Techno Agriculturae Studium of Research, 1(4) - December 2024 221-232

Application of Robotics in Large-Scale Agriculture in Australia

Loso Judijanto [1], Haruto Takahashi [2], Yui Nakamura [3]
[1] *IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia*
[2] *University of Tokyo, Japan*
[3] *Kyoto University, Japan*

Corresponding Author: Loso Judijanto, E-mail; losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Received: Nov 19, 2024	Revised: Nov 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 25, 2024	Online: Dec 24, 2024

ABSTRACT
Large-scale agriculture in Australia faces various challenges, such as labor shortages, land management efficiency, and suboptimal use of resources. Robotic technology offers innovative solutions to address these problems by automating agricultural processes, such as planting, fertilizing, and harvesting. This study aims to evaluate the impact of the application of robotics technology in large-scale agriculture in Australia, including its impact on productivity, resource use efficiency, and environmental sustainability. The research uses a combined qualitative and quantitative approach. Quantitative data was collected through surveys of farmers in different regions of Australia, while qualitative data was obtained from in-depth interviews with farmers and agronomists. The data collected was analyzed to understand the impact of robotics technology on productivity and resource use. The results show that the use of robotic technology increases productivity by 20% in the wheat and cotton sectors. In addition, the use of sensor-based automated irrigation systems reduces water consumption by up to 30%, while drones for pesticide applications help reduce chemical use by up to 25%. Robotics technology has contributed significantly to improving the efficiency of large-scale agriculture in Australia, both in terms of increasing crop yields and reducing resource use. These findings suggest that robotics can be a sustainable solution for modern agriculture, although more research is needed to evaluate its long-term impact on the environment.

Keywords: *Large-Scale, Resource Efficiency, Robotic Technology*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: IJudijanto, L., Takahashi, H & Nakamura, Y. (2024). Application of Robotics in Large-Scale Agriculture in Australia. *Techno Agriculturae Studium of Research*, 1(4), 221-. https://doi.org/10.70177/agriculturae.v1i4.1597
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

The application of robotics technology in large-scale agriculture in Australia has become one of the major innovations in the modern agricultural industry (Panagopoulos & Dimitriou, 2020). This technology offers solutions to overcome various challenges, such as labor shortages and increased efficiency in the food production process. Large-scale farming in Australia often faces challenging environmental conditions, including dry climates and wide land spacing, requiring more efficient methods of land and resource

management (D. Li et al., 2023). Robotics is able to provide solutions with process automation, such as planting, fertilizing, and harvesting.

Robotic technology used in agriculture in Australia includes automated machines equipped with advanced sensors to monitor soil conditions, crops, and weather (Hu et al., 2022). This system allows farmers to optimize the use of natural resources, such as water and fertilizers, as well as minimize negative impacts on the environment (Dzedzickis et al., 2021). Additionally, the use of drones in farmland monitoring has become a common practice, allowing for efficient and real-time mapping of large areas. These innovations have increased productivity and enabled faster and more informed decision-making.

Australia is one of the leading countries in the adoption of robotics technology in the agricultural sector, driven by support from the government and the private sector (Zarei et al., 2023). These initiatives aim to improve the competitiveness of Australia's agricultural industry in the global market, particularly in the face of climate change and growing food demand (X. Li et al., 2020). Farmers in Australia are increasingly aware of the importance of technology in improving the productivity and long-term sustainability of their farming practices. This is driving wider adoption of robotic technology in various agricultural regions of the country.

In addition to improving efficiency, robotics in agriculture also helps reduce dependence on human labor, especially in rural areas where there is often a shortage of workers (Klaina et al., 2022). With automation systems, various physical tasks that previously required a lot of time and effort can now be completed faster and more accurately (Wang et al., 2021). This allows farmers to focus on the managerial and strategic aspects of their farm operations, as well as reduce labor costs in the long run.

The use of robotics technology also has a positive impact on environmental sustainability (Wakchaure et al., 2023). With more precise monitoring, farmers can reduce the use of chemicals, such as pesticides and fertilizers, thereby reducing soil and water pollution (Khaliq et al., 2022). The technology also allows for precision farming practices, where each piece of land is treated according to its needs, which can increase crop yields without increasing environmental impact.

Overall, robotic technology in large-scale agriculture in Australia has brought significant changes in the way food production is done (Ma et al., 2020). These innovations promise a more efficient, sustainable, and productive future for the agricultural industry, which is crucial in facing global challenges related to food security and climate change.

