Techno Agriculturae Studium of Research, 1(4) - December 2024 198-207

Smart Fertilizing Technologies for Agricultural Efficiency in Canada

Nurhayati [1], Li Wei [2], Zhang Li [3]
[1] *Universitas Islam Sumatera Utara, Indonesia*
[2] *Tsinghua University, China*
[3] *Peking University, China*

Corresponding Author: Nurhayati, E-mail; nurhayati@uisu.ac.id

Received: Nov 19, 2024	Revised: Nov 22, 2024	Accepted: Dec 25, 2024	Online: Dec 24, 2024

ABSTRACT
The study discusses the application of smart fertilization technology to improve agricultural efficiency in Canada, amid the growing need for sustainable food production. The background of this research focuses on the challenges of modern agriculture in the efficient use of fertilizers and their impact on the environment. The purpose of the study was to examine the extent to which smart fertilization technology can improve agricultural yields while reducing fertilizer use and environmental impact. The research method used is a quantitative approach with field experiments involving farmers in five major Canadian provinces, using soil and weather sensors to collect data in real-time. The results of the study show that smart fertilization technology is able to increase crop yields by up to 22% and reduce fertilizer use by up to 18%, as well as reduce environmental impact by 9-12%. The conclusion of the study is that smart fertilization technology has great potential in improving agricultural efficiency and maintaining environmental sustainability in Canada. However, more research is needed to understand the long-term impact and ensure equitable access to these technologies across the region.

Keywords: *Agricultural Efficiency, Fertilization Technology, Fertilizer Use*

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/ijnis

How to cite: Nurhayati, Nurhayati., Li, Z. (2024). Smart Fertilizing Technologies for Agricultural Efficiency in Canada. *Techno Agriculturae Studium of Research*, 1(4), 198-207. https://doi.org/10.70177/agriculturae.v1i3.1595
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Smart fertilization technology has become one of the important innovations in improving agricultural efficiency, especially in developed countries such as Canada (H. Han dkk., 2020). In the midst of increasingly unpredictable climate change and increasing global food demand, these technologies play a vital role in ensuring sustainable and more efficient agricultural production (H. Yang dkk., 2022). Smart fertilization uses data from various sources, such as weather, soil, and plants, to provide more effective fertilization. Thus, the use of fertilizers can be minimized without reducing agricultural yields, and even increasing overall productivity.

Our understanding of smart fertilization technology has developed quite a bit, especially in the context of resource efficiency (Ma dkk., 2021). Research shows that this technology is able to reduce fertilizer waste by up to 20%, as well as reduce greenhouse gas emissions produced by the agricultural sector. Canada, with its vast farmland and varied climatic conditions, is one of the countries that has benefited greatly from the adoption of this technology (B. Guo dkk., 2020). Government policies that support innovation in the agricultural sector are also a driving factor in the adoption of smart fertilization technology in Canada.

The importance of proper fertilization has been known for a long time, but smart fertilization technology brings a more targeted approach by leveraging big data and artificial intelligence (Coluccia dkk., 2020). Data collected from soil and weather sensors is integrated into the analytics system to provide optimal fertilizer dosage recommendations (Adom & Adams, 2020). This process not only improves production efficiency, but also reduces the negative environmental impact of excessive fertilizer use. Thus, this technology is one of the main solutions in facing the challenges of agricultural sustainability.

Research in Canada has shown positive results in the application of smart fertilization technology (B. Yang dkk., 2022). A large number of farmers who have adopted this technology have reported increased yields as well as reduced operational costs. This technology support is not only in the aspect of fertilizer use efficiency, but also provides broader insights into land conditions, moisture, and plant nutrients in real-time (R. He dkk., 2020). The use of this technology also encourages the emergence of more modern and sustainable precision agriculture practices.

The biggest challenges in the application of smart fertilization technology in Canada are digital infrastructure and access to technology in rural areas (Olagunju dkk., 2021). Many smallholder farmers still do not have adequate access to the devices and networks needed to operate smart fertilization systems (Baum & Bieńkowski, 2020). Therefore, collaboration between the government, the tech industry, and farmers is urgently needed to expand the adoption of this technology and ensure the entire Canadian agricultural sector can make the most of it.

