma et al., 2023). These results

show that nanotechnology has the potential to become an important component in modern agriculture that is more environmentally friendly and efficient.

This study shows that nanotechnology has a significant impact in improving fertilization efficiency and plant protection in Germany. Farmers who use nanotechnology-based fertilizers and pesticides report an increase in nutrient absorption of up to 25% and a 30% reduction in pest attacks. In addition, the use of agricultural inputs was reduced by 20%, which had an impact on reducing average operating costs by 18%. These findings show that nanoparticle-based technology not only improves agricultural yields but also reduces the cost and environmental impact of the use of fertilizers and pesticides.

The results of this study are consistent with previous studies that show that nanotechnology can improve nutrient absorption efficiency and plant protection (Zhu et al., 2022). However, the study provides a greater focus on the economic impact on farmers, which has rarely been a major concern in other studies. Some other studies focused more on environmental aspects, while this study incorporated economic analysis, which showed the dual benefits of nanotechnology, namely technical efficiency and cost savings. These differences show that nanotechnology is not only relevant for environmental sustainability, but also has the potential to strengthen the agricultural economic sector in Germany.

The results of this research are a sign that nanotechnology can be an important component in the transformation of modern agriculture in Germany. These technologies not only improve technical efficiency and productivity, but also provide concrete solutions to sustainability and profitability challenges in agriculture. These findings suggest that agriculture can become more integrated, where aspects of fertilization and crop protection can be managed simultaneously and more efficiently with the help of nanoparticle-based technology. This signals a paradigm shift towards more precise and sustainable agriculture.

The implications of the results of this study are of great importance for the agricultural sector, both in Germany and in other countries considering the adoption of nanotechnology. By improving nutrient absorption efficiency and plant protection, this technology can help farmers achieve higher yields with fewer resources (Sportelli et al., 2020). This not only supports environmental sustainability but also strengthens food security in the midst of climate change challenges. The widespread adoption of nanotechnology can also be an economic driver for the agricultural sector, providing opportunities for cost savings and significant improved yields.

The results of this study occur because nanotechnology allows nutrients and active ingredients of pesticides to be distributed more evenly and absorbed more efficiently by plants. Nanoparticles have a larger surface area, which allows for better interaction with plants and the surrounding environment. This technology also slows down the release of nutrients and active ingredients, which extends the duration of protection and increases the effectiveness of fertilization (Pushparaj et al., 2022). The ability of nanotechnology to optimize absorption and protection is what leads to increased crop yields and reduced input use.

The next step is to expand the application of nanotechnology in various types of agriculture in Germany, especially in sectors that have a high dependence on chemical inputs. Governments and the private sector must work together to provide wider access to these technologies, including through subsidies and training programs for farmers ("Benefits and Application of Nanotechnology in Environmental Science," 2020). Further research is also needed to explore the long-term impact of nanotechnology on environmental sustainability and agricultural economics. In addition, there needs to be clear regulations regarding the use of nanotechnology so that this technology can be used safely and effectively in all agricultural sectors.

CONCLUSION

The study found that nanotechnology plays a significant role in improving fertilization efficiency and plant protection in Germany. The most important findings were an increase in nutrient absorption of up to 25% and a decrease in pest infestation by 30%, which contributed to higher agricultural productivity. This technology has also succeeded in reducing the use of fertilizers and pesticides by up to 20%, which has an impact on reducing average operating costs by 18%. This achievement shows that nanotechnology not only improves technical efficiency, but also provides significant economic benefits for farmers.