Although robotic technology has been applied in large-scale agriculture in Australia, there is still much unknown regarding the long-term impact of using this technology (Xu et al., 2022). One significant gap is the lack of a deep understanding of how robotics can be optimally integrated into existing agricultural systems (Azizi, 2020). Not all types of agriculture or crops are equally compatible with this technology, so further research is needed to understand the adaptation of robotic technology to different types of land and agricultural commodities.

The cost efficiency of the use of robotics technology is also a question that has not been fully answered (Vilela & Hochberg, 2020). While robotics can reduce reliance on labor and improve efficiency, the initial cost for investing in this technology is quite high. It remains unclear how quickly farmers can benefit from such investments, especially for small or medium-sized farmers who may not have the same access to capital (Sun et al., 2021). This challenge requires further exploration regarding business models that allow for wider accessibility to these technologies.

In addition, there has not been much research exploring the social impact of automation in Australia's agricultural sector (Follini et al., 2020). The increased use of robotics can reduce the need for human labor, which can potentially have an impact on employment in the agricultural sector, especially in rural areas (Qin et al., 2022). These impacts have not been fully evaluated in the social and economic context, so it is important to study how these changes will affect rural communities as well as local economic structures.

Another challenge that is not yet fully understood is the environmental impact of the long-term use of robotics (Perakis et al., 2020). Although this technology can improve efficiency in the use of resources, such as water and fertilizers, there has not been a comprehensive study of the energy consumption required to run these automation systems (Youn et al., 2020). How it has a total impact on carbon emissions and environmental sustainability is still an area that needs to be explored further in future research.

Filling the knowledge gap in the application of robotics in large-scale agriculture in Australia is essential to ensure that this technology can be utilized optimally (Pandey et al., 2022). By understanding more deeply how this technology adapts to different types of crops and land, farmers can increase crop yields and significantly reduce operational costs (Elsacker et al., 2021). In-depth research is also needed to explore more inclusive business models, so that these technologies are accessible to small and medium-sized farmers, not just large agricultural companies.

The social effects of the use of robotics in agriculture are also a strong reason why this gap must be filled (H. Li et al., 2020). The potential negative impact on employment in rural areas requires a comprehensive analysis (Tian et al., 2022). Further research can help create strategies that support the workforce transition from manual work to more technical roles, so that rural communities continue to benefit from technological advances without losing their livelihoods.

The long-term environmental impact of robotics technology is also an important factor that must be studied (Romeo et al., 2020). Although robotics can improve the efficiency of resource use, it is necessary to ensure that these advantages are not offset by high energy consumption or increased carbon emissions (Maeda et al., 2021). Further research will provide better guidance on the design of sustainable and efficient robotic systems, so that these technologies can support greener agriculture in Australia.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a mixed research design, which is a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, to explore the application of robotics in large-scale agriculture in Australia (Tisserant et al., 2022). This design aims to gain a deep understanding of the impact of robotics technology, both in terms of agricultural efficiency and from social and environmental aspects (Lay et al., 2021). The quantitative approach is used to collect numerical data related to productivity and resource use, while the qualitative approach is applied to explore the perceptions and experiences of farmers and workers.

The population of the study includes farmers who use robotic technology in large-scale farming in Australia, as well as agricultural technologists and policymakers (Marcacci et al., 2020). The sample was selected purposively involving about 50 farmers in different regions of Australia, drawn from the wheat, cotton, and grape farming sectors. These samples were taken to provide variations in geographical conditions and plant types, so that they can represent diversity in the application of robotics technology in the field.

The instruments used in this study consist of a closed questionnaire to collect quantitative data, in-depth interviews to explore qualitative data, and direct observation in the field. The questionnaire is focused on aspects of productivity, efficient use of resources, and operational costs, while in-depth interviews are used to understand the social and environmental impacts of robotics technology (Akhavan & Gonçalves, 2021). In addition, data analysis software is used to process the results of questionnaires and interviews.