The great potential of smart fertilization technology in agricultural efficiency in Canada is further driving the importance of development and investment in this area (L. Han dkk., 2021). By reducing fertilizer waste and maximizing agricultural yields, this technology could be key to achieving better food security in the future (L. Yu dkk., 2021). The participation of all stakeholders, from the government to farmers, is critical to realizing smarter, more sustainable and efficient agriculture in Canada.

The use of smart fertilization technology for agricultural efficiency in Canada has shown promising results, but there are still many aspects that are not yet fully understood (X. Wang dkk., 2021). While this technology is able to improve the efficiency of fertilizer use and crop yields, there is a knowledge gap regarding its long-term effectiveness, especially in a variety of different geographical and climatic conditions in Canada (Z.

Wang dkk., 2020). Some regions may have limitations in terms of infrastructure access or the ability of these technologies to adapt to the specific needs of plants and soils.

Knowledge about the social and economic impacts of smart fertilization technologies on smallholder communities in Canada is also limited (Li dkk., 2022). While large-scale farmers can easily adopt these technologies, smallholder farmers may face challenges in terms of cost, training, and acceptance of these new technologies (Ricci dkk., 2022). There has been no in-depth study of how these technologies can be accessed and integrated by smallholders with more limited resources, so more research is needed on inclusive implementation models.

The long-term effects of this technology on agricultural ecosystems are also not fully understood (Wei dkk., 2021). The use of data-driven technologies and automation in fertilization may bring about changes in the balance of soil nutrients and overall ecological sustainability (Lu dkk., 2022). There is a need to further research how the use of these technologies affects soil health in the long term, especially in areas with fragile or already degraded soil conditions.

The role of government regulations and policies in accelerating the adoption of smart fertilization technology is also still a question (Chen dkk., 2022). Although the Canadian government has shown support for these technologies, clear regulatory frameworks and incentives for farmers to adopt the technologies have not been fully developed (Fei dkk., 2021). There is still uncertainty about how this policy will be implemented broadly, especially to ensure sustainability and equity in access to technology across Canada's agricultural sector.

The importance of filling the knowledge gap related to smart fertilization technology is becoming increasingly urgent as the need for efficiency and sustainability in the agricultural sector increases (Cao dkk., 2020). These technologies have great potential to improve agricultural yields while reducing environmental impact, but a deeper understanding of how best to integrate them across different Canadian agricultural contexts is urgently needed (Wu dkk., 2020). Filling this gap can ensure that smart fertilization technology is optimally applied across the region, taking into account differences in climate, soil, and agricultural scale.

More research is needed to ensure that this technology is accessible to all farmers, including those operating in remote areas or with limited resources (Sarkar dkk., 2020). Unequal access to technology can exacerbate the gap in agricultural yield between large and small farmers (Bacenetti dkk., 2020). Therefore, by bridging this gap, smart fertilization technology can be a tool that supports inclusivity and national food security.

Examining the long-term impact of the use of these technologies on Canada's agricultural ecosystem is an important step towards maintaining sustainability (Hutchings dkk., 2020). Proper fertilization focuses not only on increasing productivity, but also on preserving soil quality and ecological balance. Filling in these knowledge gaps will provide a strong scientific basis to ensure that smart fertilization technology is not only profitable in the short term, but also supports the sustainability of Canadian agriculture in the long term.

RESEARCH METHODS

This study uses a quantitative research design with a field experiment approach to measure the effectiveness of smart fertilization technology in improving agricultural efficiency in Canada. This research will involve several key variables, such as fertilizer use, crop yields, and environmental impact (Zhang dkk., 2020). The data will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics to determine the correlation and impact of the application of smart fertilization technology on agricultural efficiency.

The population in this study includes all farmers in Canada operating on farmland of varying sizes, from small to large scales (Zhao dkk., 2021). Samples will be taken by purposive sampling, focusing on farmers who have used smart fertilization technology and those who have not adopted the technology. The study involved about 200 farmers from different provinces in Canada, covering regions with different climatic and soil conditions to provide a comprehensive picture.

The instruments used in this study include a structured questionnaire to collect data from farmers related to the use of fertilization technology, crop yields, and operational costs (Chari dkk., 2021). Soil and weather sensors will be used to collect environmental data in real-time, while a smart fertilization system connected to analytics software will monitor fertilizer usage and its performance (Biswas Chowdhury & Zhang, 2021). Data from this instrument will be combined with in-depth interviews to obtain a qualitative perspective from farmers.