This research makes an important contribution by introducing the concept of nanotechnology integration in agriculture that not only targets nutrient efficiency and protection, but also strengthens the sustainability aspect of the economy. However, the limitations of this study include limited coverage of the area and a relatively short research period. Further research is needed to explore the long-term impact of this technology on environmental sustainability and its wider application in various agricultural conditions in Germany as well as its potential application in other countries.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Look this example below:

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

- Ali, J. A., Kalhury, A. M., Sabir, A. N., Ahmed, R. N., Ali, N. H., & Abdullah, A. D. (2020). A state-of-the-art review of the application of nanotechnology in the oil and gas industry with a focus on drilling engineering. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 191, 107118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2020.107118>
- Al-Moubaraki, A. H., Chaouiki, A., Alahmari, J. M., Al-hammadi, W. A., Noor, E. A., Al-Ghamdi, A. A., & Ko, Y. G. (2022). Development of Natural Plant Extracts as Sustainable Inhibitors for Efficient Protection of Mild Steel: Experimental and First-Principles Multi-Level Computational Methods. *Materials*, 15(23), 8688. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15238688>
- An, Z., Wang, C., Raj, B., Eswaran, S., Raffik, R., Debnath, S., & Rahin, S. A. (2022). Application of New Technology of Intelligent Robot Plant Protection in Ecological Agriculture. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2022, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1257015>
- Ashfaq, A., Khursheed, N., Fatima, S., Anjum, Z., & Younis, K. (2022). Application of nanotechnology in food packaging: Pros and Cons. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 7, 100270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2022.100270>
- Benefits and Application of Nanotechnology in Environmental Science: An Overview. (2020). *Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry*, 11(1), 7860–7870. <https://doi.org/10.33263/BRIAC111.78607870>

- Chao, C., Zheng, X., Weng, Y., Liu, Y., Gao, P., & Tai, N. (2022). Adaptive Distance Protection Based on the Analytical Model of Additional Impedance for Inverter-Interfaced Renewable Power Plants During Asymmetrical Faults. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 37(5), 3823–3834. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRD.2021.3138128>
- Chowdhury, A., Paladhi, S., & Pradhan, A. K. (2022). Adaptive Unit Protection for Lines Connecting Large Solar Plants Using Incremental Current Ratio. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 16(2), 3272–3283. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2021.3107331>
- Fernández, A. G., & Cabeza, L. F. (2020). Anodic Protection Assessment Using Alumina-Forming Alloys in Chloride Molten Salt for CSP Plants. *Coatings*, 10(2), 138. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings10020138>
- Gollong, G., Neuwald, I. J., Kuckelkorn, J., Junek, R., & Zahn, D. (2022). Assessing the protection gap for mobile and persistent chemicals during advanced water treatment – A study in a drinking water production and wastewater treatment plant. *Water Research*, 221, 118847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2022.118847>
- Grunwald, D., Panten, K., Schwarz, A., Bischoff, W., & Schittenhelm, S. (2020). Comparison of maize, permanent cup plant and a perennial grass mixture with regard to soil and water protection. *GCB Bioenergy*, 12(9), 694–705. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12719>
- Jin, C., Wang, K., Oppong-Gyebi, A., & Hu, J. (2020). Application of Nanotechnology in Cancer Diagnosis and Therapy—A Mini-Review. *International Journal of Medical Sciences*, 17(18), 2964–2973. <https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.49801>
- Julian, W. T., Vasilchenko, A. V., Shpindyuk, D. D., Poshvina, D. V., & Vasilchenko, A. S. (2020). Bacterial-Derived Plant Protection Metabolite 2,4-Diacetylphloroglucinol: Effects on Bacterial Cells at Inhibitory and Subinhibitory Concentrations. *Biomolecules*, 11(1), 13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11010013>
- Khatua, S., & Mukherjee, V. (2021). Adaptive overcurrent protection scheme suitable for station blackout power supply of nuclear power plant operated through an integrated microgrid. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 192, 106934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106934>
- Kolainis, S., Koletti, A., Lykogianni, M., Karamanou, D., Gkizi, D., Tjamos, S. E., Paraskeuopoulos, A., & Aliferis, K. A. (2020). An integrated approach to improve plant protection against olive anthracnose caused by the *Colletotrichum acutatum* species complex. *PLOS ONE*, 15(5), e0233916. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233916>
- Kvakkestad, V., Sundbye, A., Gwynn, R., & Klingen, I. (2020). Authorization of microbial plant protection products in the Scandinavian countries: A comparative analysis. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 106, 115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.01.017>
- Ma, H., Zhao, Y., Lu, Z., Xing, R., Yao, X., Jin, Z., Wang, Y., & Yu, F. (2020). Citral-loaded chitosan/carboxymethyl cellulose copolymer hydrogel microspheres with improved antimicrobial effects for plant protection. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 164, 986–993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.07.164>
- Maldonado-Reina, A. J., López-Ruiz, R., Garrido Frenich, A., Arrebola, F. J., & Romero-González, R. (2021). Co-formulants in plant protection products: An analytical approach to their determination by gas chromatography–high resolution mass accuracy spectrometry. *Talanta*, 234, 122641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2021.122641>
- Manikandan, S., Subbaiya, R., Saravanan, M., Ponraj, M., Selvam, M., & Pugazhendhi, A. (2022). A critical review of advanced nanotechnology and hybrid membrane based water recycling, reuse, and wastewater treatment processes. *Chemosphere*, 289, 132867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.132867>
- Medina Cruz, D., Mostafavi, E., Vernet-Crua, A., Barabadi, H., Shah, V., Cholula-Díaz, J. L., Guisbiers, G., & Webster, T. J. (2020). Green nanotechnology-based zinc oxide (ZnO)