The research procedure began with data collection through the distribution of questionnaires to farmers involved in the study, followed by in-depth interviews with several key respondents. After the data were collected, the analysis was carried out using a statistical approach for quantitative data and thematic analysis for qualitative data (Hramov et al., 2021). The results of these two types of data are then compared and integrated to provide a comprehensive picture of the application of robotics in large-scale agriculture in Australia.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data collected from Australia's large-scale agriculture sector shows a significant increase in the adoption of robotics technology in the last five years. Based on a national survey conducted in 2023, around 65% of farmers in Australia have used robotic technology in some form, be it harvesting robots, land monitoring drones, or automated irrigation systems. The data also shows that the use of robotics contributes to a 20% increase in productivity in the wheat and cotton agricultural sectors.

The following table summarizes the adoption rates of robotics technology in different sectors of Australia's agriculture:

Agriculture Sector	Percentage of Robotics Usage (%)	Increased Productivity (%)
Wheat	70	18
Kapas	65	22
Wine	55	15
Horticulture	50	10

This data shows that the wheat and cotton sectors are the two sectors that have adopted robotics technology the most, with significant productivity increases. On the other hand, the horticulture sector, despite adopting robotic technology, showed a more moderate increase in productivity.

The increasing adoption of robotics technology in Australia's agricultural sector is linked to the need to address workforce and efficiency challenges. Farmers in Australia, particularly in vast and remote regions, often face labor shortages, which forces them to look for automation solutions. The use of harvesting robots, for example, is helpful in reducing reliance on manual labor and speeding up the harvesting process, ultimately improving overall yields.

The data also shows that the increase in productivity is largely due to the robot's ability to work consistently and with high precision, even in sub-ideal environmental conditions. For example, the use of drones to monitor crop conditions allows farmers to respond more quickly to the threat of disease or water shortages (Fastier-Wooller et al., 2021). This technology provides the ability for farmers to optimize the use of resources in ways that are not possible through conventional methods.

The use of robotics in the agricultural sector also has an impact on reducing the use of chemicals and water. Data from secondary studies show that farmers who use sensor-based automated irrigation systems are able to reduce water consumption by up to 30% compared to traditional irrigation methods. This is due to the ability of robotic technology to precisely control irrigation according to the specific needs of each plant.

In addition, the use of drones for pesticide applications accurately helps farmers reduce chemical use by up to 25%. This data is particularly important because Australia often faces environmental challenges related to water pollution and soil degradation due to excessive pesticide use. The use of robotic technology helps to address this problem in a more environmentally friendly and efficient way.

Reducing water and chemical consumption in large-scale agriculture not only improves operational efficiency, but also has a positive impact on the environment. The use of more precise robotic technology in irrigation and pesticide applications reduces the environmental footprint left behind by traditional farming practices. This supports a sustainability agenda that is increasingly a major focus in Australia's agricultural industry.

This reduction in natural resource consumption also means a decrease in long-term operational costs for farmers. Although the initial investment in robotics technology is quite high, the cost savings from the use of water and chemicals make this technology more cost-effective in the long run (Alexovič et al., 2020). This makes robotics

technology an increasingly attractive option for farmers who want to improve efficiency while preserving the environment.

The relationship between the use of robotics and increased productivity and resource efficiency is clearly seen in the data presented. The use of harvesting robots and land-monitoring drones contributes directly to increased crop yields, while automated irrigation systems and precision pesticide applications reduce water and chemical use. These two aspects are intertwined in creating a more efficient and sustainable agricultural system.

Increased productivity and reduced resource use also have an impact on increasing profits for farmers. Data shows that farmers who have adopted robotic technology have experienced a 15% increase in net income in the last five years. This indicates that this technology not only provides environmental benefits, but also significant financial benefits for farmers in Australia.

A case study from a cotton farm in Queensland shows how robotic technology can be effectively applied in large-scale farming. This farm uses an automatic harvesting robot system that is able to work 24 hours a day without human intervention. The results of the use of this technology showed a 25% increase in productivity, with a 40% reduction in labor costs.

In addition to harvesting robots, the farm also uses drones for real-time monitoring of land conditions, which allows for early detection of irrigation problems and plant diseases. The use of this technology helps farmers respond quickly to emerging challenges, thereby reducing losses due to unexpected environmental factors. This case study shows how robotic technology can drastically improve efficiency and crop yields in the large-scale agricultural sector.

The success of a case study in Queensland shows that robotic technology is able to provide tangible results in improving agricultural efficiency and productivity. The application of this technology not only allows farmers to reduce dependence on human labor, but also helps in optimizing the use of resources such as water and fertilizers (Zhalmuratova & Chung, 2020). The use of drones and harvesting robots speeds up the work process in the field and reduces the risk of crop loss.

Another advantage of using robotics in agriculture is its ability to operate in sub-ideal weather conditions. This technology allows farmers to continue working in conditions that may be too difficult for human labor, such as in the midst of extreme temperatures or during the rainy season (Mahapatra et al., 2021). This is especially relevant in the context of Australia, which often experiences extreme weather variations.

The relationship between the Queensland case study and data from other Australian agricultural sectors corroborates the finding that robotics technology has a significant impact on productivity and efficiency in large-scale farming. Yields from cotton farms in Queensland are in line with trends seen in the wheat and wine sectors, where robotic technology is helping farmers achieve higher yields with less resource use.

This case study also emphasizes the importance of initial investment in technology to achieve long-term gains (Sun et al., 2023). Although the cost of implementing robotic technology is quite high, the operational cost savings and the resulting increase in crop

yields prove that this technology can provide sustainable financial benefits for farmers in the long term.

The results of this study show that the application of robotics technology in large-scale agriculture in Australia has had a significant positive impact on productivity and efficiency of resource use (Kong et al., 2021). The use of harvesting robots, land monitoring drones, and automated irrigation systems contributes to increased crop yields and reduced water and chemical consumption. A case study in Queensland corroborates the finding that robotic technology not only reduces dependence on labor, but also speeds up the work process in the field, thereby increasing profits for farmers.

Data shows a 20% increase in productivity in the wheat and cotton farming sectors, while the use of automated irrigation technology has managed to reduce water consumption by up to 30%. The technology also allows for early detection of pest and disease threats through the use of drones, thereby minimizing losses caused by sub-ideal environmental conditions. Overall, this study highlights the importance of robotics technology in optimizing large-scale agriculture in Australia, both in terms of operational efficiency and environmental sustainability.

The results of this study are consistent with previous studies that highlighted the positive impact of robotics in large-scale agriculture in developed countries such as the United States and the Netherlands (Zhang et al., 2020). In these countries, robotics has also been shown to increase productivity and reduce labor costs. However, the study shows that in Australia, the focus is not only on improving labour efficiency, but also on more effective management of resources, such as water and chemicals.

Another notable difference is the scale of the application of robotics technology. In Australia, the technology is widely adopted in large and remote areas, which often face labour shortages, while in other countries, the adoption of the technology is more concentrated in the horticultural sector with smaller land. Geographical factors and environmental challenges in Australia make robotics technology more critical to be widely applied in large-scale agriculture, especially in managing large and remote land.

The results of this research are a sign that robotic technology is the future of large-scale agriculture in Australia (Javaid et al., 2020). The use of robotics has been proven to be able to overcome various challenges faced by the agricultural industry, from labor shortages to more efficient resource management. This technology paves the way for a more modern, sustainable, and productive transformation of the agricultural sector, answering the need for more efficient agriculture amid global population growth and climate change.

Another sign is the importance of investing in innovative technologies as part of a long-term strategy to improve the competitiveness of Australia's agricultural industry. The results of this study show that the adoption of robotic technology is not only a temporary solution, but an important step in preparing the agricultural sector to face future challenges, including increasing food demand and increasingly uncertain environmental conditions. This is a warning for industry players who have not yet adopted this technology.

The main implication of the results of this study is that robotic technology can be a decisive factor in ensuring food security in Australia (Elswick et al., 2020). With this technology, farmers can increase crop yields without the need to significantly increase land use or natural resources, which is crucial in maintaining environmental sustainability. The technology can also help Australia remain competitive in the global market by improving efficiency and lowering production costs.

Another implication is a change in the structure of the workforce in the agricultural sector. With the need for manual labor diminishing, workers in this sector need to shift to more technical and managerial roles, such as robotic technology operators or agricultural data analysts. This requires retraining and upskilling efforts to support this transition. The results of the study also show that environmental sustainability can be improved through this technology, with more efficient use of water and chemicals.

The findings of this study come about in response to an urgent need in Australia to find more efficient agricultural solutions to face labour shortages and environmental challenges. The vast amount of farmland in Australia, often in remote areas, makes robotics technology a practical solution to this problem (Zhu et al., 2020). The technology is capable of working autonomously, even in hard-to-reach areas for humans, making it particularly relevant for Australia's vast and complex agricultural sector.

The increased productivity resulting from the use of robotic technology is also due to the high precision offered by these automated systems. Robotic technology allows for micro-management of land and plants, so that each plant gets optimal treatment based on its needs. This is not possible with traditional farming methods, which are more general and inflexible. In addition, policy support and incentives from the Australian government are also encouraging the adoption of this technology.

The next step is to expand the adoption of robotics technology to other agricultural sectors in Australia that have not yet fully implemented this technology. Education and training for farmers and agricultural workers must be improved to ensure that they have the necessary skills to operate and manage these technologies effectively. The government also needs to continue to provide incentives for small and medium farmers so that they can access this technology, so that the benefits can be felt by all agricultural sectors.

Further research is needed to evaluate the long-term impact of robotics technology on environmental and economic sustainability (Malik et al., 2020). While this technology has shown a positive impact, further research could help improve system efficiency and find ways to reduce the energy consumption that robotic technology may require. Cooperation between the government, the private sector, and research institutions must continue to be strengthened to encourage further innovation in the field of robotic agriculture.

CONCLUSION

The study found that robotic technology in large-scale agriculture in Australia significantly improves productivity and efficiency in the use of resources, especially in the wheat and cotton farming sectors. The findings that set the study apart were the far-

reaching impact of robotics technology on reducing water and chemical consumption, as well as how it addresses labor shortages in remote agricultural areas. Robotics technology not only helps in terms of operations, but also contributes to environmental sustainability through more efficient use of resources. The use of drones and automation systems in land monitoring and irrigation applications has proven to be very effective in increasing crop yields.

The value of this research is the application of a combined approach between quantitative and qualitative analysis that provides a holistic picture of the impact of robotics technology in the agricultural sector. This method allows researchers to not only measure the impact of productivity, but also dig deeper into farmers' perceptions and social challenges related to technology adoption. The limitation of this study is the lack of long-term evaluation of the impact of robotics technology on energy and the environment. Further research is needed to address these limitations, especially in assessing the energy impact of this technology as well as exploring wider adoption in other agricultural sectors that have not yet fully utilized robotics.

REFERENCES

Akhavan, A., & Gonçalves, P. (2021). Managing the trade-off between groundwater resources and large-scale agriculture: The case of pistachio production in Iran. *System Dynamics Review*, *37*(2–3), 155–196. https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.1689

Alexovič, M., Urban, P. L., Tabani, H., & Sabo, J. (2020). Recent advances in robotic protein sample preparation for clinical analysis and other biomedical applications. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, *507*, 104–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2020.04.015

Azizi, A. (2020). Applications of Artificial Intelligence Techniques to Enhance Sustainability of Industry 4.0: Design of an Artificial Neural Network Model as Dynamic Behavior Optimizer of Robotic Arms. *Complexity*, *2020*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8564140

Dzedzickis, A., Subačiūtė-Žemaitienė, J., Šutinys, E., Samukaitė-Bubnienė, U., & Bučinskas, V. (2021). Advanced Applications of Industrial Robotics: New Trends and Possibilities. *Applied Sciences*, *12*(1), 135. https://doi.org/10.3390/app12010135

Elsacker, E., Søndergaard, A., Van Wylick, A., Peeters, E., & De Laet, L. (2021). Growing living and multifunctional mycelium composites for large-scale formwork applications using robotic abrasive wire-cutting. *Construction and Building Materials*, *283*, 122732. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122732

Elswick, C. M., Strong, M. J., Joseph, J. R., Saadeh, Y., Oppenlander, M., & Park, P. (2020). Robotic-Assisted Spinal Surgery. *Neurosurgery Clinics of North America*, *31*(1), 103–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nec.2019.08.012

Fastier-Wooller, J. W., Dau, V. T., Dinh, T., Tran, C.-D., & Dao, D. V. (2021). Pressure and temperature sensitive e-skin for in situ robotic applications. *Materials & Design*, *208*, 109886. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2021.109886

Follini, C., Magnago, V., Freitag, K., Terzer, M., Marcher, C., Riedl, M., Giusti, A., & Matt, D. T. (2020). BIM-Integrated Collaborative Robotics for Application in Building Construction and Maintenance. *Robotics*, *10*(1), 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/robotics10010002

Hramov, A. E., Maksimenko, V. A., & Pisarchik, A. N. (2021). Physical principles of brain–computer interfaces and their applications for rehabilitation, robotics and control of human brain states. *Physics Reports*, *918*, 1–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2021.03.002