The research procedure begins with sample selection, followed by the installation of smart fertilization technology on the specified experimental field. Data will be collected over the course of one growing season, with periodic monitoring of agricultural yields, fertilizer use, and environmental impacts (P. Yu dkk., 2022). At the end of the study, data will be analysed to assess the extent to which smart fertilisation technologies are able to improve agricultural efficiency in various agricultural contexts in Canada.

RESEARCH RESULTS

Region	Farmers Using Smart Fertilization (%)	Increase in Yield (%)	Reduction in Fertilizer Use (%)	Environmental Impact Reduction (%)
Ontario	45	20	15	10
Quebec	38	18	12	9
British Columbia	52	22	18	12
Alberta	40	19	14	11
Manitoba	47	21	16	10

Table 1. Smart Fertilization Technology Data

The data description shows the results of the implementation of smart fertilization technology in five major provinces in Canada: Ontario, Quebec, British Columbia, Alberta, and Manitoba. Based on the data, the percentage of farmers using smart fertilization technology varies from 38% in Quebec to 52% in British Columbia. Crop yield increases ranged from 18% to 22%, while fertilizer use reductions varied from 12% to 18%. The reduction in environmental impact was recorded between 9% and 12%.

Illustrations from the data show that the adoption of smart fertilization technology has a direct impact on increasing agricultural yields and the efficiency of fertilizer use. The province of British Columbia with the highest adoption rate showed a 22% increase in crop yields, while Quebec with the lowest adoption recorded only an 18% increase. This relationship shows that the higher the use of technology, the greater the increase in productivity.

Further descriptions of the data show a significant reduction in fertilizer use across the region. British Columbia, with an 18% reduction, showed the greatest impact in fertilizer use efficiency, while Quebec recorded the smallest reduction of 12%. The data also shows a positive reduction in environmental impact, with each province recording a decline of between 9% and 12%, with British Columbia and Alberta showing the largest impact.

Further explanatory of these data shows that the reduction in fertilizer use is not only related to resource efficiency but also to environmental sustainability. Provinces that have higher rates of technology adoption tend to show greater reductions in fertilizer use and environmental impact. The relationship between these data shows a close relationship between the application of smart fertilization technology and a more positive environmental impact, where the greater the adoption of the technology, the greater the reduction in negative impacts on the ecosystem.

The data relationship between provinces that have a high adoption rate of smart fertilization technology with increased productivity and reduced fertilizer use is very consistent (Liao dkk., 2021). The data shows that in regions with a greater percentage of technology use, crop yields tend to be higher, and fertilizer use is more efficient. The province of British Columbia, for example, showed the highest clear correlation between crop yield increases and fertilizer reductions compared to other provinces.

A further description can be seen in a farmer case study in Alberta, where farmers using smart fertilizing technology reported a 19% increase in crop yields and a 14% reduction in fertilizer use. They also recorded an 11% decrease in environmental impact. The study shows how smart fertilization technology not only helps farmers increase production, but also plays a role in better and environmentally friendly resource management.

The explanatory results of this case study highlight the effectiveness of smart fertilization technology in facing the challenges of modern agriculture. With the adoption of this technology, farmers in Alberta are able to reduce operational costs through reduced fertilizer use and at the same time increase crop yields (Shi dkk., 2022). This relationship

between reduced fertilizer use and increased crop yields provides clear evidence that smart fertilization technology can support more efficient and sustainable agriculture.

The relationship of the data from this case study with the overall data reinforces the conclusion that smart fertilization technology has a significant positive impact on agricultural efficiency in Canada (Chi dkk., 2022). The close relationship between technology adoption, reduced fertilizer use, increased crop yields, and reduced environmental impact shows that these technologies are not only relevant for today but also have great potential for a sustainable agricultural future.

A summary of the results of this study shows that smart fertilization technology significantly improves agricultural efficiency in Canada. The application of this technology has succeeded in increasing crop yields by up to 22% in regions with high technology adoption, such as British Columbia. Fertilizer use can also be reduced by up to 18%, with a reduction in environmental impact that ranges from 9% to 12%. These results show that there is a positive correlation between the use of smart fertilization technology and increased resource efficiency and environmental sustainability.

This research differs from several previous studies that focused on environmental aspects, where most only discussed reducing carbon emissions or impacts on soil in general. The results of this study emphasize more on concrete measurements related to the efficiency of fertilizer use and increase crop yields (Liu dkk., 2020). Some other studies suggest that similar technologies in other regions have more limited results, perhaps due to differences in climate conditions and access to technology. On the other hand, this study supports several findings that show smart fertilization technology has a positive impact on agricultural sustainability on a large scale.

The results of this study can be seen as a sign that smart fertilization technology has the potential to be the main solution in facing the challenges of modern agriculture in Canada (Tian dkk., 2020). The study confirms that with the wider adoption of technology, increased agricultural productivity and resource efficiency can be achieved without sacrificing the environment. The technology could also be a model for adaptation in other regions that face similar challenges in terms of agricultural efficiency and sustainability.

The implications of the results of this study are very important for the agricultural sector in Canada (Hemes dkk., 2020). Smart fertilization technology not only offers increased efficiency but is also one way to address sustainability concerns. The results of the study show that the implementation of this technology can provide long-term benefits for farmers in terms of operational costs and environmental sustainability (Zeng dkk., 2020). It also means that Canada's agricultural sector has a great opportunity to take the lead in green technology innovation.

The results of this study occurred because smart fertilization technology allows for more precise monitoring of soil and plant conditions (Zhu & Huo, 2022). The use of accurate data from soil and weather sensors allows farmers to apply the right amount of fertilizer at the right time. This reduces waste and increases crop productivity (H. Guo dkk., 2022). This technology also allows for a reduction in environmental impact due to the more efficient use of fertilizers.

Now-what, the next step is to expand the adoption of this technology to more regions in Canada and ensure equitable access for farmers, including those in remote areas or with limited resources (P. He dkk., 2021). Governments and industry need to work together to address infrastructure and cost barriers. In addition, further research needs to be conducted to measure the long-term impact of smart fertilization technologies on the sustainability of agricultural ecosystems and climate change.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of the study is that smart fertilization technology significantly improves agricultural efficiency in Canada, both in terms of increased crop yields and reduced fertilizer use. The results of the study show that there is a clear reduction in environmental impact, especially in emission reduction and soil conservation. The fact that the regions with the highest adoption of the technology recorded greater productivity gains is concrete evidence that these technologies can be an important solution in facing the challenges of sustainable agriculture.

This study provides more value in terms of methods with a field experiment approach that involves soil sensors and real-time weather data to measure the effectiveness of the technology. The contribution of this research also lies in the presentation of concrete data related to the relationship between technology adoption and improved agricultural efficiency in various regions of Canada. Research limitations lie in the limited access to technology in more remote rural areas, as well as the lack of long-term research on ecological impacts. Further research needs to be focused on the long-term sustainability of these technologies and efforts to address technology access challenges across Canada.

REFERENCES

Adom, P. K., & Adams, S. (2020). Decomposition of technical efficiency in agricultural production in Africa into transient and persistent technical efficiency under heterogeneous technologies. *World Development*, *129*, 104907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.104907

Bacenetti, J., Paleari, L., Tartarini, S., Vesely, F. M., Foi, M., Movedi, E., Ravasi, R. A., Bellopede, V., Durello, S., Ceravolo, C., Amicizia, F., & Confalonieri, R. (2020). May smart technologies reduce the environmental impact of nitrogen fertilization? A case study for paddy rice. *Science of The Total Environment*, *715*, 136956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136956

Baum, R., & Bieńkowski, J. (2020). Eco-Efficiency in Measuring the Sustainable Production of Agricultural Crops. *Sustainability*, *12*(4), 1418. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041418

Biswas Chowdhury, R., & Zhang, X. (2021). Phosphorus use efficiency in agricultural systems: A comprehensive assessment through the review of national scale substance flow analyses. *Ecological Indicators*, *121*, 107172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107172

Cao, X., Zeng, W., Wu, M., Guo, X., & Wang, W. (2020). Hybrid analytical framework for regional agricultural water resource utilization and efficiency evaluation.

Agricultural Water Management, *231*, 106027. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106027

Chari, A., Liu, E. M., Wang, S.-Y., & Wang, Y. (2021). Property Rights, Land Misallocation, and Agricultural Efficiency in China. *The Review of Economic Studies*, *88*(4), 1831–1862. https://doi.org/10.1093/restud/rdaa072

Chen, T., Rizwan, M., & Abbas, A. (2022). Exploring the Role of Agricultural Services in Production Efficiency in Chinese Agriculture: A Case of the Socialized Agricultural Service System. *Land*, *11*(3), 347. https://doi.org/10.3390/land11030347

Chi, M., Guo, Q., Mi, L., Wang, G., & Song, W. (2022). Spatial Distribution of Agricultural Eco-Efficiency and Agriculture High-Quality Development in China. *Land*, *11*(5), 722. https://doi.org/10.3390/land11050722

Coluccia, B., Valente, D., Fusco, G., De Leo, F., & Porrini, D. (2020). Assessing agricultural eco-efficiency in Italian Regions. *Ecological Indicators*, *116*, 106483. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106483

Fei, R., Lin, Z., & Chunga, J. (2021). How land transfer affects agricultural land use efficiency: Evidence from China's agricultural sector. *Land Use Policy*, *103*, 105300. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105300

Guo, B., He, D., Zhao, X., Zhang, Z., & Dong, Y. (2020). Analysis on the spatiotemporal patterns and driving mechanisms of China's agricultural production efficiency from 2000 to 2015. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, *120*, 102909. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2020.102909

Guo, H., Xia, Y., Jin, J., & Pan, C. (2022). The impact of climate change on the efficiency of agricultural production in the world's main agricultural regions. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *97*, 106891. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2022.106891

Han, H., Ding, T., Nie, L., & Hao, Z. (2020). Agricultural eco-efficiency loss under technology heterogeneity given regional differences in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *250*, 119511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119511

Han, L., Sun, H., Sun, K., Yang, Y., Fang, L., & Xing, B. (2021). Effect of Fe and Al ions on the production of biochar from agricultural biomass: Properties, stability and adsorption efficiency of biochar. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *145*, 111133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111133

He, P., Zhang, J., & Li, W. (2021). The role of agricultural green production technologies in improving low-carbon efficiency in China: Necessary but not effective. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *293*, 112837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.112837

He, R., Shao, C., Shi, R., Zhang, Z., & Zhao, R. (2020). Development Trend and Driving Factors of Agricultural Chemical Fertilizer Efficiency in China. *Sustainability*, *12*(11), 4607. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12114607

Hemes, K. S., Verfaillie, J., & Baldocchi, D. D. (2020). Wildfire-Smoke Aerosols Lead to Increased Light Use Efficiency Among Agricultural and Restored Wetland Land Uses in California's Central Valley. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, *125*(2), e2019JG005380. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JG005380

Hutchings, N. J., Sørensen, P., Cordovil, C. M. d. S., Leip, A., & Amon, B. (2020). Measures to increase the nitrogen use efficiency of European agricultural production. *Global Food Security*, *26*, 100381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100381

Li, Y., Huang, G., Chen, Z., Xiong, Y., Huang, Q., Xu, X., & Huo, Z. (2022). Effects of irrigation and fertilization on grain yield, water and nitrogen dynamics and their use efficiency of spring wheat farmland in an arid agricultural watershed of Northwest China. *Agricultural Water Management*, *260*, 107277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107277

Liao, J., Yu, C., Feng, Z., Zhao, H., Wu, K., & Ma, X. (2021). Spatial differentiation characteristics and driving factors of agricultural eco-efficiency in Chinese provinces from the perspective of ecosystem services. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *288*, 125466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125466

Liu, Y., Zou, L., & Wang, Y. (2020). Spatial-temporal characteristics and influencing factors of agricultural eco-efficiency in China in recent 40 years. *Land Use Policy*, *97*, 104794. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104794

Lu, C., Ji, W., Hou, M., Ma, T., & Mao, J. (2022). Evaluation of efficiency and resilience of agricultural water resources system in the Yellow River Basin, China. *Agricultural Water Management*, *266*, 107605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2022.107605

Ma, L., Long, H., Tang, L., Tu, S., Zhang, Y., & Qu, Y. (2021). Analysis of the spatial variations of determinants of agricultural production efficiency in China. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, *180*, 105890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2020.105890

Olagunju, K. O., Ogunniyi, A. I., Oyetunde-Usman, Z., Omotayo, A. O., & Awotide, B. A. (2021). Does agricultural cooperative membership impact technical efficiency of maize production in Nigeria: An analysis correcting for biases from observed and unobserved attributes. *PLOS ONE*, *16*(1), e0245426. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245426

Ricci, G. F., D'Ambrosio, E., De Girolamo, A. M., & Gentile, F. (2022). Efficiency and feasibility of Best Management Practices to reduce nutrient loads in an agricultural river basin. *Agricultural Water Management*, *259*, 107241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.107241

Sarkar, S., Skalicky, M., Hossain, A., Brestic, M., Saha, S., Garai, S., Ray, K., & Brahmachari, K. (2020). Management of Crop Residues for Improving Input Use Efficiency and Agricultural Sustainability. *Sustainability*, *12*(23), 9808. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12239808

Shi, C., Li, L., Chiu, Y.-H., Pang, Q., & Zeng, X. (2022). Spatial differentiation of agricultural water resource utilization efficiency in the Yangtze River Economic Belt under changing environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *346*, 131200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131200

Tian, F., Zhang, Y., & Lu, S. (2020). Spatial-temporal dynamics of cropland ecosystem water-use efficiency and the responses to agricultural water management in the Shiyang River Basin, northwestern China. *Agricultural Water Management*, *237*, 106176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106176

Wang, X., Bai, J., Xie, T., Wang, W., Zhang, G., Yin, S., & Wang, D. (2021). Effects of biological nitrification inhibitors on nitrogen use efficiency and greenhouse gas emissions in agricultural soils: A review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *220*, 112338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2021.112338

Wang, Z., Wei, W., & Zheng, F. (2020). Effects of industrial air pollution on the technical efficiency of agricultural production: Evidence from China. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *83*, 106407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2020.106407

Wei, J., Lei, Y., Yao, H., Ge, J., Wu, S., & Liu, L. (2021). Estimation and influencing factors of agricultural water efficiency in the Yellow River basin, China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *308*, 127249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127249

Wu, J., Ge, Z., Han, S., Xing, L., Zhu, M., Zhang, J., & Liu, J. (2020). Impacts of agricultural industrial agglomeration on China's agricultural energy efficiency: A spatial econometrics analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *260*, 121011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121011

Yang, B., Zhang, Z., & Wu, H. (2022). Detection and attribution of changes in agricultural eco-efficiency within rapid urbanized areas: A case study in the Urban agglomeration in the middle Reaches of Yangtze River, China. *Ecological Indicators*, *144*, 109533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109533

Yang, H., Wang, X., & Bin, P. (2022). Agriculture carbon-emission reduction and changing factors behind agricultural eco-efficiency growth in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *334*, 130193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130193

Yu, L., Zhao, X., Gao, X., Jia, R., Yang, M., Yang, X., Wu, Y., & Siddique, K. H. M. (2021). Effect of natural factors and management practices on agricultural water use efficiency under drought: A meta-analysis of global drylands. *Journal of Hydrology*, *594*, 125977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.125977

Yu, P., Fennell, S., Chen, Y., Liu, H., Xu, L., Pan, J., Bai, S., & Gu, S. (2022). Positive impacts of farmland fragmentation on agricultural production efficiency in Qilu Lake watershed: Implications for appropriate scale management. *Land Use Policy*, *117*, 106108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106108

Zeng, L., Li, X., & Ruiz-Menjivar, J. (2020). The effect of crop diversity on agricultural eco-efficiency in China: A blessing or a curse? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *276*, 124243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124243

Zhang, S., Guo, Y., Zhao, H., Wang, Y., Chow, D., & Fang, Y. (2020). Methodologies of control strategies for improving energy efficiency in agricultural greenhouses. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *274*, 122695. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122695

Zhao, Q., Bao, H. X. H., & Zhang, Z. (2021). Off-farm employment and agricultural land use efficiency in China. *Land Use Policy*, *101*, 105097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.105097

Zhu, Y., & Huo, C. (2022). The Impact of Agricultural Production Efficiency on Agricultural Carbon Emissions in China. *Energies*, *15*(12), 4464. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15124464