- nanomaterials for biomedical applications: A review. *Journal of Physics: Materials*, 3(3), 034005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2515-7639/ab8186>
- Mitra, D., Mondal, R., Khoshru, B., Senapati, A., Radha, T. K., Mahakur, B., Uniyal, N., Myo, E. M., Boutaj, H., Sierra, B. E. G., Panneerselvam, P., Ganeshamurthy, A. N., Elković, S. A., Vasić, T., Rani, A., Dutta, S., & Mohapatra, P. K. D. (2022). Actinobacteria-enhanced plant growth, nutrient acquisition, and crop protection: Advances in soil, plant, and microbial multifactorial interactions. *Pedosphere*, 32(1), 149–170. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(21\)60042-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(21)60042-5)
- Miyazawa, T., Itaya, M., Burdeos, G. C., Nakagawa, K., & Miyazawa, T. (2021). A Critical Review of the Use of Surfactant-Coated Nanoparticles in Nanomedicine and Food Nanotechnology. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, Volume 16, 3937–3999. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S298606>
- Mukherjee, R. K., Kumar, V., & Roy, K. (2022). Chemometric modeling of plant protection products (PPPs) for the prediction of acute contact toxicity against honey bees (*A. mellifera*): A 2D-QSAR approach. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 423, 127230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127230>
- Ni, M., Wang, H., Liu, X., Liao, Y., Fu, L., Wu, Q., Mu, J., Chen, X., & Li, J. (2021). Design of Variable Spray System for Plant Protection UAV Based on CFD Simulation and Regression Analysis. *Sensors*, 21(2), 638. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21020638>
- Paladhi, S., & Pradhan, A. K. (2021). Adaptive Distance Protection for Lines Connecting Converter-Interfaced Renewable Plants. *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, 9(6), 7088–7098. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JESTPE.2020.3000276>
- Pan, H., Huang, W., Wu, L., Hong, Q., Hu, Z., Wang, M., & Zhang, F. (2022). A pH Dual-Responsive Multifunctional Nanoparticle Based on Mesoporous Silica with Metal-Polymethacrylic Acid Gatekeeper for Improving Plant Protection and Nutrition. *Nanomaterials*, 12(4), 687. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano12040687>
- Phung, C. D., Tran, T. H., Pham, L. M., Nguyen, H. T., Jeong, J.-H., Yong, C. S., & Kim, J. O. (2020). Current developments in nanotechnology for improved cancer treatment, focusing on tumor hypoxia. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 324, 413–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2020.05.029>
- Pramanik, P. K. D., Solanki, A., Debnath, A., Nayyar, A., El-Sappagh, S., & Kwak, K.-S. (2020). Advancing Modern Healthcare With Nanotechnology, Nanobiosensors, and Internet of Nano Things: Taxonomies, Applications, Architecture, and Challenges. *IEEE Access*, 8, 65230–65266. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2984269>
- Pushparaj, K., Liu, W.-C., Meyyazhagan, A., Orlacchio, A., Pappusamy, M., Vadivalagan, C., Robert, A. A., Arumugam, V. A., Kamyab, H., Klemeš, J. J., Khademi, T., Mesbah, M., Chelliapan, S., & Balasubramanian, B. (2022). Nano- from nature to nurture: A comprehensive review on facets, trends, perspectives and sustainability of nanotechnology in the food sector. *Energy*, 240, 122732. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2021.122732>
- Ramírez, V., Martínez, J., Bustillos-Cristales, M. D. R., Catañeda-Antonio, D., Munive, J., & Baez, A. (2022). *Bacillus cereus* MH778713 elicits tomato plant protection against *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 132(1), 470–482. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.15179>
- Rossini, L., Contarini, M., Severini, M., Talano, D., & Speranza, S. (2020). A Modelling Approach to Describe the *Anthonomus eugenii* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) Life Cycle in Plant Protection: A Priori and a Posteriori Analysis. *Florida Entomologist*, 103(2), 259. <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.103.0217>
- Sharma, P., Aswini, K., Sai Prasad, J., Kumar, N., Pathak, D., Gond, S., Venkadasamy, G., & Suman, A. (2023). Characterization of actinobacteria from wheat seeds for plant growth