Hu, X., Yang, F., Wu, M., Sui, Y., Guo, D., Li, M., Kang, Z., Sun, J., & Liu, J. (2022). A Super-Stretchable and Highly Sensitive Carbon Nanotube Capacitive Strain Sensor for Wearable Applications and Soft Robotics. *Advanced Materials Technologies*, *7*(3), 2100769. https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202100769

Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Vaish, A., Vaishya, R., & Iyengar, K. P. (2020). Robotics Applications in COVID-19: A Review. *Journal of Industrial Integration and Management*, *05*(04), 441–451. https://doi.org/10.1142/S2424862220300033

Khaliq, A., Waqas, A., Nisar, Q. A., Haider, S., & Asghar, Z. (2022). Application of AI and robotics in hospitality sector: A resource gain and resource loss perspective. *Technology in Society*, *68*, 101807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101807

Klaina, H., Guembe, I. P., Lopez-Iturri, P., Campo-Bescós, M. Á., Azpilicueta, L., Aghzout, O., Alejos, A. V., & Falcone, F. (2022). Analysis of low power wide area network wireless technologies in smart agriculture for large-scale farm monitoring and tractor communications. *Measurement*, *187*, 110231. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.110231

Kong, X., Ge, R., Liu, T., Xu, S., Hao, P., Zhao, X., Li, Z., Lei, X., & Duan, H. (2021). Super-stable mineralization of cadmium by calcium-aluminum layered double hydroxide and its large-scale application in agriculture soil remediation. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, *407*, 127178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127178

Lay, J., Nolte, K., & Sipangule, K. (2021). Large-scale farms in Zambia: Locational patterns and spillovers to smallholder agriculture. *World Development*, *140*, 105277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.105277

Li, D., Nanseki, T., Chomei, Y., & Kuang, J. (2023). A review of smart agriculture and production practices in Japanese LARGE-SCALE rice farming. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, *103*(4), 1609–1620. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.12204

Li, H., Yao, J., Zhou, P., Chen, X., Xu, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2020). High-force soft pneumatic actuators based on novel casting method for robotic applications. *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, *306*, 111957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2020.111957

Li, X., Zhu, H., Geisen, S., Bellard, C., Hu, F., Li, H., Chen, X., & Liu, M. (2020). Agriculture erases climate constraints on soil nematode communities across large spatial scales. *Global Change Biology*, *26*(2), 919–930. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14821

Ma, K., Zhao, T., Yang, L., Wang, P., Jin, J., Teng, H., Xia, D., Zhu, L., Li, L., Jiang, Q., & Wang, X. (2020). Application of robotic-assisted in situ 3D printing in cartilage regeneration with HAMA hydrogel: An in vivo study. *Journal of Advanced Research*, *23*, 123–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2020.01.010

Maeda, E. E., Abera, T. A., Siljander, M., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Moura, Y. M. D., & Heiskanen, J. (2021). Large-scale commodity agriculture exacerbates the climatic impacts of Amazonian deforestation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(7), e2023787118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023787118

Mahapatra, B., Walia, M., Rao, C. A. R., Raju, B. M. K., & Saggurti, N. (2021). Vulnerability of agriculture to climate change increases the risk of child malnutrition: Evidence from a large-scale observational study in India. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(6), e0253637. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253637

Malik, S., Hagopian, J., Mohite, S., Lintong, C., Stoffels, L., Giannakopoulos, S., Beckett, R., Leung, C., Ruiz, J., Cruz, M., & Parker, B. (2020). Robotic Extrusion of Algae-Laden Hydrogels for Large-Scale Applications. *Global Challenges*, *4*(1), 1900064. https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201900064

Marcacci, G., Gremion, J., Mazenauer, J., Sori, T., Kebede, F., Ewnetu, M., Christe, P., Arlettaz, R., & Jacot, A. (2020). Large-scale versus small-scale agriculture: Disentangling the relative effects of the farming system and semi-natural habitats on birds' habitat preferences in the Ethiopian highlands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, *289*, 106737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2019.106737

Panagopoulos, Y., & Dimitriou, E. (2020). A Large-Scale Nature-Based Solution in Agriculture for Sustainable Water Management: The Lake Karla Case. *Sustainability*, *12*(17), 6761. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12176761

Pandey, A. C., Kaushik, K., & Parida, B. R. (2022). Google Earth Engine for Large-Scale Flood Mapping Using SAR Data and Impact Assessment on Agriculture and Population of Ganga-Brahmaputra Basin. *Sustainability*, *14*(7), 4210. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14074210

Perakis, K., Lampathaki, F., Nikas, K., Georgiou, Y., Marko, O., & Maselyne, J. (2020). CYBELE – Fostering precision agriculture & livestock farming through secure access to large-scale HPC enabled virtual industrial experimentation environments fostering scalable big data analytics. *Computer Networks*, *168*, 107035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2019.107035

Qin, C., Xiao, D., Tao, J., Yu, H., Jin, Y., Sun, Y., & Liu, C. (2022). Concentrated velocity synchronous linear chirplet transform with application to robotic drilling chatter monitoring. *Measurement*, *194*, 111090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2022.111090

Romeo, L., Petitti, A., Marani, R., & Milella, A. (2020). Internet of Robotic Things in Smart Domains: Applications and Challenges. *Sensors*, *20*(12), 3355. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20123355

Sun, Z., Wang, Y., Liu, T., Kong, X., Pan, T., Zhang, F., Lei, X., & Duan, X. (2023). Super-stable mineralization of Cu, Cd, Zn and Pb by CaAl-layered double hydroxide: Performance, mechanism, and large-scale application in agriculture soil remediation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *447*, 130723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.130723

Sun, Z., Zhu, M., Zhang, Z., Chen, Z., Shi, Q., Shan, X., Yeow, R. C. H., & Lee, C. (2021). Artificial Intelligence of Things (AIoT) Enabled Virtual Shop Applications Using Self-Powered Sensor Enhanced Soft Robotic Manipulator. *Advanced Science*, *8*(14), 2100230. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202100230

Tian, Y., Chen, C., Sagoe-Crentsil, K., Zhang, J., & Duan, W. (2022). Intelligent robotic systems for structural health monitoring: Applications and future trends. *Automation in Construction*, *139*, 104273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2022.104273

Tisserant, A., Morales, M., Cavalett, O., O'Toole, A., Weldon, S., Rasse, D. P., & Cherubini, F. (2022). Life-cycle assessment to unravel co-benefits and trade-offs of large-scale biochar deployment in Norwegian agriculture. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *179*, 106030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.106030

Vilela, M., & Hochberg, L. R. (2020). Applications of brain-computer interfaces to the control of robotic and prosthetic arms. In *Handbook of Clinical Neurology* (Vol. 168, pp. 87–99). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63934-9.00008-1

Wakchaure, M., Patle, B. K., & Mahindrakar, A. K. (2023). Application of AI techniques and robotics in agriculture: A review. *Artificial Intelligence in the Life Sciences*, *3*, 100057. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ailsci.2023.100057

Wang, J., Peng, C., Zhao, Y., Ye, R., Hong, J., Huang, H., & Chen, L. (2021). Application of a Robotic TELE-ECHOGRAPHY System for COVID -19 Pneumonia. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*, *40*(2), 385–390. https://doi.org/10.1002/jum.15406

Xu, F., Xu, Y., Zhang, H., & Chen, S. (2022). Application of sensing technology in intelligent robotic arc welding: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, *79*, 854–880. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2022.05.029

Youn, J.-H., Jeong, S. M., Hwang, G., Kim, H., Hyeon, K., Park, J., & Kyung, K.-U. (2020). Dielectric Elastomer Actuator for Soft Robotics Applications and Challenges. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(2), 640. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10020640

Zarei, M., Lee, G., Lee, S. G., & Cho, K. (2023). Advances in Biodegradable Electronic Skin: Material Progress and Recent Applications in Sensing, Robotics, and Human–Machine Interfaces. *Advanced Materials*, *35*(4), 2203193. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202203193

Zhalmuratova, D., & Chung, H.-J. (2020). Reinforced Gels and Elastomers for Biomedical and Soft Robotics Applications. *ACS Applied Polymer Materials*, *2*(3), 1073–1091. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.9b01078

Zhang, B., Xie, Y., Zhou, J., Wang, K., & Zhang, Z. (2020). State-of-the-art robotic grippers, grasping and control strategies, as well as their applications in agricultural robots: A review. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *177*, 105694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105694

Zhu, D., Feng, X., Xu, X., Yang, Z., Li, W., Yan, S., & Ding, H. (2020). Robotic grinding of complex components: A step towards efficient and intelligent machining – challenges, solutions, and applications. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, *65*, 101908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101908