- promoting traits and protection against fungal pathogens. *Journal of Basic Microbiology*, 63(3–4), 439–453. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jobm.202200259>
- Singh, P., & Pradhan, A. K. (2020). A Local measurement based protection technique for distribution system with photovoltaic plants. *IET Renewable Power Generation*, 14(6), 996–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-rpg.2019.0996>
- Sportelli, M. C., Izzi, M., Kukushkina, E. A., Hossain, S. I., Picca, R. A., Ditaranto, N., & Cioffi, N. (2020). Can Nanotechnology and Materials Science Help the Fight against SARS-CoV-2? *Nanomaterials*, 10(4), 802. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10040802>
- Ugalde, J. M., Lamig, L., Herrera-Vásquez, A., Fuchs, P., Homagk, M., Kopriva, S., Müller-Schüssele, S. J., Holuigue, L., & Meyer, A. J. (2021). A dual role for glutathione transferase U7 in plant growth and protection from methyl viologen-induced oxidative stress. *Plant Physiology*, 187(4), 2451–2468. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiab444>
- Velivelli, S. L. S., Czymmek, K. J., Li, H., Shaw, J. B., Buchko, G. W., & Shah, D. M. (2020). Antifungal symbiotic peptide NCR044 exhibits unique structure and multifaceted mechanisms of action that confer plant protection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(27), 16043–16054. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2003526117>
- Wambacq, E., Alloul, A., Grunert, O., Carrette, J., Vermeir, P., Spanoghe, J., Sakarika, M., Vlaeminck, S. E., & Haesaert, G. (2022). Aerobes and phototrophs as microbial organic fertilizers: Exploring mineralization, fertilization and plant protection features. *PLOS ONE*, 17(2), e0262497. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262497>
- Xu, Y., Sun, Z., Xue, X., Gu, W., & Peng, B. (2020). A hybrid algorithm based on MOSFLA and GA for multi-UAVs plant protection task assignment and sequencing optimization. *Applied Soft Computing*, 96, 106623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106623>
- Yang, D. (2021). Application of Nanotechnology in the COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, Volume 16, 623–649. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S296383>
- Yang, J., Shi, Z., Liu, R., Wu, Y., & Zhang, X. (2020). Combined-therapeutic strategies synergistically potentiate glioblastoma multiforme treatment via nanotechnology. *Theranostics*, 10(7), 3223–3239. <https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.40298>
- Zhu, Y., Guo, Q., Tang, Y., Zhu, X., He, Y., Huang, H., & Luo, S. (2022). CFD simulation and measurement of the downwash airflow of a quadrotor plant protection UAV during operation. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 201, 107286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107286>

Copyright Holder :

© Thomas Pires et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Techno Agriculturae Studium of Research

This article is under: